



Middle East College

Coventry University

Project Submission

in Partial Fulfilment of the requirements for the Degree of Master of

Science in Information Technology (MSC-IT)

Improve Intrusion Detection System Using Machine Learning

Author: Eman Zakaria Qudah

Supervisor: Dr. Vishal Dattana

PG17F1858

Academic Year: 2019/2020

MSc (IT) Project

Declaration by Examiners

I / We have examined this report titled "Improve Intrusion Detection System Using Machine Learning" submitted by Eman Zakaria Qudah ID No. PG17F1858 in partial fulfillment of the requirements of MSc (IT) Course during Summer semester.

Signature of Supervisor

Name of Supervisor: Dr. Vishal Dattana

Signature of 2nd Marker

Date:

Name of 2nd Marker: Dr. Arun N.S. Shankarappa

Date:

Acknowledgment

First of all, I would like to thank God Almighty for giving me the ability, patience and knowledge to undertake and finish this project successfully.

Second, to my parents who played a great role in helping me complete this project. I present my success to them because they have supported me and showed enthusiasm, assistance and encouragement.

I am honored that I had the opportunity to work with such a supervisor Dr. Vishal Dattana who spared no e ffort to guide me during my journey. Not to forget the help by my college members that I have received fro m the following: Dr. Arun N.S. Shankarappa, Dr. Munir, Dr. Raza Hasan and others.

This project would not have been completed without the assistance of some experts in the IT field from outside the college who were generous enough to share their knowledge with me to finish this project efficiently and they are: Dr. Muhammed Al-Bahri from the Higher College of Technology, Dr. Samer Samarah and Dr. Mohammed Al-Zamel from Al-Yarmouk University.

To conclude, I hope I have mentioned all the names who participated in implementing this project successfully.

Table of Contents

1. Introduction	
1.1. Background	
1.2. Overview of current situation of ML	
1.3. Project's importance	
1.4. Project description	
1.5. Problem statement	
1.6. Research question	
1.7. Project aim and objectives	
2. Literature Review	15
	15
2.2. Networking	15
2.2.1 Definition	
2.2.1. Definition	15
2.2.2. Networking issues	15
2.2.2.1. Traffic Classification	
2.2.2.2. Hand classification	
2.2.2.3. Network security methods	17
2.3. Artificial Intelligence	
2.4. Machine Learning	20
2.4.1. Definition	20
2.4.2. Advantages of Machine Learning	
2.4.3. Limitations of Machine Learning	21
2.4.4. Categories of Machine Learning	
2.4.5. Machine Learning Algorithms	24
2.5. Deep Learning	27
2.6. Using Machine Learning to Enhance Intrusion Detection System	27
2.6.1. Dataset for Intrusion Detection	27
2.6.2. Feature Selection Techniques	
2.6.2.1. Feature Selection Categories	
2.6.2.2. Feature Selection Algorithms	32
2.7. Related Work	
3. Methodology	
3.1. Introduction	36
3.2 Research Methods	36
2.2. Software Development Methodologies	

4.	Project	Management	41
	4.1.	Introduction	41
	4.2.	Project Tasks	42
	4.3.	Gantt Chart	.43
	4.4.	Risk Management	45
	4.5.	Mitigation Plan	.46
	4.6.	Communication Management	.47
5.	Project	Design and Implementation	48
	5.1.	Introduction	48
	5.2.	Project Design	48
	5.3.	Project Implementation	.50
6.	Critical	Appraisal	.88
7.	Conclu	sion and Future Work	.92
8.	Refere	ncing	94
9.	Appene	dices	.99

List of Tables

Table 1: comparison between IDS and IPS	19
Table 2: Comparison between feature selection methods	32
Table 3: Comparison between feature selection algorithms	34
Table 4. Comparison between primary data collection methods (Kumar, 2011)	37
Table 5: Comparison between some software development methodologies (Rajeswari & J., 2017)	40
Table 6: Potential risks in the project of "Improve IDS using Machine Learning"	46
Table 7. Mitigation plan of the risks mentioned in table 5	47
Table 8. The project's communication plan Image: Communication plan	47
Table 9: Comparison between the main parameters	88
Table 10: Comparing the accuracy result of each one of the 41 attribute in GA	90

List of Figures

Figure 1. The project design	13
Figure 2. The confusion matrix	
Figure 3. Overfitting and Under-fitting issues (Ghasemian, et al., 2018)	21
Figure 4. The Reinforcement Learning Model(Dey, 2016)	23
Figure 5. The decision tree for two attributes of NSL-KDD dataset	26
Figure 6. Development of AI, ML and DL (Gillikin , 2018)	27
Figure 7. NSL-KDD dataset features	29
Figure 8. Filter method	30
Figure 9. Wrapper method	31
Figure 10: Steps of Agile methodology (Synopsys Editorial Team, 2017)	40
Figure 11. Project tasks - Part 1	42
Figure 12. Project tasks - Part 2	42
Figure 13. Project tasks - Part 3	43
Figure 14. Gantt Chart - Part 1	43
Figure 15. Gantt chart - Part 2	44
Figure 16. Gantt chart - Part 3	44
Figure 17. Gantt chart - Part 4	45
Figure 18. Flowchart of the project progress	48
Figure 19: User interface in WEKA	50
Figure 20: Step 1 - open the dataset	51
Figure 21: Step 2 – Show details about the dataset and both duration attribute and class label	52
Figure 22: Step 3 - Choose J48 classifier to build the model	53
Figure 23: Step 4 – Train the dataset	54
Figure 24: Training accuracy result	55
Figure 25: Step 5 - Test the dataset	56
Figure 26. Wrap the classifier	57
Figure 27: Classifier testing accuracy	58
Figure 28: Step 6 - Select best attributes using CFS method and "Use full training set" mode	59
Figure 29: Step 6 - Select best attributes using CFS method and "Cross-validation" mode	60
Figure 30: Step 7 - Keep only 5 attributes selected by CFS	62
Figure 31: Step 8 - Train the model with the attributes selected from CFS method only	63

Figure 32: Step 9 – Test the model with the attributes selected from CFS method only	64
Figure 33: Step 10 - Select best attributes using IG method and "Use full training set" mode	65
Figure 34: Step 10 - Select best attributes using IG method	66
Figure 35: Step 11 - Keep only 6 attributes selected by IG	68
Figure 36: Step 12 - Train the model with the attributes selected from IG method only	69
Figure 37: Step 13 – Test the model with the attributes selected from IG method only	70
Figure 38: Step 14 - Select best attributes using GR method and "Use full training set" mode	71
Figure 39: Step 15 - Keep only 6 attributes selected by GR	72
Figure 40: Step 16 - Train the model with the attributes selected from GR method only	73
Figure 41: Step 17 – Test the model with the attributes selected from GR method only	74
Figure 42: Step 18 - Select best attributes using GR method and "Cross validation" mode	75
Figure 43: Step 19 - Keep only 6 attributes selected by GR	76
Figure 44: Step 20 - Train the model with the attributes selected from GR method only	77
Figure 45: Step 21 – Test the model with the attributes selected from GR method only	78
Figure 46: Step 1: Launching Jupyter Notebook	79
Figure 47: Importing libraries and upload dataset file	80
Figure 48: Convert data type of class and flag column	81
Figure 49: Identifying attributes and functions	82
Figure 50. Printing accuracy and confusion matrix parameters	83
Figure 51. importing libraries and reading the dataset file	
Figure 52. divide the dataset file into training and testing parts	
Figure 53. calling TensorFlow library	
Figure 54. generating the results	
Figure 55. Overall comparison between the 4 algorithms	
Figure 56: Project progress	93

Abstract

Machine learning (ML) has been broadly adopted nowadays by various organizations and it has been utilized in different areas and aspects of our life such as marketing, entertainment, communication, education and others as a result of data explosion and improvements in computing capabilities. Networking is one of the areas that took the advantages of ML to improve its technologies and enhance the process of operation and management. This project works one both of these topics to present how ML can be used to improve the Intrusion Detection System (IDS). The aim of this project is to increase the accuracy of anomaly-based IDS using some ML techniques represented in feature selection methods. In order to achieve the aim mentioned above, the student applied each of CFS, Information Gain and Gain Ratio methods on NSL-KDD dataset using WEKA tool. Furthermore, the student applied both of Forward Selection method and Genetic Algorithm (GA) on the same dataset by using Jupyter Notebook to write their Python codes. The results show that the GA achieved the highest accuracy and the lowest False Positive Rate (FPR). The findings pointed out the relationship between four parameters which are the number of attributes of a dataset, time needed to build a model, the model accuracy and its false positive rate. This project will enhance the network security when it improves the quality of IDS by increasing its accuracy and decreasing the FPR.

Chapter 1: Introduction

1.1. Background:

For a long time, networking and distributed computing have been considered as the key infrastructure to provide efficient technological services for different users and services' providers. Nowadays, people and organizations are highly dependent on technology and Internet in all of their life aspects. Since they are sharing sensitive and private information over networks, it is mandatory to provide them with security. The main issue that faces all individuals and organizations when they use the Internet is security because of the various threats that are existing. Attacks can be divided into two types which are known and unknown attacks. Known attacks are the old or already existing attacks that can be blocked and prevented using traditional security products. Security products usually identifies an attack as known based on one variation only, so any slight change to the code of the attack or malicious software will turn it into an unknown attack and the security system will not be able to recognize it. While sometimes attackers can develop an attack which is totally new and write the codes from the scratch and here the system will not be able to recognize it too.

It is mandatory to protect systems and resources against all of these attacks from being exploited, misused or exposed to unauthorized parties by using different security mechanisms. Intrusion Detection System (IDS) is one of these security mechanisms that can be used to protect networks against hackers and intruders. It focuses on detecting and finding out any malicious activity or policy violations. IDS works by one of these two methods: one method to look for known attacks or malicious files by using predefined signatures while the other method is by detecting any deviations of the normal activities by using Machine Learning techniques.

Machine Learning (ML) is one of the new powerful techniques that can be utilized to extract knowledge from data. This technique has been employed in many applications and fields to solve various problems and enable automation. One of these applications is network security – specifically- intrusion Detection.

The main goal of this project is to enhance, improve and increase the accuracy and Detection Rate (DR) of ID systems to increase the security level of IDS. This will help network administrators and system analysts in decision making process to safeguard the network against any hazards and increase the security level to protect the network resources and data. The project will provide a detailed analysis on the performance and accuracy of using each of Genetic Algorithm, Forward Selection, Information Gain (IG), Gain Ratio (GR)

and CFS attribute evaluator on NSL-KDD dataset and compare between each one of them from the aspects of Accuracy, False Positive (FP), number of attributes used and the time needed to build the model. The aforementioned feature selection methods will be applied on NSL-KDD dataset using WEKA tool and Python programming language to come up with the desired results and suggest the best solution for this problem.

1.2. An overview of the current situation of Machine Learning in Oman:

Artificial Intelligence (AI) and Machine Learning (ML) nowadays are the buzzwords around the world because many companies and organizations in different countries are embracing these technologies to improve their work efficiency and reduce the labor costs. The Sultanate of Oman represented by the government and private sector are working hard to ensure the meaningful deployment of AI and ML in different national programs whenever it is possible. The Information Technology Authority (ITA) located in Muscat held the Fourth Digital Trends Forum in April 2019 to emphasize on the importance of AI as a backbone of the 4th industrial revolution.

Booz Allen Hamilton, the vice-president of MENA emphasized on the importance of using AI to shape the countries' future economies and improve the citizens' life. The following are some examples on the real implementation of AI in different sectors in Oman:

- Banking Sector: Oman Arab Bank (OAB) launched an automation system that can perform the daily transactions and solve repeated issues by applying AI. This system can be trained and learn how to solve some issues that were done by the employees and create its own patterns to solve similar issues in the future and employees will have more time to serve the customers better (Observer, 2019).
- Health Sector: five hospitals in Oman which are the Royal, Khoula, Al Buraimi and Ibra hospitals besides Sultan Qaboos Hospital in Salalah launched an AI-aided system for the early diagnosis of breast cancer. This project is under the supervision of Ministry of Health (MoH), ITA, Microsoft and ScreenPoint in order to facilitate and improve the healthcare for women to avoid this disease in its early stages (Observer, 2019).
- Education and Scientific Research Sector: different educational institutes are including AI and ML in their curriculum to prepare the student to be ready for their future jobs. Also, ITA along with Bank Muscat hosted Sas48 competition to sponsor the students' projects in the IT field in general and in

AI specially. The winners were awarded with prizes to encourage young Omanis to turn their innovative ideas into reality and establish Omani companies in the ICT field.

1.3. The Project's Importance:

This project is very important for network administrators, data analysts and any network user because it helps them to maintain a high level of network security and provide a trusted communication of information between different organizations. Intrusion Detection System monitors the network resources and provides a report on any malicious or strange patterns. The benefits of this project for any organization lies in: allow the IDS to identify the unknown attacks, reduce the False Alarm Rate (FAR) and increase the accuracy of detection.

There are many reasons behind choosing Intrusion Detection System (IDS) to be applied in this project. First, it can monitor network traffic, analyze it and compare it with predefined patterns of activities to decide whether they are normal or abnormal activities. Also, IDS generate alarms and reports about the monitored activities, so users can be able to take the correct decision.

1.4. Project Description:

The project idea focuses on improving the accuracy of the Anomaly-based IDS by applying five feature selection methods which are: CFS, IG, GR, forward selection and Genetic Algorithm (GA) and provide a detailed analysis on their performance on the selected dataset specialized for intrusion detection. First of all, J48 classifier will be used to calculate the model accuracy when using the whole dataset, then the student will apply each of CFS, IG and GR methods separately in WEKA tool and use J48 classifier to calculate the model accuracy after selecting a subset of features only (the best 5 or 6 attributes). Forward selection and GA methods are not supported in WEKA tool that's why the student will execute them by writing their codes in Python to know the accuracy. Finally, the student will compare and analyze the results of all the methods to choose and recommend the best one.



Figure 1. The project design

1.5. Problem Statement:

The project's problem statement focuses on improving and increasing the accuracy of IDS by applying various feature selection methods based on Machine Learning techniques using Python programming language and WEKA data mining tool. The project will apply each of CFS, IG and GR method in WEKA tool on NSL-KDD dataset to identify the attributes selected along with the accuracy for each method. While forward selection method and Genetic Algorithm will be applied on the same dataset by writing Python codes to calculate the accuracy since they are not supported in WEKA. Finally, a comparison will be conducted by these methods to suggest the best one which provides higher security.

1.6. Research Questions:

The following are the main questions that will be answered at the end of this project:

- How to detect abnormal network behavior?
- What is the relationship between the number of attributes, time needed to build the model and its accuracy?
- How to minimize false alarms in IDS using Machine Learning?
- How Machine Learning can support Anomaly-based Intrusion Detection System to be able to identify network attacks?
- Which attributes of the NSL-KDD dataset are highly affecting the accuracy result?

1.7. Project Aim and Objectives:

The main aim of this project is to increase the efficiency of Intrusion Detection System by making it able to identify abnormal network traffic with high accuracy and detection rate which will help the network administrators and system analysist in taking the correct decision to increase the network security and protect its resources. The following are the main project objectives which are:

- Investigate and identify the issues of and low accuracy and detection rate in identifying network attacks that faces Anomaly-based IDS.
- Identify how Machine Learning can solve the previous issue of Anomaly-based IDS.
- Analyze the efficiency and accuracy of different feature selection methods on NSL-KDD dataset.
- Evaluate feature selection methods and suggest the best solution that provides the highest accuracy for IDS dataset using WEKA tool and Python programming language.

Chapter 2: Literature Review

2.1. Introduction:

With various computing technologies evolving nowadays, machine learning is considered as one of the existing recent technologies in the field of Artificial Intelligence. Machine Learning has evolved from being just enthusiastic for some math scientists into an independent wide research area that serves different fields in our daily life. While the rapid development of computer networks, make them more complicated and threatened by many problems that might effects its performance and efficiency. The following parts will review a comprehensive literature on networking problems specially the security issue and how Machine Learning can be used to enhance network security, specially intrusion detection problem.

2.2. Networking:

The rapid development of the Internet and communication technologies nowadays has resulted in largescale, complex and dynamic networks. Such complex network systems face a lot of challenges involving management, maintenance, security and traffic optimization problems (Anand & Ahlawat, 2014).

2.2.1. Definition:

A computer network is a telecommunication system that consists of a group of interconnected devices and nodes such as computers, routers, printers, fax machines and other devices together to allow them exchange data via using one or more network protocol (Fadlullah, et al., 2017).

- 2.2.2. Networking Issues:
 - 2.2.2.1.Network Congestion:

Network congestion occurs when a network routes becoming too full and carrying more data than it can handle, or in another words, when resource demands exceed its capacity which will affect the service quality. Network congestion happens as a result of many reasons such as using outdated hardware, bad configuration management, low network bandwidth, broadcast storms and many other reasons.

When a congestion happens, the transmitted traffic will be stored in queues till the previous packets are delivered, which will result in significant packet delay specially if the queue is long. Also, in some cases packets will be lost which will result in decreasing the service quality. The queue delay is the time a packet waits in a queue until it can be processed. The queue length increases when the queue is filled up with more packets that arrive before they can be processed.

According to (Limam, et al., 2018), congestion control is a fundamental mechanism or technique in a network operation and it concerns about reducing the number of packets entering the network in order to balance the resource utilization and ensure the network stability.

2.2.2.2.Traffic Classification:

Network traffic classification has been studied for a long time. It can be defined as the process of categorizing the network traffic / packets into appropriate classes. Accurate traffic classification over any network is considered as a fundamental procedure for network operators because it affects other network activities such as Quality of Service (QoS), resource usage planning, security monitoring (malware and intrusion detection) and many other activities. Traffic classification methods or techniques varies between conventional methods such as port-based prediction to machine learning based prediction methods which depends on deriving patterns from an existing dataset (Jamuna & Ewards , 2013). Traffic classification process aims to match the corresponding traffic flows with the predefined network apps and protocols.

2.2.2.3.Network Security:

Network security concerns about protecting the network against any threat that may expose the network's availability and provide unauthorized access to the network resources. Intrusion detection is the main problem that will be discussed in this project in details.

Internet has been used as a necessary method for personal and business transaction to access web services and share information online but at the same time, networks' security becomes threatened and under the risk of being attacked. There are many hazards that threaten the network security but the following are the most common (Roozbahani & Azad, 2015):

- <u>Malware:</u> or malicious software such as computer viruses, Trojan horses, worms and spyware. Malware is a code that is intended to damage, steal or cause any terrible activity on a host of system resources. If the malware code is an old one, then it can be detected by a signature-based IDS because its signature will be stored in the IDS system database while if it is a new one, then it needs an anomaly-based IDS.
- <u>Passive and Active attacks</u>: such as Denial of Services (DoS), spoofing, eavesdropping and others. A network attack is any attempt to destroy, expose, disable or gain unauthorized access to the system resources. Attackers create new attacks every day by writing a new code from the scratch or by modifying an old code but a typical anomaly-based IDS is not able to classify these attacks. The

problem statement of this project is to create an anomaly-based IDS that can detect and classify the unknown attacks into novel attacks (written from scratch) and modified attacks.

• Data interception and theft: which is the act of illegal transferring, storing or stealing confidential information over the network such as password and financial information. Intrusion is considered as any illegal or potential harmful attempt to compromise the confidentiality, availability and integrity of a system, while intrusion detection is a kind of active defense technology and it is responsible about detecting these illegal attempts or malicious activities in the network (Satyanar, et al., 2017).

2.2.3. Network Security Methods:

According to (Shan, 2016), there are a lot of methods that can be used to protect networks against the aforementioned threats such as:

- <u>Antiviruses:</u> software or program that is developed to secure the computers and networks from different types of malware such as viruses, worms, Trojans and spyware. The main function of these programs is to scan, detect and remove the malware from your system. There are two main types of antiviruses which are: network antivirus that can be used for a whole system and stand-alone antivirus that is usually used for a specific device. It is worth mentioning that antiviruses are considered as Signature-based IDS that will be discussed in the next part.
- **<u>Firewall:</u>** hardware or software system that monitors the incoming and out coming network traffic and then filter them (block or allow) based on predefined security rules. Firewall is used to protect the internal network from the external networks such as the Internet.
- <u>Encryption</u>: it is a technology that can be applied during data storage, data transfer and authentication. It aims to translate the plain message into encrypted message or form by using the encryption key.
- <u>Intrusion Detection System</u>: even though routers and firewalls are used to protect networks depending on access control list (ACL), they are not able to detect the new intrusions. The major aim of using Intrusion Detection System (IDS) is to monitor the network traffic, detect and identify any unknown or malicious traffic to provide the maximum security for a network along with routers and firewalls. IDS can be either Network Based (NIDS) or Host Based (HIDS). HIDS is installed on a single device, system or host and it is responsible about detecting the malicious activities generated from the system configuration and application activities while a NIDS is responsible

about collecting and analyzing network traffic streams generated from routers and firewalls (Chapke & Deshmukh, 2015).

Furthermore, IDS can be classified into two types according to the technique used which are:

• Signature Based: this technique is used to detect the known threats by utilizing a predefined 'signature' –typically a hash – that is related to a specific malicious activity. Computer antiviruses are examples on signature-based IDS because they can detect the viruses, Trojans, worms, etc. which are already known and identified in its database. For example, when any file is downloaded from the Internet, it will be checked, if it is a known malicious file, then an alert is appeared. The main advantage of this type of IDS is that it is very rarely to produce a false alarm, while the disadvantage is that it cannot detect the unknown or new malicious activity (Pharate , et al., 2015)

More efforts and researches must be made on this type of IDS to enhance them, because attackers can avoid them easily when writing their own codes or software.

• <u>Anomaly or Machine Learning Based:</u> this technique is used to detect any abnormal or anomalous behavior in a network by utilizing ML algorithms. This type of systems takes inputs (dataset) that involves many network features and divide them into anomalous and normal output. The main advantage of this type of systems is that they can detect new or unknown systems and they are very robust and hard to an attacker to avoid them, while the main disadvantage is that it has a high-false alarm rates (confusion in data analysis).

In this project, the student will be focusing on anomaly-based detection technique in network intrusion detection system and how reduce the false alarm rate.

It is very important to understand the confusion matrix in anomaly based detection. According to (Wu, et al., 2018), Anomaly-based IDS can classify the traffic streams into one of the following labels based on prediction as the following:

Classified as Normal		Classified as Attack	
Normal	TP	FP	
Attack	FN	TN	

Figure 2. The confusion matrix

Where

True Negative (TN) – Instances predicted correctly as attack.

False Negative (FN) – Instances predicted wrongly as normal flow.

False Positive (FP) – Instances predicted wrongly as attack.

True Positive (TP) – Instances predicted correctly as normal flow.

• <u>Intrusion Prevention System</u>: this is considered as a control system because it accepts or rejects the network packets depending on the results analyzed by the IDS (Azhagiri , et al., 2015). IPS requires a database that is updated regularly with new threat data. The following table provides a comparison between IDS and IPS:

Comparison	IDS	IPS
AdvantagesThey can detect known andThey can deny malic		They can deny malicious traffic
	unknown attacks.	from passing the network.
Disadvantages	They don't take actions by their	They depend on the results
	own, human supervision is needed.	gained by IDS.

Table 1: comparison between IDS and IPS

2.3. Artificial Intelligence (AI):

Artificial intelligence, machine learning and deep learning are three terminologies that are usually used interchangeably and might cause overlap and confusion for many people. Artificial intelligence is defined as "the capability of a machine to imitate intelligent human behavior". This area of computer science starts appearing in 1950's and it concerns about creating machines that react like humans and it includes under its umbrella Machine learning and deep learning. The robots playing a game of chess and voice recognition systems are examples on AI application (Shacklett, 2019). The main advantage of AI is that it provides reliable and cost effective solutions to solve complicated problems while the main disadvantage is that it may lead to job losses for people since machines can do any task instead of humans.

2.4. Machine Learning (ML):

2.4.1. Definition:

According to (Das & Behera, 2017). Machine Learning is "a paradigm that refer to learning from past experience (which is in this case previous data) to improve future performance". A machine refers to any software system that can learn, improve or modify an algorithm depending on previous experiences (datasets) automatically without any human interference.

While an algorithm is considered as a programming codes that consists of a sequence of instructions that should be implemented to convert the input (datasets) to output (Smola & Vishwanathan, 2008). Usually, ML and algorithms will be designed to serve a specific purpose or carry a desired task such as filtering spam emails, advertisements placement, social media services when displaying a list of people, you may know, displaying web search results, recommendation systems and many other applications used in our daily life.

2.4.2. Advantages of Machine Learning:

Machine Learning is expected to bring significant changes to the world of technology, thus improving businesses and our personal life since it is applied in many field such as healthcare, retail, social media, banking and financial sector. The main aim of ML is to produce machines that can work and depend on their own so they can get input data, analyze them and generate acceptable output. The following are some of the advantages gained by ML (Shalev-Shwartz & Ben-David, 2014).

- It provides solutions when a specific set of variables is changing over the time. For example, ML algorithms are used in weather stations to provide the employees with accurate predictions for the weather in the next days depending on previous dataset (old information about the weather)
- It can be used to produce solutions that needs to be adapted in specific cases. For example, ML algorithms are used in airports and police stations for security reasons to capture some individuals' details such as their face ID and fingerprint then analyze them and predict the suspects.
- It provides solutions when the problem size is too large and it is very difficult for humans to find a suitable reasoning. Calculating webpage ranks in one example on these problems where ML is applied.
- It provides solutions where the humans experience is absent and they do not have enough knowledge about it. It is extremely difficult and dangerous to navigate the space and planets for humans, that's why scientists are using ML for data collection, analyzing them and taking appropriate decisions.

• It can perform repetitive tasks efficiently and quickly, thus save employees time and give them a chance to do other tasks.

2.4.3. Limitations of Machine Learning:

Although the major benefits and advantages of ML, it has some limitations and shortcomings that are illustrated below:

- It needs a huge amount of training data to be processed and at the same time it might be cumbersome to work with large amount of data.
- It is challenging to understand the results of ML algorithms, so ensuring their effectiveness.
- There's a high chance of error susceptibility and they might not be discovered immediately and the correction process is time consuming.
- There is a high chance for Overfitting and Under-fitting problem to occur. Overfitting happens when the ML algorithm models the data very well to the extent that effect its performance negatively. While under-fitting problem happens when ML algorithm cannot neither model the training dataset nor generalizing the new data.



Figure 3. Overfitting and Under-fitting issues (Ghasemian, et al., 2018)

2.4.4. Categories of Machine Learning:

ML is a very wide domain. Thus, the field has branched into various types that deals with different kinds of tasks. The main aim of ML is to make the software able to learn from the data, regardless the approach and techniques used. The following are the main types of ML:

• Supervised Learning:

According to (Raut & Borkar, 2017), supervised learning is considered as the most widely used type in ML, and it uses algorithms that needs external assistance. A machine learning model can be supervised by teaching the model with knowledge so it can predict future instances. It will be taught by training it on a labeled dataset, so it can predict the outcome of out-of-sample data. It means that the input dataset will be divided into two groups which are train and test dataset where the first one comes in the form of (X, Y) pairs and the goal is to generate a prediction Y in response to a query X. The training dataset will be labeled, e.g. True/False, Positive/Negative, etc, and used later to predict the labels for the unlabeled test dataset. Typically, supervised learning is using two techniques which are:

- Classification Problems: concerns about classifying or grouping the unlabeled dataset based on the classes identified using the previously available labeled dataset. One example on classification problem is to identify an attack as either Denial of Service (DoS), malware, Manin-the-middle, phishing attack or any other attack.
- Regression Problems: concerns about estimating the output variable for given input values. For example, it can be used to predict the time of future attack after identifying its type.
- Unsupervised Learning:

In this type, the ML model will not be supervised but it will work on its own to discover information that might not be visible to the human eye, and the algorithm used can deal with unlabeled datasets. Unsupervised learning uses more difficult algorithms than supervised learning since we know little about the data, or the outcome that to be expected. In unsupervised learning, the algorithm in looking to find things such as groups, clusters, patterns or relationships between the unlabeled datasets. In comparison to supervised learning, unsupervised learning has fewer tests and fewer models that can be used to ensure the outcome of the model is accurate. As such, unsupervised learning create a less controllable environment as the machine create the output for us (Dey, 2016).

Typically, unsupervised learning is using two techniques, which are:

- Clustering: concerns about grouping similar data together and increasing the gap between them so the clusters can be distinguished easily.
- Association: this technique concerns about creating association rules to describe large portions of data. Such models can predict one attribute by looking at another attributes from the same data point.

• Semi-supervised Learning:

This type uses a combination of supervised and unsupervised learning techniques because the training dataset consists of both labeled and unlabeled data. This type starts with unsupervised learning initially because all of the input dataset will be unlabeled in the beginning, then the classifier (algorithm) will label some portions of the large dataset and use that portion later to train the model and then use it to predict the rest of the unlabeled dataset using neural networks (Behera & Das, 2017).

• Reinforcement Learning:

In this type of learning, the machine (algorithm) is provided with just few instructions to train it on how to map the most appropriate actions to take such that the outcome is more positive. The following figure shows the general model of reinforcement learning:



Figure 4. The Reinforcement Learning Model(Dey, 2016)

In the figure, the learner (agent) receives the following from the environment: an input (i), the current state (s), state transition (r), and input function (*I*) in order to generate two main outputs which are: a behavior (B) and an action (a). The main aim of reinforcement learning algorithms is not to choose the action directly, but instead trained to find the most suitable action depending on two main criteria that are: trial and error search and delayed outcome.

• Multi-task Learning (Learning to Learn):

The main purpose of using this type is to help the other learners (algorithms) to perform better and solve more than one task at the same time. When a multi-task learning algorithm is applied, it tries to remember the solution steps of a particular situation solved before and apply it on current similar

problems or tasks. As a result of using more than one learner at the same time, the experience can be shared between them easily and solve the problem faster.

• Ensemble Learning:

According to (Behera & Das, 2017) (RP 15), more than one learning algorithm will be combined together to form one learner. Individual learners can be Naïve Bayes, decision tree, neural network, etc. Ensemble learner usually shows better performance than individual learners do in a particular task. There are two main techniques existing under this type of learning which are:

- Boosting: this technique involves building a strong individual learner from a collection of weak learners by training them to overcome and handle the previous mistakes. Any classifier that has a substantial error rate is considered as a weak learner and the opposite with a strong learner where it is strongly correlated with true classifier. AdaBoost is the most famous example on this technique.
- Bagging: this technique is recommended to be used when we need to increase the accuracy and stability of ML algorithm. Also, it helps in reducing the effect of overfitting issue by decreasing the variance.
- Instance-Based Learning (Memory-Based Learning):

This type of learning learns a particular type of pattern or instances and save it inside the memory, then tries to apply that pattern on new data in order to determine the target function value. The old instances or pattern can be replaced by the new one if they are better fitting the desired output. The complexity of this type of learning increases when the problem size is bigger (Dey, 2016) (RP 5).

2.4.5. Machine Learning Algorithms:

There are many algorithms can be used in ML such as SVM, Naïve Bayes, Neural Networks, Decision Tree and others but the student will discuss J48 algorithm only the because they will be used during the project implementation:

• Decision Tree:

This type of algorithms is one of the easiest and simplest ML algorithms used for classification purposes which aims to create a model that predicts the value of the target variable depending on various input variables. This algorithm divides the big problem into sub-problems in the form of tree using IF-THEN rules. Each decision tree consists of nodes representing the dataset attributes or features and leaves representing the possible instances of each attribute (Kaya, et al., 2016).

There are many decision tree algorithms such as CART, ID3 and C4.5. The J48 algorithm is considered as Java implementation of C4.5 in WEKA tool while ID3 algorithm is the old version on C4.5 algorithm and it was improved by the researcher Ross Quinlan (Sharma, et al., 2013). The following figure shows the decision tree generated by J48 algorithm for two attributes from NSL-KDD dataset (source_bytes and protocol_types) along with the class label. The tree started by the source_bytes node and the branches are two (greater than or equal 28 and less than or equal 28). If the value is <= 28 then the algorithm will use the same attribute for classification while if the value is >=28 then the algorithm will consider the protocol_type for classification. Under the protocol_type node, there are 3 branches which are ICMP, TCP and UDP (equals the number of instances) and IF-THEN rules are applied again according to the value of the attribute until it reaches the root leaf which represent the class label (the solution).



Figure 5. The decision tree for two attributes of NSL-KDD dataset

2.5. Deep Learning (DL)

Deep learning is a subset of ML and it was discovered after around 26 years from discovering ML. It feeds data into "neural networks" that learn the characteristics of something like human faces. It's how facial recognition algorithms figure out who's in your photos, based on tags. The main advantage of DL is that it can discover the best features to be used in classification automatically by itself when ML requires these features to be provided manually. On the other hand, the main disadvantage is it needs huge amount of data (more than ML and AI) to be trained in order to generate accurate results.



Figure 6. Development of AI, ML and DL (Gillikin, 2018)

2.6. Using Machine Learning to enhance Intrusion Detection System:

Recently, Machine Learning has been employed in many fields to get benefited from its amazing power. Networking is one of these fields or domains that uses ML to resolve the existing networking issues in order to improve its performance and automate most of its activities.

As a result of network complexity and diversity, specific techniques and algorithms are built and customized based on the network characteristics and users' requirements. It is worth mentioning that developing efficient algorithms to solve networking issues is considered as a challenging task.

2.6.1. Datasets for Intrusion Detection:

The concept of dataset in Machine Learning represents a file that contains a collection of data that are collected for a specific purpose such as medical, insurance, finance, or other purposes. Usually, these

datasets are created by a single source and available on the Internet to be downloaded for research purposes. They are presented in a tabular form where every column represents a particular type of information or variable.

Intrusion detection datasets are special datasets that contains data about networks and devices such as IP addresses, packets transferred, response time, and other features according to the dataset used. There are different types of network intrusion datasets where some of them contains labeled, not-labeled, real or simulated data.

The following are the most famous network intrusion datasets:

• KDD99 dataset:

Was created in 1999 and it is considered as a very old dataset. Nevertheless, it is still widely used in many researches for ML purposes. It is a feature extracted and preprocessed version of DARPA network dataset. The training data size is 4898431 while the testing data size is 311029. The following are some of its characteristics:

- It is suitable for anomaly detection because it has two weeks free of attacks instances and five weeks of attack instances.
- The output classes are classified into 5 major categories which are: Denial of Service (DoS), Root 2 Local (R2L), User 2 Root (U2R), Probe and normal.
- Training data contains 24 attacks types while testing data contains new 14 new attack types.
- Some types of attacks instances are too rare such as R2L and U2R which means that it is no balanced with the other types of attacks.
- It contains a huge amount of data that's why most of the researchers used a small percent of it (Purwar & Rani, 2017).
- NSL-KDD dataset:

This dataset a re-sampled version of KDD99 dataset because the dataset size is reduced and redundant and duplicated instances are deleted. The purpose behind reducing the redundant data is that some classifiers might be biased toward more frequent records which will result in bad performance. The aforementioned characteristics of KDD99 are applied on NSL-KDD dataset and it contains 41 features and one class attribute too.

F #	Feature name	F#	Feature name	F#	Feature name
F1	Duration	F15	Su attempted	F29	Same srv rate
F2	Protocol type	F16	Num root	F30	Diff srv rate
F3	Service	F17	Num file creations	F31	Srv diff host rate
F4	Flag	F18	Num shells	F32	Dst host count
F5	Source bytes	F19	Num access files	F33	Dst host srv count
F6	Destination bytes	F20	Num outbound cmds	F34	Dst host same srv rate
F7	Land	F21	Is host login	F35	Dst host diff srv rate
F8	Wrong fragment	F22	Is guest login	F36	Dst host same src port rate
F9	Urgent	F23	Count	F37	Dst host srv diff host rate
F10	Hot	F24	Srv count	F38	Dst host serror rate
F11	Number failed logins	F25	Serror rate	F39	Dst host srv serror rate
F12	Logged in	F26	Srv serror rate	F40	Dst host rerror rate
F13	Num compromised	F27	Rerror rate	F41	Dst host srv rerror rate
F14	Root shell	F28	Srv rerror rate	F42	Class label

Figure 7. NSL-KDD dataset features

The purpose behind choosing NSL-KDD dataset in this project:

The student will be using NSL-KDD dataset in her project because this dataset overcomes the limitations of KDD99 dataset. NSL-KDD dataset is free from redundant records which means that the classifier will not be biased to a specific result. Also, it has a reasonable number of records that are enough to execute any experiment and available for training and testing data (Shantharajah & Dhanabal, 2015).

2.6.2. Feature Selection Techniques:

Since the amount of network traffic is increasing, the process of analyzing them to detect the network intrusions is becoming more complicated and time consuming because of the variety of the attributes, features and values. It is worth mentioning that not all of the features or attributes in a specific dataset has the same importance and some of them will be affecting the final result more than others. That's why feature selection is considered as a useful step before starting any machine learning task and building a model in order to get accurate results.

According to (Subashini & Velavan, 2014), feature selection is the process of removing irrelevant, useless and redundant feature that have no use in the process of knowledge discovery from the original dataset with respect to the task is to be performed. The following points explains the purpose of applying feature selection process:

- To improve the accuracy of machine learning algorithms and generate more intelligible results by removing the irrelevant and redundant features.
- To decrease the time needed to build a learning model because the number of features will be less.
- To simplify the learning results and make them understandable and sufficient in terms of quality.

2.6.2.1.Feature selection categories:

According to (Zseby & Iglesias, 2015), feature selection algorithms can be classified under 3 main categories which are:

• Filter methods: in this category, feature selection algorithms are completely independent from the machine learning algorithm and the evaluation function depends on the general characteristics of the data such as distance based and margin based criteria. It uses ranking techniques to evaluate the relevance of features.



Figure 8. Filter method

• Wrapper methods: in this category, any method consists of a search algorithm that is responsible about selecting the features subsets progressively with the help of the predictive model (i.e. classifier).



Figure 9. Wrapper method

• Embedded methods: in this category, the feature selection algorithm is considered as a part of the learning model. Decision tree algorithms are examples on embedded methods. The following table provides a comparison between the three categories from different perspectives (Quadri & Khan , 2013).

Comparison	Filter Method	Wrapper Method	Embedded Method
Efficiency	Less optimal than	The best solution	Its performance can
	other methods	for supervised	be affected
		learning problems	negatively if more
			irrelevant features
			are included
Execution	Faster than wrapper	Slower than filter	Faster than wrapper
Speed	methods.	methods	methods
Generality of	The results	The results	It lacks generality
the results	generated are more	generated are less	because it depends on
	general because	general from those	some classification
	they lack the	general from filter	algorithms
	interaction with the	method because it	
	classifier	depends on a	
		specific classifier	

Computational	Less cost compared	More cost compared	Less cost compared	
cost	with wrapper	with filter method	with wrapper method	
	method			
Dependency on	Independent of the	Depending on	Depending on	
the	classification	classification	classification	
classification	algorithm	algorithm	algorithm	
algorithm				

Table 2: Comparison between feature selection methods

2.6.2.2. Feature Selection Algorithms:

The following table provides a comparison between five feature selection methods which will be used during the project implementation.

Method	How it works	Category	Advantages	disadvantages
Name				
CFS	It evaluates a subset of	Filter		Lack of
	features by selecting the	Method		attributes
	subsets that contains			dependencies
	features that are highly			results in
	correlated with the		Robust	decreasing the
	classification, yet		against	classifier
	uncorrelated with each		overfitting	performance
	other.		issue (Hall,	
	The predictive ability		1999).	
	and redundancy of each			
	feature will be evaluated			
	(S, et al., 2018).			
IG	It predicts the amount of	Wrapper	•	It prefers
	information gained about	Method		features with
	dependent variables by			more values

GR	observation.Theinformation gained aboutZ after observing Y isequal to the informationgained about Y afterobserving Z (Jha &Ragha, 2012).This measurement wasdeveloped to overcomethe problem ofcompensate for the biasof the IG. Whenpredicting a variable, itnormalizes the IG togenerate a value that fallsbetween 0 and 1. GR=1means that the twovariables are highlyrelated to each other	Wrapper Method		even if they are not informative enough It is opposite to IG, it prefers variables with fewer values
	while 0 means the opposite.			
Forward Selection	This method starts with one selected feature/attribute from the dataset to calculate the model accuracy and other attributes are added individually every time until a specific stopping criteria is met. This method iteratively	Wrapper Method	Can find the most useful features efficiently	Prone to overfitting

	increases the number of	
	features that reduces the	
	error and to build a target	
	function.	
Genetic	This search algorithm	Wrapper
Algorithm	depends on the	Method
	biological concepts of	
	genetics. The problem to	
	be solved is represented	
	in the form of	
	chromosomes and some	
	operations will be	
	applied like selection,	
	crossover and mutation	
	in order to generate the	
	different generations -	
	including the best	
	solution (Hameed &	
	Mahmood, 2016).	

Table 3: Comparison between feature selection algorithms

2.7. Related Work:

In the literature, different approaches are discussed to identify and enhance intrusion detection. Hoque et al. focused on using the Genetic Algorithm to improve the performance of intrusion detection system to be able to detect different types of network intrusions efficiently. Their approach evaluates the information in order to filter the network traffic to reduce its complexity. The dataset used is KDD 99 and the evaluation parameters are accuracy, Detection Rate (DR) and False Positive Rate (FPR). The results show that the highest accuracy 92% was achieved when predicting Denial of Service (DoS) traffic. The authors need to improve their approach by using more statistical analysis and complex equations to enhance each of DR and FPR (Hoque, et al., 2012).

Ugarte-Pedrero et al. focused on the importance of malware detection in order to mitigate the risk of the increased volume of malware. The authors proposed a new method to detect the unknown malware families depending on the frequency of the appearance of opcode sequences. Also, they suggested a technique to extract the relationship of each opcode and assess its sequence. This method proofed a good detection rate and low false positive rate (Ugarte-Pedrero, et al., 2013).

Jha and Ragha presented an approach that facilitate selecting the best features in intrusion detection system. The suggested method is a hybrid approach which used both SVM and K-means algorithms and consists of filter and wrapper models to select relevant features. SVM algorithm was used to select the best features for NSL-KDD dataset. The authors confirmed on the needs of using scaling to reduce the error rate. 31 features out of 41 were used from that dataset (Jha & Ragha, 2014).

Furthermore, Munjal and Mudga came up with a similar hybrid approach that used both of SVM and Fuzzy K-means algorithms and the authors ensures that using a hybrid method is easier and faster to detect and classify an intruder. Their final results indicate that the new method make it easier and more quickly to find the intruder attack compared to using one single method. The dataset used was KDD99 to test and analyze the effect of different input parameters on the algorithm accuracy (Munjal & Mudga , 2014).

Dhamdhere and Solanki introduced an IDS system that uses four algorithms where SVM and K-means are two of them. In the first step, K-means was used to generate different training subsets, secondly train different FNN model, while SVM was used in the third step to produce a classification vector and finally the decision tree were built using C4.5 algorithm. The combination of different algorithms results in increasing the detection accuracy and precision and decrease the False Positive Rate (FPR). After collecting the experimental results, the time required by this system to detect an intrusion is 0.0075 second (Dhamdhere & Solanki, 2014).

Chapter 3: Methodology:

3.1. Introduction:

Usually, before start writing any research project, the researcher must consider a specific a problem and focus on it to be solved by the end of this research. According to (Pandey & Pandey, 2015), a research problem is a question proposed for a solution while a research can be defined as a method of studying these problems and try to derive a solution partly or wholly from facts. It is mandatory for the student to understand and differentiate between the report method and methodology. A research method concerns about "What did the researcher use for his study" including the tools and the actual steps taken while the research methodology focuses on "How did the researcher complete his study" along with the techniques suggested to handle the project. Since this project consists of two parts which are the research report and the research product (system), the student will explain each of the method and methodology followed in this project.

3.2. Research Methods:

Basically, there are two different types of research methods which are Quantitative and Qualitative and under each type there are different approaches as illustrated below:

- Qualitative methods: concerns about exploring the participants' knowledge by using open-ended questions such as questions that starts with what, why and how. The data collected from these questions is a descriptive data which is a result of depth understanding of the topic. Interview and observation are examples on the qualitative methods.
- Quantitative methods: concerns about the mathematical models and statistics for analysis in
 order to provide numerical results using close-ended questions that could be answered by one
 word only such as Dichotomous question, multiple choice questions and rating scale questions.
 Questionnaire and survey are examples on the qualitative methods. The following table provides
 a comparison between questionnaire, interview and observation methods:
| Method | Туре | Explanation | Strengths | Weaknesses |
|--------|--------------|----------------------|-----------------------|--------------------------|
| | Quantitative | A list of written | - covers wide range | - some respondents may |
| re | | questions where | of information | provide inaccurate |
| nnai | | the answers of the | - less expensive | answers |
| estio | | respondent are | specially when using | - might be useless in |
| Que | | recorded and | the Internet for | some problems |
| | | analyzed. | answers | - time consuming while |
| | Qualitative | Common method | - provides face to | - the interviewee can |
| Ň | | to exchange | face contact with the | provide biased answers |
| ervie | | information with | interviewee | - time consuming |
| Inte | | others by asking | - provides deep and | |
| | | questions. | direct information | |
| | Qualitative | Purposeful way to | - easily adapted to | - people can change |
| | | gather facts about a | situations | their attitude when they |
| g | | specific problem. It | immediately | know that they are |
| atio | | is suitable to be | - provide reliable | observed |
| Serv | | used when | information | - uses complicated |
| O | | information cannot | | monitoring ratings |
| | | be collected by | | - expensive |
| | | questionnaire | | |

 Table 4. Comparison between primary data collection methods (Kumar, 2011)

• Empirical Research: There are different types of researches and each one of them can be handled to achieve a specific purpose and aim. Descriptive, analytical, applied, fundamental and empirical researches are some examples on these types. The project of "Improve Intrusion Detection System using Machine Learning" falls under the category of "Empirical" research because it concerns about measuring and observing a phenomena and derives the knowledge depending on the actual experience more than theory or belief. This type of research starts by forming specific questions to be answered at the end of the research. Then the research idea must be defined in order to use the suitable methodology including the criteria, tools for

implementation and testing. Finally, the research results or findings must be discussed to show what was learned from these experiments (Jasti & Kodali, 2014).

- Primary method: involves gathering specific and new data that has not been collected before. This method includes survey, questionnaire, interview, focus group, etc. The main advantage of this method is you can collect customized data for a special case while the disadvantages are: it can result in research errors because of the sample bias, its uniqueness make it not suitable to be used for other cases, time and money consuming.
- Secondary method: involves collecting data that has been collected by someone else before. This method includes reading and analyzing the content of previous journal papers, conference papers, books and websites (Walliman, 2011). The advantages of this method are:
 - Usually provides valid and reliable information.
 - people can be benefited from its exploratory value.
 - \circ saving time and money.

While the disadvantages are represented in:

- o data might be outdated (old resources usually are not recommended to be used).
- the author might be biased to one aspect more than the others.

<u>The selected method and justification</u>: based on the previous information, the student decided to go with secondary data collection only because of the following reasons:

The project's topic is considered as a new topic and there are various research papers that talks about it.

The project scope concerns about modifying an algorithm so the student needs to refer to accurate information resources.

Primary data collection methods will not be useful for such kinds of project because no general data is to be collected by a questionnaire from normal people (for example customer behavior or patients details). Also, these methods are time consuming and the students is restricted to a short time.

3.3. Software Development Methodologies:

Any IT project involves software development, and it is necessary to understand the software development life cycle (SDLC) models/methodologies and choose the correct one to guarantee the project's success. A typical life cycle consists of analysis, design, coding, testing and implementation phases. It is worth mentioning that a single methodology cannot be suitable for all situations and the student must choose the correct one to fulfil the project requirements (Despa, 2014). The following table compares between the most common software development methodologies which are:

Method	Merits	Demerits
Waterfall	Consists of a sequential phase that don't overlap. It is suitable for - small and easy projects - clear and fixed project objectives - less experienced teams	 - inflexible method because if a change is required in one stage, previous stages must be revisited. - depends on early identification of requirements while users may be confused and not clear specially in the beginning. - problems cannot be discovered before testing
Prototype	An approach to handle selected part of large methodologies such as RAD based on the end user participation. It is suitable for: - projects that require communication between the end users and developers - projects with unclear objectives - providing functional implementation of the product	 designers may ignore documentation which results in insufficient justification may increase the budget because of the continuous iterations can lead to false expectations from the user's viewpoint
RAD	An approach to segment the project into models and develop them in parallel. It focuses on the development phase more than the planning. It is suitable for: - saving money, time and human effort - small-to-medium projects	 lower speed and cost may lead to decrease the quality require staff with high qualifications and skills not suitable for large and complex projects

	An iterative approach that depends on	- depends on real time communication with end
	incremental design and implementation along	users
	with integrated testing and development. It is	- not suitable for large and complex projects
le	suitable for:	
Agi	- small and medium projects with short time	
	- meeting the changing needs of the end users	
	- focusing on the working software more than	
	documentation	

Table 5: Comparison between some software development methodologies (Rajeswari & J., 2017)

Project software methodology chosen: Agile Methodology

Justification: This methodology is suitable for small and medium projects that must be developed in a short time (in case of this project, the maximum duration is 5 months). Furthermore, it attempts to minimize the project risks such as schedule overrun or changing requirements (when adding new functionalities) is the product by executing frequent iterations. The benefit of executing these iterations is to increase the efficiency by finding and solving the product's defects early.



Figure 10: Steps of Agile methodology (Synopsys Editorial Team, 2017)

Chapter 4: Project Management

4.1. Introduction

Project management has been adopted for hundreds of years even in the old projects such as constructing each of the Pyramids in Egypt and The Great Wall of China. Regardless the project type, it is mandatory to plan for it very well before starting the implementation phase because it is the key for its success or failure. According to (PMI Team, 2019), project management is the process of applying the knowledge, skills, techniques and tools on all project activities and tasks in order to meet the project requirements.

According to PMBOK Guide, project management processes falls under the following phases which are Initiating, Planning, Executing, Monitoring and Controlling and finally Closing. Each one of these phases represents a set of interrelated processes that must be implemented to ensure the project's integrity and success. The main goal of each phase is explained below:

- Phase 1: Initiating. To determine the vision of the project and gain approvals from the sponsor.
- Phase 2: Planning. To build the project's infrastructure by identifying the time, cost, risk, human resources, communication plan and others.
- Phase 3: Executing. To produce most of the project's deliverables and put your plan into action.
- Phase 4: Monitoring and Controlling. To keep an eye on the actual progress of the project and take the corrective measures if any.
- Phase 5: Closing. To deliver the project and secure an approval from the sponsor.

It is worth mentioning that the project management knowledge focuses on ten areas which are: Integration, Scope, Time, Cost, Human Resources, Quality, Procurement, Communication, Risk Management and Stakeholder Management.

4.2. Project Tasks

The following screenshots shows the project tasks (similar to the table of contents)

Task 🖕 Mode	Task Name 👻	Duration 🖕	Start 🗸	Finish 💂	Predecessors
3	Improve IDS using ML	112 days	Mon 01/04/19	Tue 03/09/19	
3	Chapter 1: Introduction	8 days	Mon 01/04/19	Wed 10/04/19	
3	1.1. Background	1 day	Mon 01/04/19	Mon 01/04/19	
3	1.2. Overview of current situation of ML	1 day	Tue 02/04/19	Tue 02/04/19	3
3	1.3. Project's importance	1 day	Wed 03/04/19	Wed 03/04/19	4
3	1.4. Project description	1 day	Thu 04/04/19	Thu 04/04/19	5
3	1.5. Problem statement	1 day	Fri 05/04/19	Fri 05/04/19	6
3	1.6. Research question	1 day	Mon 08/04/19	Mon 08/04/19	7
3	1.7. Project aim and objectives	2 days	Tue 09/04/19	Wed 10/04/19	8
3	Chapter 2: Literature Review	37 days	Thu 11/04/19	Fri 31/05/19	9,2
3	2.1. Introduction	1 day	Thu 11/04/19	Thu 11/04/19	
3	2.2. Networking	10 days	Fri 12/04/19	Thu 25/04/19	11
3	2.2.1. Definition of Networking	1 day	Fri 12/04/19	Fri 12/04/19	
3	2.2.2. Networking issues	6 days	Mon 15/04/19	Mon 22/04/19	13
3	2.2.2.1. Traffic Congestion	1 day	Mon 15/04/19	Mon 15/04/19	
3	2.2.2.2. Traffic Classification	1 day	Tue 16/04/19	Tue 16/04/19	15
3	2.2.2.3. Network Security	4 days	Wed 17/04/19	Mon 22/04/19	16
\$	2.2.3. Network Security methods	3 days	Tue 23/04/19	Thu 25/04/19	14
-	0.2 Aut/Calut Tate#	1	E=: 06/04/10	En: 06/04/10	10

Figure 11. Project tasks - Part 1

Task 🖕 Mode	Task Name 👻	Duration 🖕	Start 🚽	Finish 🚽	Predecessors
3	2.3. Artificial Intelligence	1 day	Fri 26/04/19	Fri 26/04/19	12
3	2.4. Machine Learning	9 days	Mon 29/04/19	Thu 09/05/19	19
₿	2.4.1. Definition	1 day	Mon 29/04/19	Mon 29/04/19	
₿	2.4.2. Advantages of ML	1 day	Tue 30/04/19	Tue 30/04/19	21
3	2.4.3. Disadvantages of ML	1 day	Wed 01/05/19	Wed 01/05/19	22
3	2.4.4. Categories of ML	4 days	Thu 02/05/19	Tue 07/05/19	23
3	2.4.5 ML algorithms	2 days	Wed 08/05/19	Thu 09/05/19	24
3	2.5. Deep Learning	1 day	Fri 10/05/19	Fri 10/05/19	20
3	2.6. Using ML to enhance IDS	15 days	Mon 13/05/19	Fri 31/05/19	26
3	2.6.1. Dataset for ID	4 days	Mon 13/05/19	Thu 16/05/19	
3	2.6.2. Feature Selection Techniques	8 days	Fri 17/05/19	Tue 28/05/19	28
3	2.6.2.1. Feature Selection Categories	4 days	Fri 17/05/19	Wed 22/05/19	
3	2.6.2.2. Feature Selection Algorithms	4 days	Thu 23/05/19	Tue 28/05/19	30
3	2.7. Related Work	3 days	Wed 29/05/19	Fri 31/05/19	29
₽	Chapter 3: Methodology	5 days	Mon 03/06/19	Fri 07/06/19	32,10
3	3.1. Introduction	1 day	Mon 03/06/19	Mon 03/06/19	
3	3.2. Research Methods	2 days	Tue 04/06/19	Wed 05/06/19	34
3	3.3. Software Development	2 days	Thu 06/06/19	Fri 07/06/19	35

Figure 12. Project tasks - Part 2

Task 🖕 Mode	Task Name 👻	Duration 🚽	Start 🚽	Finish 🚽	Predecessors
2	3.3. Software Development Methodologies	2 days	Thu 06/06/19	Fri 07/06/19	35
3	Chapter 4: Project Management	6 days	Mon 10/06/19	Mon 17/06/19	36,33
3	4.1. Introduction	1 day	Mon 10/06/19	Mon 10/06/19	
3	4.2. Project Taks	1 day	Tue 11/06/19	Tue 11/06/19	38
3	4.3. Gantt Chart	1 day	Wed 12/06/19	Wed 12/06/19	38,39
3	4.4. Risk Management	1 day	Thu 13/06/19	Thu 13/06/19	40
3	4.5. Mitigation Plan	1 day	Fri 14/06/19	Fri 14/06/19	41
3	4.6. Communication Management	1 day	Mon 17/06/19	Mon 17/06/19	42
5	Chapter 5: Project Design & Implementation	49 days	Tue 18/06/19	Fri 23/08/19	37
3	5.1. Introduction	1 day	Tue 18/06/19	Tue 18/06/19	
3	5.2. Project Design	7 days	Wed 19/06/19	Thu 27/06/19	45
3	5.3. Project Implementation	41 days	Fri 28/06/19	Fri 23/08/19	46
₽	Chapter 6: Critical Appraisal	4 days	Mon 26/08/19	Thu 29/08/19	47,44
₽	Chapter 7: Conclusion & Future Work	3 days	Fri 30/08/19	Tue 03/09/19	48

Figure 13. Project tasks - Part 3

4.3. Gantt Chart

It is a graphical representation that describes the project schedule and the dependency relationship between the project tasks (Hans , 2013). The following is the gantt chart for the project of "Improving the Intrusion Detection System Using Machine Learning".



Figure 14. Gantt Chart - Part 1



Figure 16. Gantt chart - Part 3



Figure 17. Gantt chart - Part 4

4.4. Risk Management

Risk management is the process of identifying, analyzing, prioritizing and mitigating the potential risks that might face any project during its life cycle (Crispima & Rodrigues-da-Silva, 2014). This process is very important because it gives the opportunity to avoid or mitigate losses such as user dissatisfaction, budget and schedule overrun. The following table shows the potential risks that might be faced during the project of improving IDS using ML along with their frequency and impact:

Risk	Explanation	Frequency	Impact
Availability of	The student may face difficulties in finding	Low	Medium
resources	some research papers on the same topic		
	because they are not available to the public. It		
	means that the student needs to search for an		
	alternative resource and consume more time		
	in collecting the requirements.		
The solution's	The proposed method is very important to	Medium	High
accuracy	detect network anomaly traffic correctly with		
	high accuracy and detection rate and the lack		

	. C		
	of accuracy and misclassification may will		
	lead to the project failure.		
Schedule overrun	The student may fail to finish the project tasks	Low	High
	on time and submit the final deliverables		
	accordingly as a result of the project		
	complexity and length.		
Changing the	The student may need to change some parts of	Low	High
project's scope	the project's scope by adding or deleting		
	some requirements while working.		
Complexity of	The student may face difficulties while using	Medium	Low
the tool used	WEKA tool for training and testing data		
	because she is using it for the first time.		

Table 6: Potential risks in the project of "Improve IDS using Machine Learning"

4.5. Mitigation Plan

The purpose of identifying and analyzing the potential risks is to prepare the mitigation plan. It is a set of strategies and procedures that aims to reduce the likelihood that a risk event will occur and reduce its impact or negative effect when it happens too. The following table illustrates the suggested procedures to mitigate the risks mentioned in table (5):

Risk	Solution / Mitigation
Availability of	The student can use the database of Sultan Qaboos University (SQU)
resources	where more than 95% of the resources and documents are available.
The solution's	Combine two algorithms which are K-means and One-class SVM while
accuracy	producing the solution to get the best features from each algorithm and
	achieve more accuracy.
Schedule overrun	Simplify the proposed solution as much as possible and work hard (around
	35 hours) every week to finish the project tasks.
Changing the	Spend enough time during the project planning and designing phases to
project's scope	avoid any unexpected significant changes.

Table 7. Mitigation plan of the risks mentioned in table 5

4.6. Communication Management:

Communication between the project's stakeholders during their work is a fundamental process that must be managed and controlled efficiently to avoid any failure. According to (Anon., 2018), more than 70% of the project manager's time is spent on communicating with others. That's why communication plan is very important. It is defined as a written document that identify, highlights and explains the communications needs and expectations during the whole project. It focuses on the project deliverables, their frequency and how they will be delivered to the desired party. The following table illustrates the communication plan for this project between the student and her supervisor only since there are not other stakeholders engaged in this project:

Projec	t Stakeholders	Deliverable	Frequency	Delivery Method
From	То			
Student	Supervisor	Project discussion	Weekly	Face-to-Face
				Meeting
Student	Supervisor	Project diary	Weekly	Printed
				Document
Student	Evaluation	Midterm review	Once a semester	Presentation
	Committee	presentation		
Student	Supervisor	Final report and	One time (1 st	Submit on
		system	semester)	Moodle
Student	Evaluation	Final presentation	One time (2 nd	Presentation
	Committee		semester)	

Table 8. The project's communication plan

Chapter 5: Project Design and Implementation

5.1. Introduction

Separating any project into phases, makes it easier to manage and control because the work load will be divided into smaller tasks. The project design phase deliverables might vary between flowcharts, prototypes, screen designs and others. The aim of the design phase is to develop the project specifications in details and ensure that the strategy and tools will meet the users' needs before starting the real implementation (yadav & Garg, 794). The next step is the implementation phase or the "Doing phase" because it includes the real construction of the project results. In case of the programming projects, programmers start with encoding and end with testing and evaluating the product according to a list of requirements or standards which are usually the project objectives. This chapter combines the design and implementation phase for the project as illustrated down.

5.2. Project Design

Since this project concerns about applying various feature selection algorithms on a specific dataset, there will be no screen designs or prototype, but a flowchart can be used to illustrate the project strategy. A flowchart is considered as a graphical representation that explains a step-by-step method to solve a specific task. The following figure shows the flowchart of the implementation phase:



Figure 18. Flowchart of the project progress

• Hardware used:

Dell laptop: the student used dell laptop to conduct this project. The laptop Intel® i7-8550U processor and 8GB Random Access Memory (RAM). The operating system installed is Windows 10 with 64-bit processor.

- Software used:
 - WEKA tool: is Waikato Environment Knowledge Analysis is a free software written in Java programming language and it offers a collection of machine learning algorithms for data mining purposes. This software provides different tools for data preprocessing, classification, clustering, association, attributes selection and visualization. It was developed by a group of researchers in the University of Waikato in New Zealand. The software provides friendly GUI and it is supported by different operating systems such as Windows, OS-X and Linux (ML Group, 2015)
 - Anaconda Navigator: is an Integrated Development Environment (IDE) which allows the user to launch Conda packages and channels easily through user friendly GUI. This software is integrated with Windows, OS-X and Linux operating system and it provides various applications as illustrated in the following figure (Anaconda Cloud, 2019).
 - Jupyter Notebook: is an open-source web application that supports more than forty programming languages such as Python, R, Scala, etc. Also, it is compatible with big data tools and libraries such as TensorFlow, pandas and scikit-learn. This application generates notebooks that can be shared with others and the codes written can produce interactive output such as images, videos, HTML or equation results. So, Jupyter notebook is one of the best solutions for machine learning, visualization, statistical modeling and numerical problems (Jupyter Team, 2019).
 - Python programming language: is simple, high-level, portable and object oriented language and it highly recommended to be used for learning and real world programming purposes. It is the fastest growing programming language because it is considered as the 5th largest StackOverflow community, 4th most used language at GitHub and it offers various career opportunities for its users (Srinath, 2017).

5.3. Project Implementation

This part shows the steps followed during the project implementation to apply the feature selection algorithms using NSL-KDD dataset. As it was mentioned before, CFS, IG and GR were applied in WEKA tool but forward selection and genetic algorithm codes were written in Python using Jupyter notebook. A brief explanation is provided under each screenshot along with the results too.

Dealing with WEKA tool

Open file Open URL	Open DB Gene	rate Un	do Edit	Save.
Filter				
Choose None				Apply
Current relation		Selected attribute		
Relation: None Instances: None	Attributes: None Sum of weights: None	Name: None Missing: None	Weight: None Distinct: None	Type: None Unique: None
Attributes				

Figure 19: User interface in WEKA

This is the first screen will be shown after launching WEKA and clicking on "Explorer" tab then the user needs to open the dataset file.

🥝 Weka Explorer		- 🗆 X
Preprocess Classify Cluste	r Associate Select attributes Visualize	
Open file C	Ipen URL Open DB Generate Undo Ed	dit Save
Choose None		Apply Stop
Current relation	Selected attribute	
Relation: None Instances: None	Open	X Type: None Unique: None
All No	Look In: Desktop Desktop D	v Visualize All
	Remove	
Status		
Welcome to the Weka Explorer		Log 💉 X0

Figure 20: Step 1 - open the dataset

Here, the student needs to select the file location and the file format, whether it is CSV or ARFF file.

veka Explorer Preprocess Classify Cluster Associate Select attributes Visualize			_	
Open file Open URL Open DB Ge	nerate Un	do Edit		Save
ter				
Choose			App	ly Stop
rrent relation	Selected attribute			
Relation: nsI-kdd training dataset Attributes: 42 Instances: 125973 Sum of weights: 125973	Name: duration Missing: 0 (0%)	Distinct: 2981	Type: Numer Unique: 2544 (ric 2%)
tributes	Statistic	Value		
	Minimum	0		
All None Invert Pattern	Maximum	42908		
	StdDev	287.145		
No. Name	Glubev	2004.010		
1 duration				
2 protocol_type				
3 service				
4 11ag				
6 dst bytes				
7 🗌 land	Class: class (Nom)		`	Visualize A
8 wrong_fragment				
9 urgent				
10 hot				
12 logged in				
13 num compromised				
14 orot_shell				
15 u_attempted				
16 num_root				
1/ num tile creations				
Remove				
atus		21464		4290
OK			Log	-

Figure 21: Step 2 – Show details about the dataset and both duration attribute and class label

This screen provides some details on the dataset uploaded such as the number of instances and attribute. Also, when the user clicks on a specific attribute, extra details will be provided about it such as the minimum and maximum values along with the data type and number of unique values (not repeated). • Training and testing J48 classifier with the whole dataset (before applying feature selection)



Figure 22: Step 3 - Choose J48 classifier to build the model

This screen provides many machine learning algorithms that can be applied on the dataset. The student chose J48 algorithm which belongs to the family of decision tree algorithms. Since the purpose of this project is to increase the accuracy of IDS by improving the instances classification (binary classification that has two options only which are normal and anomaly), so J48 is a good option for classification because it provides a decision tree with a set of rules to illustrate how each single instance is classified. Another reason behind choosing this algorithm is that the decision tree generated is simple, easy to understand and each path shows a classification rule.

Y Y Y	Y			
reprocess Classify Cluster Associa	ate Select attributes	Visualize	 	
ssifier			 	_
Choose MB C 0.35 M 3				_
348 -C 0.25 -M 2				
stoptions	Classifier output			
		num_1005		
Solution of the set		num_file_creations		
Supplied test set Set		num_shells		
Cross validation Folds 10		num_access_files		
Cross-validation Folds 10		num_outbound_cmds		
Percentage split % 66		is_host_login		
		is_guest_login		
More options		count		
		srv_cound		
		srv serror rate		
om) class		rerror rate		
		srv rerror rate		
Start Stop		same srv rate		
sult list (right-click for options)		diff_srv_rate		
		<pre>srv_diff_host_rate</pre>		
)2:18:12 - trees.J48		dst_host_count		
		dst_host_srv_count		
		dst_host_same_srv_rate		
		dst_host_diff_srv_rate		
		dst_host_same_src_port_rate		
		dst_host_srv_diff_host_rate		
		dst_nost_serror_rate		
		dat_host_srv_serior_rate		
		dst_host_srv_rerror_rate		
		class		
	Test mode:	evaluate on training data		
		-		
A				

Figure 23: Step 4 – Train the dataset

Choose "Use training set" to ask the classifier to evaluate the model based on the training file uploaded. Wait until the model is built using the training dataset.

Preprocess Classifier Choose J48 - C 0.25 - M 2 Fest options Classifier output Use training set Supplied test set Supplied test set Set Orrectly Classifier Instances 12555 Incorrectly Classified Instances 12555 Incorrectly Classified Instances 0.9982 More options 0.9982 Nom() dass 0.001 more paylons Patter Process / 48 Stopp Blant Stopp 021512- brees / 48 The taken to test model on training data: 0.19 seconds	Weka Explorer									_		×
Choose J48 - C 0.25 - M 2 Test options O Use training set O Use training set O Tross-validation Folds 10 Percentage split % 66 More options More options Number of Instances Istart Start Stare PE cant P	Preprocess Classify	Cluster Associate	Select attributes	Visualize								
Choose J48 - C 0.25 - M 2 Rest options Chossifier output • Use training set • Supplied tests of • Crossifier output • Crossifier output • Crossifier output • Crossifier output • Correctly Classified Instances • L2555 • Set • Correctly Classified Instances • L25973 • Correctly Classified Instances 125973 • Coll • Contractive squared error • S.5583 • Total Number of Instances 125973 • == Detailed Accuracy By Class • ==	Classifier		ь <u>ь</u>									
Rest options Classifier output • Use training set Supplied test set • Supplied test set Set • Cross-validation Folds 10 • Percentage split 566 • More options 0.5952 • Nore options 0.5952 • Nore options 0.5952 • Nore options 0.0905 % • Start Stop Result list (right-clck for options) 0.2322 • Detailed Accuracy By Class === TP Rate FP Rate Precision Recall F-Measure MCC ROC Area FRC Area Cle • 1.000 0.091 0.599 0.599 0.599 1.000 1.000 • Detailed Accuracy By Class === TP Rate FP Rate Precision Recall F-Measure MCC ROC Area FRC Area Cle 1.000 0.091 0.999 0.999 0.999 1.000 1.000 noot • Detailed Accuracy By Class == Confusion Matrix === a b < classified as	Choose J48 -C 0.2	:5 -M 2										
<pre> Use training set Supplied test set Supplied test set Supplied test set Supplied test set Set Time taken to test model on training data: 0.19 seconds "== Summary === Correctly Classified Instances 125859 Incorrectly Classified Instances 114 Kappa statistic 0.9992 Nore options. Nore options. Start Stop Start Stop Correct options TP Rate FP Rate Precision Recall F-Measure MCC RoC Area FRC Area Cla 1.000 0.001 0.999 0.099 0.999 0.999 0.999 1.000 1.000 not 0.999 0.099 0.999 0.999 0.999 1.000 1.000 not Supplied Arg. 0.999 0.001 0.999 0.999 0.999 0.999 1.000 1.000 not Supplied Arg. 0.999 0.001 0.999 0.999 0.999 0.999 1.000 1.000 === Confusion Matrix ===</pre>	Test options		Classifier output									
Supplied test set Set O percentage split % 66 More options 0.9982 More options 0.9982 Nome options 0.0001 Root mean squared error 0.0017 Root relative absolute error 0.03232 Relative absolute error 0.3432 % Root relative squared error 5.8583 % Total Number of Instances 125973 === Detailed Accuracy By Class === I.000 0.001 Nois 0.999 0.000 0.999 0.999 0.998 1.000 1.000 Patte FP Rate FP Rate Precision Recall F-Measure MCC ROC Area FRC Area Clast 1.000 0.999 0.99	 Use training set 		=== Evaluation	on trainin	ig set ===							Ă
Cross-validation Fords 10 Percentage split % 66 More options 0.995 114 Nome options 0.992 0.0017 Nome options 0.992 0.0017 Start Stop 0.0017 Result list (right-click for options) 0.3432 & \$ 02 18/12-trees_J48 TP Rate FP Rate Precision Recall F-Measure MCC ROC Area FRC Area Classified Accuracy By Class === TP Rate FP Rate Precision Recall F-Measure MCC ROC Area FRC Area Classified Arg. 0.999 0.099 0.999 0.999 0.999 1.000 1.000 noor 10 status 0.999 0.099 0.999 0.999 0.999 0.999 1.000 1.000 noor 05 Katus Status b << classified as	 Supplied test set 	Set	Time taken to	test model	on traini	ing data: 0.	19 secon	is				
Percentage split % 66 More options Incorrectly Classified Instances 128859 (Nom) class 14 Start Stop Result list (right-click for options) 0.0301 02:18:12-trees_J48 TP Rate FP Rate Precision Recall F-Measure MCC RCA area FRC Area Cla 1.000 0.001 0.999 0.999 Weighted Avg. 0.999 0.999 0.999 0.999 0.999 Weighted Avg. 0.999 0.999 0.999 0.999 0.999 0.999 0.999 0.999 0.999 1.000 1.000 1.000 0.999 0.999 0.999 1.000 1.000 1.000 1.000 1.000 1.000 1.001 1.000 1.002 1.000 1.003 3.1 1.004 1.000 1.005 1.000 1.005 1.000 1.000 1.000 1.001 1.000	O Cross-validation	olds 10	5110002.017	_								
More options Correctly Classified Instances 125859 99.9055 % Incorrectly Classified Instances 114 0.0905 % Mean absolute error 0.0017 Result list (right-click for options) Root relative squared error 0.3432 % Root relative squared error 5.553 % Total Number of Instances 125973 === Detailed Accuracy By Class === TP Rate FP Rate Precision Recall F-Measure MCC ROC Area PRC Area Cla 1.000 0.001 0.999 1.999 1.000 1.000 0.999 0.001 0.999 1.999 1.999 1.000 And weighted Avg. 0.999 0.099 0.999 0.999 1.000 1.000 a b<< classified as	O Percentage split	% 66	Junnary ==	-								
(Norm) class Kappa statistic 0.9982 (Norm) class Nam absolute error 0.0017 Start Stop Result list (right-click for options) Result list (right-click for options) 02:18:12-trees_I48 TP Rate FP Rate Precision Recall F-Measure MCC ROC Area PRC Area Clist 1.000 0.001 0.999 0.999 0.999 0.998 1.000 1.000 nor 0.999 0.999 0.999 0.999 0.998 1.000 1.000 nor 0.999 0.999 0.999 0.999 0.998 1.000 1.000 And 0.999 0.999 0.999 0.999 0.998 1.000 1.000 And 0.999 0.999 0.999 0.999 0.999 0.998 1.000 1.000 weighted Avg. 0.999 0.001 0.999 0.999 0.999 0.998 1.000 1.000 And 0.999 0.999 0.999 0.999 0.998 1.000 1.000 === Conflusion Matrix === a b < classified as 67310 33 a = normal 81 58549 b = Anomaly	Correctly Classified Insta More options				ances Istances	125859 114		99.9095 0.0905	40			
(Nom) class 0.0017 Rean absolute error 0.0292 Relative absolute error 0.3432 % Root relative squared error 5.8583 % Total Number of Instances 125973 === Detailed Accuracy By Class === TP Rate FP Rate Precision Recall F-Measure MCC ROC Area FRC Area Cla 1.000 0.001 0.999 1.000 1.000 nor 02:18:12 - trees_J48 TP Rate FP Rate Precision Recall F-Measure MCC ROC Area FRC Area Cla 1.000 0.099 0.999 0.999 1.000 1.000 nor 02:18:12 - trees_J48 TP Rate FP Rate Precision Recall F-Measure MCC ROC Area FRC Area Cla 1.000 0.999 0.999 0.999 1.000 1.000 And 02:18:12 - trees_J48 TP Rate FP Rate precision Recall F-Measure MCC ROC Area FRC Area Cla 1.000 1.000 And weighted Avg. 0.999 0.999 0.999 0.999 1.000 1.000 And === Confusion Matrix === a b < classified as			Kappa statistic			0.99	82					
<pre>(Nom) class Start Stop Result list (right-click for options) 02:18:12 - trees_J48 00: 18:12 - trees_J48 00: 10: 00: 00: 00: 00: 00: 00: 00: 00:</pre>	a		Mean absolute error			0.00	017					
Start Stop Result list (right-click for options) Root relative squared error 5.8583 % 02:18:12 - trees_J48 TP Rate FP Rate Precision Recall F-Measure MCC ROC Area FRC Area Cla 1.000 0.001 0.999 0.099 0.999 1.000 1.000 nor 0:99 0.001 0.999 0.999 0.999 0.999 0.999 1.000 1.000 nor 0:99 0.001 0.999 0.999 0.999 0.999 0.999 1.000 1.000 nor Weighted Avg. 0.999 0.001 0.999 0.999 0.999 1.000 1.000 and a b < classified as 67310 33 a = normal 81 58549 b = Anomaly Image: Status Image: Status Log x0	(Nom) class	`	Root mean squared error Relative absolute error			0.02	.92 132 %					
Statu Stop Result list (right-click for options) Total Number of Instances 125973 02:18:12-trees_J48 Detailed Accuracy By Class === TP Rate FP Rate Precision Recall F-Measure MCC ROC Area PRC Area Cle 1.000 0.001 0.999 1.000 0.999 0.999 Weighted Avg. 0.999 0.001 0.999 0.999 0.999 1.000 1.000 Confusion Matrix === a b < classified as 67310 33 a = normal 81 58549 b = Anomaly	Start	Stop	Root relative	squared err	ror	5.85	83 %					
Result list (right-click for options) 02:18:12 - trees_J48 TP Rate FP Rate Precision Recall F-Measure MCC ROC Area PRC Area Cle 1.000 0.001 0.999 0.999 0.998 1.000 1.000 nor Weighted Avg. 0.999 0.001 0.999 0.999 0.999 1.000 1.000 And === Confusion Matrix === a b < classified as 67310 33 a = normal 81 58549 b = Anomaly Status OK Log x0	Statt	Stop	Total Number o	f Instances	3	125973						
02:18:12 - trees_J48 TP Rate FP Rate Precision Recall F-Measure MCC ROC Area PRC Area Cla 1.000 0.001 0.999 1.000 0.999 0.998 1.000 1.000 not 0.999 0.000 0.999 0.999 0.999 0.998 1.000 1.000 And Weighted Avg. 0.999 0.001 0.999 0.999 0.999 0.998 1.000 1.000 === Confusion Matrix === a b < classified as 67310 33 a = normal 81 58549 b = Anomaly Status	Result list (right-click for	options)		_								
TP Rate FP Rate Precision Recall F-Measure MCC ROC Area PRC Area Cle 1.000 0.001 0.999 1.000 0.999 0.998 1.000 1.000 1.000 ==== Confusion Matrix === a b<< classified as	02:18:12 - trees.J48		=== Detailed A	ccuracy By	Class ===	-						
1.000 0.001 0.999 1.000 0.999 0.998 1.000 1.000 And Weighted Avg. 0.999 0.001 0.999 0.999 0.999 0.998 1.000 1.000 1.000 === Confusion Matrix ==== a b<				TP Rate	FP Rate	Precision	Recall	F-Measure	MCC	ROC Area	PRC Area	Cla
0.999 0.000 0.999 0.999 0.999 0.998 1.000 1.000 And Weighted Avg. 0.999 0.001 0.999 0.999 0.999 0.998 1.000 1.000 === Confusion Matrix === a b < classified as 67310 33 a = normal 81 58549 b = Anomaly Status				1.000	0.001	0.999	1.000	0.999	0.998	1.000	1.000	nor
weighted Avg. 0.999			The submer of them	0.999	0.000	0.999	0.999	0.999	0.998	1.000	1.000	And
e== Confusion Matrix === a b < classified as 67310 33 a = normal 81 58549 b = Anomaly Status OK			weighted Avg.	0.999	0.001	0.999	0.999	0.999	0.998	1.000	1.000	
a b < classified as			=== Confusion	Matrix ===								
67310 33 a = normal 81 58549 b = Anomaly Status			a b	< classi	ified as							
81 58549 + b = Anomaly Status OK			67310 33	a = no	ormal							
Status OK			81 58549	b = An	nomaly							
Status OK												
Status OK												V
OK Log x0]										7 Þ.
OK Log x0	Status											
	ок										Log	🔊 x 0

Figure 24: Training accuracy result

The student must train the model using the training dataset and J48 classifier. Choose "Use training set" option then click start and wait until the results appear. The training accuracy is 99.9095% when using the whole dataset (with 42 attributes).

Weka Explorer	
Preprocess Classify Cluster Asso	ciate Select attributes Visualize
lassifier	
Choose J48 -C 0.25 -M 2	
est options	Classifier output
◯ Use training set	=== Evaluation on training set ===
Supplied test set Set	Time taken to test model on training data: 0.19 seconds
Cross-validation Folds 10	
Percentage split % 66	=== Summary ===
	Correctly Classified Instances 125859 99.9095 %
More options	Kap C Test Instances - C × 0.0905 %
a	Mea Relation: None Attributes: None
(Nom) class	Re1 Instances: None Sum of weights: None
Start Stop	Roo Open file Open URL
Result list (right-click for options)	Class No Clonen a set of instances from a file
02:18:12 - trees J48	
	Close easure MCC ROC Area PRC Area Cla
	1.000 0.001 0.999 1.000 0.999 0.998 1.000 1.000 nor
	Weighted Avg. 0.999 0.001 0.999 0.999 0.999 0.998 1.000 1.000
	=== Confusion Matrix ===
	a b < classified as
	81 58549 b = Anomaly
Statue	
UK	

Figure 25: Step 5 - Test the dataset

After training the classifier, the student must test its accuracy using the testing dataset file. Click on "Supplied test set" option, then "Open file" then choose the file location.

Weka Explorer			×
Proprocess Classify Cluster Associat	a Salact attributes Visualiza		
Classifier			
Choose J48 -C 0.25 -M 2			
Test options	Classifier output		
Use training set Supplied test set Cross-validation Folds Percentage split % More options (Nom) class	Train and test set are not compatible. Not would you like to automatically wrap the classifier in an "InputMappedClassifier" before proceeding?.		4
02:18:12 - trees_J48	Yes No 1.000 0.001 0.999 1.000 0.999 0.999 1.000 0.999 0.000 0.999 0.999 0.999 0.999 1.000 Weighted Avg. 0.999 0.001 0.999 0.999 0.999 1.000 === Confusion Matrix === a b < classified as 67310 33 a = normal 81<58549 b = Anomaly >= Anomaly	PRC Area 1.000 1.000 1.000	Cla nor Anc
Status			
Setting up		Log	x 0

Figure 26. Wrap the classifier

The classifier panel might show an error message in case if the training and testing file are not compatible. The user clicks Yes so the software will wrap the classifier before processing.

Weka Explorer								_		×
Preprocess Classify Cluster Associate	Select attributes	Visualize								
Classifier	I	I								
Choose J48 -C 0.25 -M 2										
Test options 0	Classifier output									
O Use training set	Evaluation	on test se	eu ===							
Supplied test set Set	Time taken to	test model	on suppli	ed test set	: 0 seco	nds				
Cross-validation Folds 10	Summary	-								
O Percentage split % 66	Correctly Clas	sified Inst	tances	98 83.0508 %						
More options	Incorrectly Classified Instances Kappa statistic			20	541	16.9492	\$			
	Mean absolute error			0.16	48					
(Nom) class	Root mean squared error			0.40	15					
	Relative absol	Relative absolute error			8					
Start Stop	Root relative	squared er:	ror	79.92	272 🕈					
Result list (right-click for options)	Toour Mander o	110001100	0	110						
02:10:12 trace 1/2	=== Detailed A	ccuracy By	Class ===							
02:18:12 - trees.J48		TD Date	FD Date	Precision	Decal1	F-Maagura	MCC	DOC Area	DDC Area	C1 2
02.28.34 - misc.inputmappedClassilier		0.982	0.311	0.747	0.982	0.848	0.697	0.831	0.782	DOT
		0.689	0.018	0.977	0.689	0.808	0.697	0.831	0.892	And
	Weighted Avg.	0.831	0.160	0.866	0.831	0.827	0.697	0.831	0.839	-
	=== Confusion	Matrix ===								
	a b < c	lassified a	as							
	56 l a =	normal								
	1942 b =	Anomaly								
	4									7
Status										
ок									Log	. x 0

Figure 27: Classifier testing accuracy

The testing accuracy is 83.0508 % and it must be lower than the training accuracy because the instances / examples in the testing file will not be the same of that in the training file.

• <u>Apply 1st method of feature selection: CFS Subset Evaluator</u>

Attribute selection / feature selection searches all the possible combinations of attributes to find which subset of attributes gives the best results. Attribute selection consists of two parts which are: attribute evaluator such as CFS, Information Gain and Gain Ration and search method such as best first, ranker, random method and others.



Figure 28: Step 6 - Select best attributes using CFS method and "Use full training set" mode



Figure 29: Step 6 - Select best attributes using CFS method and "Cross-validation" mode

CFS subset evaluator is one of the feature selection methods available in WEKA tool. It belongs to filter methods category and it evaluates the weight of a group of attributes by considering the individual ability of each attribute along with the degree of redundancy between them. Subsets of features that are highly correlated with the class while having low inter correlation with the other attributes are preferred.

To apply it, click on "Select attributes" option, then choose "CFS Subset Evaluator" and "Best First" searching method and start the selection process. The attributes selected are six which are A4 – flag, A5 – src_bytes, A6 – dst_bytes, A12 – logged_in, A26 – srv_serror_rate and A30 – diff_srv_rate. There are two options for the "Attribute Selection Mode" which are: Use full training set and Cross-validation. When using CFS, both of these modes give the same results but cross-validation gives more details about each attribute

such as the percentage of their contribution in the accuracy. The student will select the attributes that appear in all of the 10 folds (100%) which are A4, A5, A6, A12 and A26.

•	
Weka Explorer	- L X
Preprocess Classify Cluster Associate Select attributes Visualize	
Open file Open URL Open DB Gene	rate Undo Edit Save
Filter	
Chasse	Annha
None	Афруу Стор
Current relation	Selected attribute
Relation: nsl-kdd training dataset Attributes: 42	Name: class Type: Nominal
Instances: 125973 Sum of weights: 125973	Missing: 0 (0%) Distinct: 2 Unique: 0 (0%)
Attributes	No. Label Count Weight
	1 normal 67343 67343.0
All None Invert Pattern	2 Anomaly 58630 58630.0
No. Name	
1 duration	
2 protocol_type	
4 V liag	
6 √ dst hytes	
7 and	Class: class (Nom) Visualize Al
8 wrong_fragment	
9 🔲 urgent	67343
10 🗌 hot	
11 _ num_failed_logins	58630
12 V logged_in	
13 num_compromised	
14 100LSITEII	
16 num root	
17 num file creations	
Remove	
Status	
ОК	Log 🛷 X

Preprocess Classify Cluster Associate Select attributes Visualize				~
Open file Open URL Open DB Gene	erate Undo	Edi	t Save.	
ilter				
Choose None			Apply	Stop
urrent relation	Selected attribute			
Relation: nsI-kdd training dataset-weka filters.unsuperv Attributes: 6 Instances: 125973 Sum of weights: 125973	Name: flag Missing: 0 (0%)	Distinct: 11	Type: Nominal Unique: 0 (0%)	
ttributes	No. Label	Count	Weight	
All None Invert Pattern No. Name 1	1 SF 2 S0 3 REJ 4 RSTR 5 SH 6 RSTO 7 S1 8 RSTOS0 9 S3 Class: class (Nom)	74945 34851 11233 2421 271 1562 365 103 49	74945.0 34951.0 11233.0 2421.0 271.0 1562.0 365.0 103.0 49.0 Vis	sualize Al
Remove	34851	1 <u>271</u> 1662 38	5 103 49 127	46

Figure 30: Step 7 - Keep only 5 attributes selected by CFS

To test the efficiency of CFS method, the student deleted 36 attributes from the training dataset and kept the best 5 attributes selected by CFS method only (attributes that achieved 100% weight in finding the accuracy) along with the class label as illustrated above.

🥥 Weka Ex	plorer											_
Preprocess	Classify	Cluster	Associate	Select attributes	Visualize							
Classifier												
Choose	J48 -C 0.2	25 - M 2										
Test options				Classifier output								
💿 Use trair	ning set			=== Evaluatio	n on trainin	ig set ===						
Supplied	i test set	Set.		Time taken to	test model	on traini	ng data: 0.	1 seconds	3			
O Cross-va	alidation F	olds 10		=== Summary =								
O Percenta	age split	% 66		Correctly Cla	peified Tra	ances	122962		97 6000	8		
More options			Incorrectly C	lassified Ins	nstances	3011		2.3902	8			
			Kappa statistic				0.95					
				Mean absolute	Mean absolute error			0.0375				
(Nom) class			•	Root mean squ	ared error		0.13					
				Relative absolute error			7.53					
Start		Sto	p	Root relative	squared er	ror	27.44	144 8				
Result list (rig	ht-click for	options)		IOCAI NUMBER	or instance:	5	125975					
07:48:34 - tr	ees 148			=== Detailed	Accuracy By	Class ===						
07:49:21 - n	nisc InputM	annedClas	sifier		TP Rate	FP Rate	Precision	Recall	F-Measure	MCC	ROC Area	PRC Area
00:24:55	noc.inputm	appedoiaa	Siller		0.957	0.002	0.998	0.957	0.977	0,953	0.996	0.994
09.34.55 - 1	ees.J48				0.998	0.043	0.953	0.998	0.975	0.953	0.996	0.992
				Weighted Avg.	0.976	0.021	0.977	0.976	0.976	0.953	0.996	0.993
				=== Confusion	Matrix ===							
				a b	< class	ified as						
				64477 2866	a = no	ormal						
				145 58485	b=Aı	nomaly						
				•								
Status												
ок												Log

Figure 31: Step 8 - Train the model with the attributes selected from CFS method only

Train the model using the best 5 attributes selected from CFS method only that are in the training file along with the class label.

Weka Explorer									_	
Preprocess Classify Cluster Associate	Select attributes	isualize								
Classifier										
Choose J48 -C 0.25 -M 2										
Test options	Classifier output									
◯ Use training set	=== Evaluation	on test se	:. ===							
Supplied test set Set	Time taken to t	Time taken to test model on supplied test set: 0.08 seconds								
O Cross-validation Folds 10	=== Summary ===									
O Percentage split % 66	Correctly Class	ified Trat		102		96 4407				
More options	Correctly Classified Instances Incorrectly Classified Instances			102		13.5593	8			
	Kappa statistic			0.7301						
	Mean absolute e	Mean absolute error			929					
(Nom) class	Root mean squar	Root mean squared error			174					
	Relative absolu	Relative absolute error								
Start Stop	Total Number of	Instances	101	118	193 8					
Result list (right-click for options)		_	~							
07:48:34 - trees .148	=== Detailed Ad	curacy By	Class ===							
07:49:21 - misc InputMappedClassifier		TP Rate	FP Rate	Precision	Recall	F-Measure	MCC	ROC Area	PRC Area	
09:34:55 - trees .148		0.947	0.213	0.806	0.947	0.871	0.741	0.881	0.826	
09:37:14 - misc InnutMannedClassifier		0.787	0.053	0.941	0.787	0.857	0.741	0.881	0.895	
	Weighted Avg.	0.864	0.130	0.876	0.864	0.864	0.741	0.881	0.862	
	=== Confusion M	atrix ===								
	2 h (0]	annified -								
	54 3 a = n	ormal								
	13 48 b = A	nomaly								
)				
Status										
ОК									Log	

Figure 32: Step 9 – Test the model with the attributes selected from CFS method only

Test the model using the same 5 attributes selected from CFS method only that are in the testing file along with the class label. The testing accuracy is 86.4407% and it is higher than the testing accuracy generated by the whole dataset (83.0508%).

• Apply 2nd method of feature selection: Information Gain Attribute Evaluator

The student repeats the same process from step 6 to step 9 with IG method but don't forget to click undo under Preprocess tab to retrieves all the 41 attributes.



Figure 33: Step 10 - Select best attributes using IG method and "Use full training set" mode

To apply the second method which is Information Gain, click on "Select attributes" option, then choose "Information Gain Attribute Evaluator" and "Ranker" searching method and start the selection process. Ranker search method was chosen because all the attributes are ranked according to their participant in the finding the classifier accuracy. As it was mentioned in chapter 2, IG is considered as a wrapper method where it evaluates each attribute separately (unlike CFS that evaluates the correlation between the attributes), so the ranker search method is recommended to be used because it will provide the weight of each attribute (Aggarwal, 2013). The best 6 attributes were selected by "Use full training set" mode are: A5 – src_bytes, A3 – service, A6 – dst_bytes, A4 – flag, A30 – diff_srv_rate and A29 – same_srvrate.

Preprocess Ciassify Cluster Associate Select attributes Visualize tribute Evaluator Choose InfoGainAttributeEval arch Method Choose Ranker-T-1.7976931348623157E308-N-1 Tribute Selection Mode Use full training set Ouse full training set Coss-validation Seed 1 Verification mode: 10-fold cross-validation Vuse full training set Ouse full trainin	Weka Explorer					_		×
tribute Evaluator Choose InfoGanAttributeEval aarch Method Choose Ranker -T -1.7976931348623157E308-N-1 tribute Selection Mode Attribute selection output Use full training set Evaluation mode: Seed 1 == Attribute selection 10 fold cross-validation (stratified), seed: 1 === average marit average rank attribute 0.516 + 0.001 1 + 0 3 stribute 0.516 + 0.001 2 + 0 3 service 0.516 + 0.001 4.1 + 0.3 4 flag 09/30.30. Ranker + InfoGainAttributeEval 0.41 + 0.001 5 + 0 28 same_stry_rate 0.418 + 0.001 6 + 0 29 same_stry_rate 0.41 + 0.001 6 + 0 29 same_stry_rate 0.418 + 0.001 0.5 + 0 001 1.9 + 0 3 dst_host_serro_rate 0.41 + 0.001 9 + 0 25 serro_rate 0.419 + 0.001 1.9 + 0 25 serro_rate 0.459 + 0.001 1.9 + 0 25 serro_rate 0.459 + 0.001 1.9 + 0 25 serro_rate 0.419 + 0.001 1.5 + 0 2 25 serro_rate 0.320 + 0.001 1.5 + 0 25 serro_rate 0.320 + 0.001 1.9 + 0 25 dst_host_sound 0.419 + 0.001 1.5 + 0 2 25 serro_rate 0.320 + 0.001 1.5 + 0 25 serro_rate 0.320 + 0.001 0.4 + 0.025 serro_rat	Preprocess Classify Cluster Associate	e Select attributes Vis	Jalize					
InfoGainAttributeEval arch Method Choose Ranker -T-1.7976931348623157E308-N-1 tribute Selection Mode Image: Selection output Use full training set Evaluation mode: 10-fold cross-validation Start Seed 1 suit ist (right-Click for options) Image: Start 003.030 - Ranker + InfoGainAttributeEval Attribute selection 10 fold cross-validation (stratified), seed: 1 === average merit average rank attribute 09.30.30 - Ranker + InfoGainAttributeEval 0.515 + 0.001 4.9 + 0.3 30 dtif_grv_rate 0.515 + 0.001 4.1 + 0.03 36 dtp.tost_sev_count 0.519 + 0.001 10.1 + 0.3 38 dtp.tost_sev_count 0.438 + 0.001 0.1 + 0.3 38 dtp.tost_sev_count 0.438 + 0.001 10.9 + 0.3 12 loggtd_ln 0.438 + 0.001 10.9 + 0.3 12 loggtd_ln 0.359 + 0.001 10.9 + 0.3 12 loggtd_ln 0.438 + 0.001 11.9 + 0.3 12 loggt_d_ln 0.359 + 0.001 10.9 + 0.3 12 loggt_d_ln 0.359 + 0.001 15 + 0 26 srv_serror_rate 0.359 + 0.001 15 + 0 26 srv_serror_rate 0.359 + 0.001 15 + 0 32 dts_thost_ser_scount 0.359 + 0.001 15 + 0 32 dts_thost_ser_scount 0.359 + 0.001 15 + 0 26 srv_serror_rate 0.359 + 0.001 15 + 0 26 srv_serror_rate 0.359 + 0.001 15 + 0 32 dts_thost_srv_count 0.359 + 0.001 15 + 0 32 dts_thost_srv_count 0.359 + 0.	Attribute Evaluator							
arch Method Choose Ranker -T -1.7978931348623157E308-N-1 tribute Selection Mode Use full training set Cross-validation Seed 1 start slop Start slop Start slop City + A tribute selection 10 fold cross-validation (stratified), seed: 1 === Attribute selection 10 fold cross-validation (stratified), seed: 1 === average mark attribute Oc 22 + 0.001 2 + 0 3 service Oc 32 + 0.001 3 + 0 6 dat_bytes Oc 519 + 0.001 4.1 + 0.3 4 diag Oc 519 + 0.001 4.1 + 0.3 4 diag Oc 76 + 0.001 7 + 0 33 dat_host_serv_rate Oc 406 + 0.001 10.1 + 0.3 38 dat_host_serv_rate Oc 406 + 0.001 10.1 + 0.3 38 dat_host_serv_rate Oc 406 + 0.001 10.1 + 0.3 38 dat_host_serv_rate Oc 406 + 0.001 10.1 + 0.3 38 dat_host_serv_rate Oc 406 + 0.001 10.1 + 0.3 38 dat_host_serv_rate Oc 406 + 0.001 10.1 + 0.3 38 dat_host_serv_rate Oc 406 + 0.001 10.1 + 0.3 38 dat_host_serv_rate Oc 406 + 0.001 10.1 + 0.3 38 dat_host_serv_rate Oc 405 + 0.001 13 + 0 25 serv_serror_rate Oc 303 + 0.001 13 + 0 25 serv_serror_rate Oc 303 + 0.001 13 + 0 25 serv_serror_rate Oc 304 + 0.001 14 + 0 33 dat_host_serv_serv_serve Oc 18 + 0 0 17 + 0 3 dat_host_serv_serv_serve Oc 50 + 0 0 11 4 + 0 3 3 dat_host_serv_serve Oc 50 + 0 0 11 4 + 0 3 3 dat_host_serv_serv_serve Oc 50 + 0 0 11 4 + 0 3 3 dat_host_serv_serv_serve Oc 50 +	Choose InfoCainAttributoEval							
arch Method Choose Ranker-T-1.7976931348623157E308-N-1 tribute Selection Mode Use full training set Closs-validation Folds Seed Start Stop Start Stop Old 6 + 0.001 1 + 0 - 5 src_bytes O.519 + 0.001 4.1 + 0.3 4.1 + 0.3 4.1 + 0.3 4.1 + 0.3 4.1 + 0.01 5.1 + 0.001 1.0.1 + 0.3 3.1 + 0.001 4.1 + 0.33 4.1 + 0.01 4.1 + 0.01 4.1 + 0.03 4.1 + 0.01 4.1 + 0.01								
Choose Ranker -T-1.7976931348623157E308-N-1 tribute Selection Mode Use full training set Cross-validation Folds Fo	Search Method							
Lindose Raiker + 1+1,976313486231372800-341 tribute Selection Mode Attibute selection output U Use full training set Image:	Change Barker T 4 707602424062244	575000 NI 4						
tribute Selection Mode Attribute selection output Use full training set Evaluation mode: 10-fold cross-validation Cross-validation Folds 10 Seed 1	Choose Ranker -1 -1.797893134862318	5/E308-N-1						
Use full training set Evaluation mode: 10-fold cross-validation Image: Cross-validation Folds 10 Seed 1	Attribute Selection Mode	Attribute selection output	t					
OC Cross-validation Folds 10 Seed 1	O Lise full training set							
Coloss-valuation Poids 0 Seed 1 Nom) class	Cross validation Folds 10	Evaluation mode:	10-fold cross	-validation				r.
Seed 1 === Attribute selection 10 fold cross-validation (stratified), seed: 1 === average merit average rank attribute 0.816 + 0.001 1 + + 0 5 src_bytes 0.816 + 0.001 2 + 0 3 service 0.672 + 0.001 3 + 0 6 dst_bytes 0.639 + 0.001 4 + + 0.3 4 flag 0.519 + 0.001 4 + 0 + 0 39 same_srv_rate 0.519 + 0.001 4 + 0 + 0 33 dst_host_srv_rate 0.519 + 0.001 7 + 0 33 dst_host_srv_rate 0.476 + 0.001 7 + 0 33 dst_host_srv_rate 0.41 + 0.001 9 + 0 3 st dst_host_srv_rate 0.405 + 0.001 10.9 + 0.3 12 logged_in 0.398 + 0.001 13 + 0 23 count 0.405 + 0.001 13 + 0 23 count 0.399 + 0.001 14 + 0 23 count 0.405 + 0.001 15 + 0 26 srv_serror_rate 0.399 + 0.001 13 + 0 23 count 0.405 + 0.001 14 + 0 33 dst_host_srv_serror_rate 0.399 + 0.001 14 + 0 23 count 0.399 + 0.001 15 + 0 36 dst_host_srv_serror_rate 0.399 + 0.001 14 + 0 33 dst_host_srv_serror_rate 0.399 + 0.001 14 + 0 33 dst_host_srv_serror_rate 0.399 + 0.001 15 + 0 36 dst_host_srv_diff_host_rate 0.189 + 0 18 + 0 17 + 0 36 dst_host_same_src_port_rate 0.189 + 0 18 + 0 36 dst_host_same_src_port_rate								
<pre> Attribute selection 10 fold cross-validation (stratified), seed: 1</pre>	Seed 1							
Nom) class average merit average rank attribute Start Stop 0.816 + 0.001 1 + 0 5 src_bytes seutlist (right-click for options) 0.632 + 0.001 3 + 0 6 ds_bytes 09:30:30 - Ranker + InfoGainAttributeEval 0.519 + 0.001 4.1 + - 0.3 4 flag 09:32:51 - Ranker + InfoGainAttributeEval 0.476 + 0.001 7 + 0 33 dst_host_srv_rate 0.414 + 0.001 9 + 0 35 dst_host_srv_rate 0.406 + 0.001 10.1 + - 0.33 38 dst_host_srv_rate 0.406 + 0.001 10.1 + - 0.33 38 dst_host_srv_rate 0.406 + 0.001 10.9 + - 0.33 12 logged_in 0.393 + 0.001 13 + 0 25 serror_rate 0.393 + 0.001 13 + + 0 23 count 0.379 + 0.001 14 + 0 23 count 0.379 + 0.001 15 + - 0 26 srv_serror_rate 0.393 + 0.001 14 + 0 23 count 0.379 + 0.001 15 + - 0 26 srv_serror_rate 0.394 + 0 18		=== Attribute sele	ection 10 fold c	ross-validation (strat	ified), seed: l ===			
Start Stop esult list (right-click for options) 0.632 + 0.001 1 ++ 0 3 service 0.632 + 0.001 3 ++ 0 6 dst.bytes 0.632 + 0.001 3 ++ 0 09:30:30 - Ranker + InfoGainAttributeEval 0.519 + 0.001 4.1 + + 0.3 30 diff_srv_rate 0.519 + 0.001 4.9 + + 0.3 30 diff_srv_rate 09:30:30 - Ranker + InfoGainAttributeEval 0.476 + 0.001 7 + 0 33 dst_host_srv_count 0.418 + 0.001 9 + + 0.35 dst_host_srv_count 0.436 st-hool 4.4 st_host_srv_count 0.406 + 0.001 10.1 + - 0.3 38 dst_host_serror_rate 0.406 + + 0.401 10.9 + + 0.3 12 logged_in 0.405 + 0.001 12 + + 0 39 dst_host_serror_rate 0.393 + + 0.393 st + 0.001 113 + + 0 25 serror_rate 0.393 + + 0.394 + + 0.31 dst_host_srv_otiff_host_rate 0.198 + + 0.18 + + 0 36 dst_host_same_src_port_rate 0.198 + + 0.18 + + 0 36 dst_host_same_src_port_rate 0.189 + + 0 18 + + 0 36 dst_host_same_src_port_rate 0.18	(Nom) class	average merit	average rank	attribute]			
Start Stop esuit list (right-click for options) 09:30:30 - Ranker + InfoGainAttributeEval 09:32:51 - Ranker + InfoGainAttributeEval 0:41 + 0:001 8 + 0:0 0:41 + 0:001 9 + 0 0:41 + 0:001 10:1 + 0:3 0:405 + 0:001 10:9 + 0.3 0:39 + 0:001 13 + 0 0:39 + 0:001 13 + 0 0:39 + 0:001 14 + 0 0:39 + 0:001 15 + 0 0:39 + 0:01 15 + 0 0:198 + 0 17 + 0		0.816 +- 0.001	1 +- 0	5 src_bytes				
usult list (right-click for options) 0.632 + 0.001 3 + 0 6 dst_bytes 09:30:30 - Ranker + InfoGainAttributeEval 0.519 + 0.001 4.1 + 0.3 4 flag 09:32:51 - Ranker + InfoGainAttributeEval 0.476 + 0.001 7 + 0 33 dst_host_srv_rate 0.41 + 0.001 9 + 0 35 dst_host_srv_rate 0.476 + 0.001 7 + 0 33 dst_host_srv_rate 0.41 + 0.001 9 + 0 35 dst_host_srv_rate 0.406 + 0.001 10.1 + 0.3 38 dst_host_srv_rate 0.406 + 0.001 10.1 + 0.3 38 dst_host_srv_rate 0.398 + 0.001 13 + 0 25 serror_rate 0.398 + 0.001 13 + 0 25 serror_rate 0.379 + 0.001 15 + 0 26 srv_serror_rate 0.379 + 0.001 15 + 0 26 srv_serror_rate 0.271 + 0 16 + 0 37 dst_host_srv_diff_host_rate 0.198 + 0 17 + 0 36 dst_host_srv_diff_host_srv_diff_host_rate 0.198 + 0 18 + 0 36 dst_host_same_srv_port_rate 0.409 + 0 18 + 0 36 dst_host_same_srv_ort_rate 0.198 + 0 17 + 0 36 dst_host_same_srv_ort_rate 0.405 18 + 0 36 dst_host_same_srv_ort_rate 36 dst_host_same_srv_ort_rate 36 dst_host_same_srv_ort_rate	Start Stop	0.672 +- 0.001	2 +- 0	3 service				
00:30:30 - Ranker + InfoGainAttributeEval 09:30:30 - Ranker + InfoGainAttributeEval 09:32:51 - Ranker + InfoGainAttributeEval 0.476 + 0.001 4.9 + 0.3 30 diff_srv_rate 0.476 + 0.001 7 + 0 33 dst_host_srv_count 0.438 + 0.001 8 + 0 34 dst_host_same_srv_rate 0.41 + 0.001 9 + 0 35 dst_host_serror_rate 0.406 + 0.001 10.1 + 0.3 12 logged_in 0.398 + 0.001 12 + 0 39 dst_host_srv_serror_rate 0.398 + 0.001 12 + 0 25 serror_rate 0.394 + 0.001 15 + 0 26 srv_serror_rate 0.271 + 0 16 + 0 37 dst_host_srv_diff_host_rate 0.198 + 0 17 + 0 32 dst_host_sount 0.198 + 0 18 + 0 36 dst_host_same_src_port_rate 0.198 + 0 18 + 0 36 dst_host_same_src_port_rate 0.198 + 0 18 + 0 36 dst_host_same_src_port_rate	Result list (right-click for options)	0.632 +- 0.001	3 +- 0	6 dst_bytes				
09:30:30 - Ranker + InfoGainAttributeEval 09:32:51 - Ranker + InfoGainAttributeEval 0.476 + 0.001 6 + + 0 29 same_srv_rate 0.476 + 0.001 7 + + 0 33 dst_host_srv_count 0.438 + 0.001 8 + + 0 34 dst_host_same_srv_rate 0.406 + 0.001 10.1 + - 0.3 38 dst_host_serror_rate 0.406 + 0.001 10.9 + - 0.3 12 logged_in 0.398 + 0.001 12 + - 0 39 dst_host_srv_serror_rate 0.398 + 0.001 13 + - 0 25 serror_rate 0.393 + 0.001 15 + + 0 26 srv_serror_rate 0.379 + 0.001 15 + + 0 32 dst_host_srv_diff_host_rate 0.198 + 0 17 + + 0 32 dst_host_srv_diff_host_rate 0.199 + 0 18 + + 0 36 dst_host_same_src_port_rate 1.189 + 0 18 + + 0 36 dst_host_same_src_port_rate 0.199 + 0 18 + + 0 36 dst_host_same_src_port_rate		0.519 +- 0.001	4.1 +- 0.3	4 flag				
09:32:51 - Ranker + InfoGainAttributeEval 0.476 +- 0.001 7 +- 0 33 dst_host_srv_count 0.438 +- 0.001 8 +- 0 35 dst_host_diff_srv_rate 0.406 +- 0.001 10.1 +- 0.3 38 dst_host_srv_rate 0.406 +- 0.001 10.9 +- 0.3 12 logged_in 0.398 +- 0.001 12 +- 0 39 dst_host_srv_serror_rate 0.393 +- 0.001 13 +- 0 25 serror_rate 0.393 +- 0.001 15 +- 0 26 srv_serror_rate 0.394 +- 0.001 15 +- 0 37 dst_host_srv_diff_host_rate 0.198 +- 0 16 +- 0 37 dst_host_srv_diff_host_rate 0.198 +- 0 18 +- 0 36 dst_host_same_src_port_rate 0.199 +- 0 18 +- 0 36 dst_host_same_src_port_rate	09:30:30 - Ranker + InfoGainAttributeEval	0.519 +- 0.001	4.9 +- 0.3	30 diff_srv_rate				
<pre>0.438 + 0.001 8 + 0 33 dst_host_same_srv_rate 0.418 + 0.001 9 + 0 35 dst_host_same_srv_rate 0.41 + 0.001 10.1 + 0.3 38 dst_host_serror_rate 0.406 + 0.001 10.9 + 0.3 12 logged_in 0.398 + 0.001 12 + 0 39 dst_host_srv_serror_rate 0.393 + 0.001 13 + 0 25 serror_rate 0.393 + 0.001 15 + 0 26 srv_serror_rate 0.379 + 0.001 15 + 0 37 dst_host_srv_diff_host_rate 0.198 + 0 17 + 0 32 dst_host_count 0.198 + 0 18 + 0 36 dst_host_same_src_port_rate 0.199 + 0 18 + 0 36 dst_host_same_src_port_rate</pre>	09:32:51 - Ranker + InfoGainAttributeEval	0.51 +- 0.001	6 +- 0 7 +- 0	29 Same_SIV_Fale	pt.			
0.41 + 0.001 9 + 0 35 dst_host_diff_srv_rate 0.406 + 0.001 10.1 + 0.3 38 dst_host_serror_rate 0.405 + 0.001 10.9 + 0.3 12 logged_in 0.398 + 0.001 12 + 0 39 dst_host_srv_serror_rate 0.393 + 0.001 13 + 0 25 serror_rate 0.394 + 0.001 14 + 0 23 count 0.379 + 0.001 15 + 0 26 srv_serror_rate 0.271 + 0 16 + 0 37 dst_host_srv_diff_host_rate 0.198 + 0 17 + 0 32 dst_host_count 0.198 + 0 18 + 0 36 dst_host_srv_diff_host_rate 0.189 + 0 18 + 0 36 dst_host_same_src_port_rate		0.438 +- 0.001	8 +- 0	34 dst host same sr	w rate			
0.406 ++ 0.001 10.1 ++ 0.3 38 dst_host_serror_rate 0.405 ++ 0.001 10.9 ++ 0.3 12 logged_in 0.398 ++ 0.001 12 ++ 0 39 dst_host_srv_serror_rate 0.393 ++ 0.001 13 ++ 0 25 serror_rate 0.384 ++ 0.001 14 ++ 0 23 count 0.379 ++ 0.001 15 ++ 0 26 srv_serror_rate 0.271 ++ 0 16 ++ 0 37 dst_host_srv_diff_host_rate 0.198 ++ 0 17 ++ 0 32 dst_host_count 0.189 ++ 0 18 ++ 0 36 dst_host_same_src_port_rate atus OK		0.41 +- 0.001	9 +- 0	35 dst host diff sr	v rate			
0.405 +- 0.001 10.9 +- 0.3 12 logged_in 0.398 +- 0.001 12 +- 0 39 dst_host_srv_serror_rate 0.393 +- 0.001 13 +- 0 25 serror_rate 0.394 +- 0.001 14 +- 0 23 count 0.379 +- 0.001 15 +- 0 26 srv_serror_rate 0.271 +- 0 16 +- 0 37 dst_host_srv_diff_host_rate 0.198 +- 0 17 +- 0 32 dst_host_count 0.189 +- 0 18 +- 0 36 dst_host_same_src_port_rate atus OK		0.406 +- 0.001	10.1 +- 0.3	38 dst host serror	rate			
0.398 +- 0.001 12 +- 0 39 dst_host_srv_serror_rate 0.393 +- 0.001 13 +- 0 25 serror_rate 0.393 +- 0.001 14 +- 0 23 count 0.379 +- 0.001 15 +- 0 26 srv_serror_rate 0.271 +- 0 16 +- 0 37 dst_host_srv_diff_host_rate 0.198 +- 0 17 +- 0 32 dst_host_count 0.189 +- 0 18 +- 0 36 dst_host_same_src_port_rate		0.405 +- 0.001	10.9 +- 0.3	12 logged in	•			
0.393 +- 0.001 13 +- 0 25 serror_rate 0.384 +- 0.001 14 +- 0 23 count 0.379 +- 0.001 15 +- 0 26 srv_serror_rate 0.271 +- 0 16 +- 0 37 dst_host_srv_diff_host_rate 0.198 +- 0 17 +- 0 32 dst_host_count 0.189 +- 0 18 +- 0 36 dst_host_same_src_port_rate		0.398 +- 0.001	12 +- 0	39 dst_host_srv_ser	ror_rate			
0.384 +- 0.001 14 +- 0 23 count 0.379 +- 0.001 15 +- 0 26 srv_serror_rate 0.271 +- 0 16 +- 0 37 dst_host_srv_diff_host_rate 0.198 +- 0 17 +- 0 32 dst_host_count 0.189 +- 0 18 +- 0 36 dst_host_same_src_port_rate atus OK Log V		0.393 +- 0.001	13 +- 0	25 serror_rate	_			
0.379 +- 0.001 15 +- 0 26 srv_serror_rate 0.271 +- 0 16 +- 0 37 dst_host_srv_diff_host_rate 0.198 +- 0 17 +- 0 32 dst_host_count 0.189 +- 0 18 +- 0 36 dst_host_same_src_port_rate atus OK		0.384 +- 0.001	14 +- 0	23 count				
0.271 +- 0 16 +- 0 37 dst_host_srv_diff_host_rate 0.198 +- 0 17 +- 0 32 dst_host_count 0.189 +- 0 18 +- 0 36 dst_host_same_src_port_rate atus OK		0.379 +- 0.001	15 +- 0	26 srv_serror_rate				
0.198 +- 0 17 +- 0 32 dst_host_count 0.189 +- 0 18 +- 0 36 dst_host_same_src_port_rate		0.271 +- 0	16 +- 0	37 dst_host_srv_dif	f_host_rate			
0.189 +- 0 18 +- 0 36 dst_host_same_src_port_rate atus OK Log X		0.198 +- 0	17 +- 0	32 dst_host_count				
atus OK		0.189 +- 0	18 +- 0	36 dst_host_same_sr	c_port_rate			V
ок	Status							
OK LUY AV A							00	and the second
	OK							

Figure 34: Step 10 - Select best attributes using IG method

When applying the second mode which is "Cross-validation" the best 6 attributes selected are the same, A5 - src_bytes, A3 - service, A6 - dst_bytes, A4 - flag, A30 - diff_srv_rate and A29 - same_srvrate. Both of the attribute selection modes selected the same attributes listed above so the training and testing will be applied one time only.

Weka Explorer				- 🗆	×
Preprocess Classify Cluster Associate Select attributes Visualize					
Open file Open URL Open DB Gene	erate) Un	ido Edit	Save.	
ilter					
Choose None				Apply	Stop
urrent relation	Selected a	attribute			
Relation: nsl-kdd training dataset Attributes: 42 Instances: 125973 Sum of weights: 125973	Name Missing	e:service g:0(0%)	Distinct: 70	Type: Nominal Unique: 1 (0%)	
ttributes	No.	Label	Count	Weight	
and to 3		1 ftp_data	6860	6860.0	
		2 other	4359	4359.0	
All None Invert Pattern		3 private	21853	21853.0	
		4 http	40338	40338.0	_
No. Name		5 remote_jol	b 78	78.0	- 1
1 duration		6 name	451	451.0	- 1
2 protocol_type		/ netbios_ns	3 347	347.0	- 1
3 🗹 service		8 eco_i	4080	4080.0	
4 V flag		5 mp	433	433.0	
5 V SIC_DYTES	_				
7 land	Class: clas	ss (Nom)		Vis	ualize A
8 wrong fragment					
9 urgent					
10 🗌 hot					
11 num_failed_logins					
12 Ogged_in					
13 num_compromised					
14 U root_shell					
17 num_flo creations					
Remove		. .			
tatus			•-••- • -	858 22	132
ОК				Log	. x

Weka Explorer Classific Cluster Associate Select attributes Visualize				- 🗆 X
Preprocess Classify Cluster Associate Select autibutes Visualize				
Open file Open URL Open DB Ger	nerate	Undo	Edit	Save
Filter				
Choose None				Apply Stop
Current relation	Selected attr	ibute		
Relation: nsI-kdd training dataset-weka.filters.unsu Attributes: 7 Instances: 125973 Sum of weights: 125973	Name: s Missing: (service) (0%)	Distinct: 70	Type: Nominal Unique: 1 (0%)
Attributes	No.	Label	Count	Weight
	1 2	ftp_data other	6860 4359	6860.0 4359.0
	3	private	21853	21853.0
No Name	5	remote iob	78	78.0
	6	name	451	451.0
	7	netbios_ns	347	347.0
3 V src bytes	8	eco_i	4586	4586.0
4 🗹 dst_bytes	9	mtp	439	439.0
5	Class: class	(Nom)		Visualize All
Remove Status		ul.I.		858_ 22132
ок				Log x0

Figure 35: Step 11 - Keep only 6 attributes selected by IG

To test the efficiency of IG method, the student deleted 35 attributes from the training dataset and kept the best 6 attributes selected by IG method only along with the class label.

Weka Explorer								_		\times
Preprocess Classify Cluster Associate	Select attributes	Visualize								
Classifier										
Choose J48 -C 0.25 -M 2										
Test options	Classifier output									
Use training set	=== Evaluatio	n on crainin	g set ===							
O Supplied test set Set	Time taken to	test model	on traini	ng data: 0.	65 secon	ds				
Cross-validation Folds 10	=== Summary =				_					
O Percentage split % 66	Correctly Cla	ssified Inst	ances	125644		99.7388	*			
More options	Incorrectly C	lassified In	stances	329		0.2612	do.			
	Kappa statist	ic		0.99	48					
	Mean absolute	error		0.00	45					
(Nom) class	Root mean squ	lute error		0.04	13 8					
	Root relative	squared err	or	9.49	38 \$					
Start Stop	Total Number	of Instances	01	125973						
Result list (right-click for options)										
09:38:29 - trees.J48	=== Detailed	Accuracy By	Class ===	:						
		TP Rate	FP Rate	Precision	Recall	F-Measure	MCC	ROC Area	PRC Area	1
		0.998	0.003	0.997	0.998	0.998	0.995	1.000	1.000	
		0.997	0.002	0.998	0.997	0.997	0.995	1.000	0.999	
	Weighted Avg.	0.997	0.003	0.997	0.997	0.997	0.995	1.000	1.000	
	=== Confusion	Matrix ===								
	a b	< classi	fied as							
	67211 132	a = no	rmal							
	197 58433	b = An	omaly							
										•
									,	
Status										
ок									g	Г. x0

Figure 36: Step 12 - Train the model with the attributes selected from IG method only

Train the model using the best 6 attributes selected from IG method only that are in the training file along with the class label.

Weka Explorer								_		×
Preprocess Classify Cluster Associate	Select attributes	Visualize								
Classifier	•									
Choose J48 - C 0.25 - M 2										
Test options	Classifier output									
O Use training set	Evaluatio	n on test se	:L ===							
Supplied test set Set	Time taken to	test model	on suppli	led test set	: 0.6 se	conds				
Cross-validation Folds 10	=== Summary =									
O Percentage split % 66	Correctly Cla	ssified Inst	ances	109		92,3729	ę			
More options	Incorrectly C	lassified In	stances	9		7.6271	90			
	Kappa statist	ic		0.84	175					
(Nom) class	Root mean scu	ared error		0.05	771					
(Non) class	Relative abso	lute error		19.26	58 %					
Start Stop	Root relative	squared err	or	55.16	528 %					
	Total Number	of Instances	1	118						
Result list (right-click for options)	=== Detailed	Accuracy By	Class ===							
09:38:29 - trees.J48	Debuiicu	Accuracy by	01000							
09:39:28 - misc.InputMappedClassifier		TP Rate	FP Rate	Precision	Recall	F-Measure	MCC	ROC Area	PRC Area	1
		0.947	0.098	0.900	0.947	0.923	0.849	0.938	0.935	
		0.902	0.053	0.948	0.902	0.924	0.849	0.938	0.902	
	Weighted Avg.	0.924	0.075	0.925	0.924	0.924	0.849	0.938	0.918	
	=== Confusion	Matrix ===								
	a b <	classified a	s							
	54 3 a =	normal								
	655 b =	Anomaly								
									,	•
Statue										
Status										
OK										

Figure 37: Step 13 – Test the model with the attributes selected from IG method only

Test the model using the same 6 attributes selected from IG method only that are in the testing file along with the class label. The testing accuracy is 92.3729% and it is higher than the testing accuracy generated by the whole dataset (83.0508%).

• Apply 3rd method of feature selection: Gain Ratio Attribute Evaluator

The student repeats the same process from step 6 to step 9 with GR method but don't forget to click undo under Preprocess tab to retrieves all the 41 attributes.

Weka Explorer		_		
Preprocess Classify Cluster Associate	Select attributes Visualize			
Attribute Evaluator				
Choose GainRatioAttributeEval				
Search Method				
Choose Ranker -T -1.79769313486231	57E308 -N -1			
Attribute Selection Mode	Attribute selection output			
Use full training set Cross-validation Folds 10 Seed 1	Evaluation mode: evaluate on all training data === Attribute Selection on all input data ===			
(Nom) class Start Stop Result list (right-click for options) Start Gain Ratio feature evaluator Start evaluator <				
09:51:58 - Ranker + GainRatioAttributeEv	Ranked attributes: 0.418 12 logged_in 0.3739 26 srv_serror_rate 0.3399 4 flag 0.3327 25 serror_rate 0.322 39 dst_host_srv_serror_rate 0.2673 30 diff srv rate 0.2648 38 dst_host_serror_rate 0.2585 6 dst_bytes 0.2313 5 src_bytes 0.2243 29 same_srv_rate 0.1728 3 service			
Status			00	
ок			.00	

Figure 38: Step 14 - Select best attributes using GR method and "Use full training set" mode

To apply the third method which is Gain Ratio, click on "Select attributes" option, then choose "Gain Ratio Attribute Evaluator" and "Ranker" searching method and start the selection process. Ranker method was chosen automatically by the tool because all the attributes are ranked according to their participant in the finding the classifier accuracy. As it was mentioned in chapter 2, GR is considered as a wrapper method where it evaluates each attribute separately (unlike CFS that evaluates the correlation between the

attributes), so the ranker search method is recommended to be used because it will provide the weight of each attribute. In this method, all the attributes are ranked according to their participant in the finding the classifier accuracy. The student tries both of the attribute selection modes to check the attributes selected by each mode and built one model for each one of these modes as the following:

"Use full training set" attribute selection mode

As illustrated in the above figure, the best 6 attributes are A12, A26, A4, A25, A39 and A30.

Preprocess Classify Cluster Associate Select attributes Visualize Filter Choose Apply Stop Choose Apply Stop Current relation Selected attribute Name: flag Type: Nominal Relation: nsl-kdd training dataset-weka filters uns Attributes: 7 Name: flag Type: Nominal Missing: 0 (0%) Distinct: 11 Unique: 0 (0%) Distinct: 11 Unique: 0 (0%) Attributes Ising: 0 (0%) Distinct: 11 Unique: 0 (0%) No Label Count Weight All None Invert Pattern Second 1552 1552.0 1552.0 1552.0 751 365 365.0 133 365.0 133 1233.0 1233.0 1233.0 1233.0 1233.0 1233.0 1233.0 1233.0 1233.0 133.0 130.0 133.0 130.0 133.0 133.0 133.0 133.0 133.0 133.0 133.0 133.0 133.0 133.0 133.0 133.0 133.0 133.0 133.0 133.0 133.0 133.0	Weka Explorer		- 🗆 X
Open file Open DB Generate Undo Edit Save Filter Choose None Apply Stop Current relation Selected attribute Relation: nsl-kid training dataset-weka filters uns Attributes: Name: flag Type: Nominal Instances: 125973 Sum of weights: 125973 Name: flag Type: Nominal Attributes Instances: 125973 Sum of weights: 125973 Name: flag Type: Nominal Attributes Instances: 125973 Sum of weights: 125973 Name: flag Type: Nominal No. Label Count Weight 1 Sissip: 010%) Instances Attributes Invert Pattern 3 REJR 2421 24241.0 1 1 Sissip: 010ged_in 3 Sissip: 0103 103.0 103.0 2 M dst_nost_srv_sterror_rate Sissip: 010ged_in Sissip: 0103 103.0 103.0 103.0 3 Set of dtf_srv_rate Sissip: 010ged_in Sissip: 010ged_in Visualize <th>Preprocess Classify Cluster Associate Select attributes Visualize</th> <th></th> <th></th>	Preprocess Classify Cluster Associate Select attributes Visualize		
Current relation Selected attribute Relation: nsl-kdd training dataset-weka.filters.uns Attributes: 7 Name: flag Type: Nominal Attributes Name: flag All None No. Name 1 Sr 7 Attributes 1 Sr 7 Status Selected attribute No. Label 1 Sr 1<	Open file Open URL Open DB Gener	rrate Undo Edit	Apply Stop
Relation: nsl-kdd training dataset-weka filters uns Attributes: 7 Name: flag Type: Nominal Attributes All None Invert Pattern No. Name 1 SF 74945 749450 2 2 3 34851 34851.0 3 3 34851.0 3 3 3 34851.0 3 3 3 34851.0 3 3 3 3 34851.0 3<	Current relation	Selected attribute	
Attributes No. Label Count Weight All None Invert Pattern No. Name 3 4851 348510 1 SF 74945 74945 2 S0 34851 348510 3 # EJ 11233 112330 4 # STR 2421 24210 5 SH 271 2710 6 # Strogeror_rate 8 RSTOS0 103 9 S3 49 49.0 49.0 Class Glass 103 1030.0 9 9 S3 49 49.0 49.0 Status Remove 11222 221 252 103 49 127 40	Relation: nsI-kdd training dataset-weka.filters.uns Attributes: 7 Instances: 125973 Sum of weights: 125973	Name: flag Missing: 0 (0%) Distinct: 11	Type: Nominal Unique: 0 (0%)
All None Invert Pattern No. Name 1 SF 74945 74945.0 2 S0 34851 34851.0 34851.0 1 Mame 1233.0 4 STR 2421 2421.0 5 SH 271 271.0 6 6 6 7.51 365 365.0 8 8 RSTOS0 103.0 9 1224 1224 1224	Attributes	No. Label Count	Weight
Remove 11233 Status 2421 271 1662 385 103 49 127 46	All None Invert Pattern No. Name 1 flag 2 V logged_in 3 serror_rate 4 srv_serror_rate 5 diff_srv_rate 6 dst_host_srv_serror_rate 7 class	1 SF 74945 2 S0 34851 3 REJ 11233 4 RSTR 2421 5 SH 271 6 RSTO 1562 7 S1 365 8 RSTOS0 103 9 S3 49	74945.0 34851.0 11233.0 2421.0 271.0 1562.0 365.0 103.0 49.0
	Remove	11233 2421 271 <u>1662</u> 385	103 49 127 48

Figure 39: Step 15 - Keep only 6 attributes selected by GR

To test the efficiency of GR method, the student deleted 35 attributes from the training dataset and kept the best 6 attributes selected by GR method only along with the class label.


Figure 40: Step 16 - Train the model with the attributes selected from GR method only

Train the model using the best 6 attributes selected from GR method only (according to the ranking that is more than 0.5) that are in the training file along with the class label.

🥥 Weka Ex	plorer										_		×
Preprocess	Classify	Cluster	Associate	Select attributes	Visualize								
Classifier													
Choose	J48 -C 0.2	5-M 2											
Test options				Classifier output									
 Use train Supplied 	ning set I test set	Set.		=== Evaluatio	n on test s	et ===							A
O Cross-va	alidation F	olds 10		Time taken to	test model	on suppli	ed test set.	: 0.06 s	econds				
O Percenta	ige split	% 66		=== Summary =						-			
	More optic	ons		Correctly Cla Incorrectly C Kappa statist	ssified Ins lassified l ic	tances Instances	95 23 0.61	48	80.5085 19.4915	ala ala			
(Nom) class			•	Mean absolute	error		0.18	92					
				Root mean squ	ared error		0.34	67					
Start		Sto	p	Relative abso	lute error		37.74	125 %					
Beault list (righ	at aliak for	ontiona)		Root relative	squared er	ror	69.00)86 %					
Result list (ligi	IL-CIICK IOI	opuonsj		IOCAI NUMBER	or instance		110						
09:57:15 - tr 09:57:40 - m	ees.J48 hisc.InputMa	appedClas	sifier	=== Detailed	Accuracy By	Class ===							
09:58:59 - tr	ees.J48				TP Rate	FP Rate	Precision	Recall	F-Measure	MCC	ROC Area	PRC Area	1
00:50:23 - m	visc InputM	annadClas	cifior		1.000	0.377	0.713	1.000	0.832	0.666	0.919	0.863	
03.33.23 - 11	noc.mpuum	appedoida	Shiel		0.623	0.000	1.000	0.623	0.768	0.666	0.919	0.915	
				Weighted Avg.	0.805	0.182	0.861	0.805	0.799	0.666	0.919	0.890	
				=== Confusion	Matrix ===								
					-1								
				57 0 l a -	ciassilieu pormal	as							
				23 38 b =	Anomaly								
													-
				4									
L													
Status													
ок												og	x 0

Figure 41: Step 17 – Test the model with the attributes selected from GR method only

Test the model using the same 6 attributes selected from GR method only that are in the testing file along with the class label. The testing accuracy is 80.5085% and it is lower than the testing accuracy generated by the whole dataset (83.0508%).

Evaluate cross validation: 12, 26, 4, 25, 39, 6

Weka Explorer				-	-		×
Preprocess Classify Cluster Associate	e Select attributes V	isualize					
Attribute Evaluator							
GainkatioAttributeEval							
Search Method							
							_
Choose Ranker -T -1.79769313486231	57E308 -N -1						
Attribute Selection Mode	Attribute selection out	put					
◯ Use full training set	Evaluation mode:	10-fold cross-	validation				
Cross-validation Folds 10	Evaluation mode.	10 1010 01000	Variaabion				
Seed 1							
	=== Attribute se	election 10 fold cr	coss-validation (stratified), seed	: 1 ===			
(Nom) class	average merit	average rank a	Attribute	1			
	0.418 +- 0.001	1 +- 0	12 logged_in				
Start Stop	0.375 +- 0.002	2 +- 0	26 srv_serror_rate				
Result list (right-click for options)	0.34 +- 0.001	3 +- 0	4 flag				
	0.334 +- 0.001	4 +- 0	25 serror_rate				
09:51:58 - Ranker + GainRatioAttributeEv	0.331 +- 0.002	5 +- 0	<pre>39 dst_host_srv_serror_rate</pre>				
09:53:06 - Ranker + GainRatioAttributeEv	0.277 +- 0.016	6.6 +- 0.92	6 dst_bytes				
	0.266 +- 0.015	7.1 +- 0.7	30 diff_srv_rate				
	0.267 +- 0.002	7.3 +- 0.64	38 dst_host_serror_rate				
	0.229 +- 0.004	9.2 +- 0.4	5 src_bytes				
	0.224 +- 0.003	9.8 +- 0.4	29 same_srv_rate				
	0.173 +- 0	11 +- 0	3 Service				
	0.154 +- 0.01	12 +- 0	3/ dst_nost_srv_dlll_nost_rate				
	0.136 +- 0.004	13.4 +- 0.49	JJ GST_NOST_STV_COUNT				
	0.134 +- 0	15.0 +- 0.49	o wrong_fragment				
	0.13 +- 0.001	15 +- 0	34 ust_nost_same_srv_rate				
	0.127 +- 0.001	10 +- 0	21 any diff best note				
	0.097 += 0	18 6 4- 0 66	Al det host eru rerror rate				
	0.097 +- 0	10.0 +- 0.00	41 dst_nost_srv_ferror_fate				
Status							
ОК					Lo	g 🔌	у.х о

Figure 42: Step 18 - Select best attributes using GR method and "Cross validation" mode

As illustrated in the above figure, the best 6 attributes selected are A12, A26, A4, A25, A39 and A6.



Figure 43: Step 19 - Keep only 6 attributes selected by GR

To test the efficiency of GR method, the student deleted 35 attributes from the training dataset and kept the best 6 attributes selected by GR method only along with the class label.

Weka Explorer								_		×
Preprocess Classify Cluster Associate	Select attributes Vi	sualize								
Classifier										
Choose J48 -C 0.25 -M 2										
Test options	Classifier output									
◯ Use training set	=== Evaluation (on crainin	ig set ===							
Supplied test set	Time taken to te	est model	on traini	ng data: 0.	06 secon	ds				
O Cross-validation Folds 10	=== Summary ===									
O Percentage split % 66	Correctly Class:	ified Inst	ances	118658		94.1932	ę			
More options	Incorrectly Clas	ssified In	stances	7315		5.8068	8			
	Kappa statistic			0.88	34					
	Mean absolute en	rror		0.08	379					
(Nom) class	Root mean square	ed error		0.20	97					
	Relative absolut	te error		17.67	(JI 8					
Start Stop	Total Number of	Juared err	or	42.03	94 8					
Result list (right-click for options)	TODAT NUMBER OF	Instances		123573						
	=== Detailed Acc	curacy By	Class ===							
09:57:15 - trees.J48										
09:57:40 - misc.InputMappedClassifier		TP Rate	FP Rate	Precision	Recall	F-Measure	MCC	ROC Area	PRC Area	1
09:58:59 - trees.J48		0.904	0.015	0.986	0.904	0.943	0.888	0.983	0.981	
09:59:23 - misc InputMappedClassifier		0.985	0.096	0.900	0.985	0.940	0.888	0.983	0.973	
	Weighted Avg.	0.942	0.052	0.946	0.942	0.942	0.888	0.983	0.978	
	=== Confusion Ma	atrix ===								
	a b <	(classi	fied as							
	60894 6449	a = no	rmal							
	866 57764	b = An	omaly							
										V
)	•
Status								_		
ок									og	x 0

Figure 44: Step 20 - Train the model with the attributes selected from GR method only

Train the model using the best 6 attributes selected from GR method only that are in the training file along with the class label.

Preprocess Classify Cluster Associate Select attributes Visualize Classifier Choose J48 - C 0.25 - M 2 Test options Classifier output • Use training set • Evaluation on test set === • Supplied test set Set • Percentage split % 66 • More options 0 • Stant Stop • Stant Stop • Stant Stop • Op 57:15 - trees J48 0.856 • 0.856 0 - 102 - 0.055 18 • That first explored test of the set of t	🥥 Weka Ex	plorer										_		×
Chosse J48 - C 0.25 - M 2 Test options Use training set Supplied test set Supplied	Preprocess	Classify	Cluster	Associate	Select attributes	Visualize								
Choose J48-C 0.25-M 2 Test options Classifier output I Use training set Set I Use training set Set I Use training set Time taken to test model on supplied test set: 0.06 seconds I me taken to test model on supplied test set: 0.06 seconds I me taken to test model on supplied test set: 0.06 seconds I me taken to test model on supplied test set: 0.06 seconds I me taken to test model on supplied test set: 0.06 seconds I me taken to test model on supplied test set: 0.06 seconds I me taken to test model on supplied test set: 0.06 seconds I me taken to test model on supplied test set: 0.06 seconds I me taken to test model on supplied test set: 0.06 seconds I me taken to test model on supplied test set: 0.06 seconds I me taken to test model on supplied test set: 0.06 seconds I morrectly Classified Instances 101 Not mode sequered error 0.0551 0 g57.01 miscinputMappedClassifier 0.655 0 g59.22 miscinputMappedClassifier 0.856 0 g 59.23 miscinputMappedClassifier 0.856 0 b < classified as 0.856 0 f classified as 0.856 0 f - classified as 0.856 <t< th=""><th>Classifier</th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th></t<>	Classifier													
Test options Cassifier output Use training set Supplied test set	Choose	J48 - C 0.2	25 - M 2											
Use training set Supplied test set Set Ime taken to test model on supplied test set: 0.06 seconds Ime taken to test model on supplied test set: 0.06 seconds Ime taken to test model on supplied test set: 0.06 seconds Ime taken to test model on supplied test set: 0.06 seconds Ime taken to test model on supplied test set: 0.06 seconds Ime taken to test model on supplied test set: 0.06 seconds Ime taken to test model on supplied test set: 0.06 seconds Ime taken to test model on supplied test set: 0.06 seconds Ime taken to test model on supplied test set: 0.06 seconds Ime taken to test model on supplied test set: 0.06 seconds Ime taken to test model on supplied test set: 0.06 seconds Ime taken to test model on supplied test set: 0.06 seconds Ime taken to test model on supplied test set: 0.06 seconds Ime taken to test model on supplied test set: 0.06 seconds Ime taken to test model on supplied test set: 0.06 seconds Ime taken to test model on supplied test set: 0.06 seconds Ime taken to test model on supplied test set: 0.011 Ime taken to test model on supplied test set: 0.021 test Ime taken to test model on supplied test set: 0.023 test Ime taken to test model on supplied test set: 0.023 test Ime taken tor test 0.055 test <	Test options				Classifier output									
Supplied test set Set. • Supplied test set: 0.06 seconds • Percentage split % 66 (Nom) class • 0.191 (Nom) class • 0.191 • start Stop • 0.57:15.trees_J48 • 0.195 • 0.55:0.2.55.5 • 0.55:0.2.55.5 • 0.55:0.2.55.5 • 0.55:0.2.55.5 • 0.55:0.2.55.5 • 0.55:7.15.trees_J48 • 0.195 • 0.55:9.2.3-misc.inputMappedClassifier • 0.195 • 0.595 0.180 0.223 • 0.856 • 0.592 2.3-misc.inputMappedClassifier • 0.856 • 0.595 0.180 0.223 • 0.856 • 0.592 2.3-misc.inputMappedClassifier • 0.856 • 0.59 • 0.856 • 0.59 • 0.856 • 0.59	🔿 Use trair	ning set			Evaluatio	n on test s	eu ===							À
Cross-validation Fords 10 Percentage split % 66 More options 0.7124 More options 0.7124 Mapa statistic 0.823 09:57.0-7.15 0.813 <	 Supplied 	I test set	Set.		Time taken to) test model	on suppli	led test set	: 0.06 s	econds				
Percentage split % 66 More options Correctly Classified Instances 101 (Nom) class 0.7124 Start Stop 09:57:15 - trees J48 Op:57:15 - trees J48 09:57:40 - misc.inputMappedClassifier 0.895 09:59:23 - misc.inputMappedClassifier TP Rate 09:59:23 - misc.inputMappedClassifier 0.856 09:59:23 - misc.inputMappedClassifier 0.856 01:50 - LiputMappedClassifier 0.856 04 Correctly Classified as 51 - 6 + a = normal 11 - 50 + b = Anomaly	O Cross-va	alidation F	olds 10		=== Summary =									
More options Incorrectly Classified Instances 17 14.4068 % Kappa statistic 0.7124 Kappa statistic 0.7124 Mome options Not mean squared error 0.3563 Result list (right-click for options) Root relative adpared error 70.9277 % Total Number of Instances 118 === Detailed Accuracy By Class === TP Rate FP Rate Precision Recall F-Measure MCC ROC Area FRC Area 09:57:15 - trees_J48 08:59 - trees_J48 09:59:23 - miscinputMappedClassifier 08:56 0.180 0.823 0.895 0.857 0.715 0.913 0.857 09:59:23 - miscinputMappedClassifier Reighted Avg. 0.856 0.142 0.859 0.856 0.715 0.913 0.894 === Confusion Matrix === a b < classified as	O Percenta	ige split	% 66		Correctly Cla	ssified Ins	tances	101		85.5932	de			
Mappa statistic 0.124 (Nom) class 0.1981 Start Stop Result list (right-click for options) 09:57:15 - trees_J48 09:57:40 - misc.inputMappedClassifier 09:58:59 - trees_J48 09:59:23 - misc.inputMappedClassifier 08:20 09:59:23 - misc.inputMappedClassifier 08:20 09:59:23 - misc.inputMappedClassifier 08:26 09:59:29 - misc.inputMappedClassifier 08:26 09:59:29 - misc.inputMappedClassifier 08:26 09:59:20 - misc.inputMappedClassifier 08:26 09:50:50 - 08:56 0.826 09:50:50 - 08:56 0.826 09:50 - 08:56 0.826		More optio	ons		Incorrectly C	lassified I	nstances	17		14.4068	40			
(Nom) dass Root mean squared error 0.3563 Stat Stop Result list (right-click for options) Il8 09:57:15-trees.J48 09:57:40 - misc.InputMappedClassifier 09:58:59-trees.J48 0.895 0.105 09:59:23 - misc.InputMappedClassifier 0.856 0.142 06:50 0.142 0.859 0.856 09:59:23 - misc.InputMappedClassifier 0.820 0.142 09:59:23 - misc.InputMappedClassifier 0.856 0.142 0.855 0:800 0.105 0.893 0.820 0.856 0:801 0.929 0.856 0.856 0.715 0.913 0.894 === Confusion Matrix === a b < classified					Kappa statist	:1C		0.71	124					
(NUM) Class Relative absolute error 39.5255 % Start Stop Result list (right-click for options) Total Number of Instances 118 09:57:15 - trees_J48 TP Rate FP Rate Precision Recall F-Measure MCC ROC Area PRC Area 09:59:23 - misc.lnputMappedClassifier 0.895 0.180 0.823 0.895 0.857 0.715 0.913 0.857 0.725 0.913 0.857 0.856 0.856 0.715 0.913 0.894 e== Confusion Matrix === a b < classified as a b < classified as 51 6 a = normal 11 50 b = Anomaly	(Nom) class				Root mean sou	ared error		0.35	63					
Stat Stop Result list (right-click for options) 09:57:15 - trees.J48 09:57:40 - misc.InputMappedClassifier 09:58:59 - trees.J48 09:59:23 - misc.InputMappedClassifier 0:856 09:59:23 - misc.InputMappedClassifier 0:856 09:59:23 - misc.InputMappedClassifier 0:856 09:59:23 - misc.InputMappedClassifier 0:856 01:50 - 10:50 0:893 0:50 - 10:50 0:893 0:55 - 10:51 0.913 0:55 - 10:51 0.913 0:55 - 10:51 0.913 0:55 - 10:51 0.913 0:55 - 10:51 0.913 0:55 - 10:51 0.913 0:55 - 10:51 0.913 0:51 - 10:51 0.913 0:51 - 10:51 0.913 0:51 - 10:51 0.913 0:51 - 10:51 0.913 0:51 - 10:51 0.913 0:51 - 10:51 0.913 0:51 - 10:51 0.913 0:51 - 10:51 0.913 0:51 - 10:51 0.913 0:51 - 10:51 0:	(NUIII) Class				Relative abso	lute error		39.52	255 %					
Statt Stop Result list (right-click for options) Total Number of Instances 118 09:57:15 - trees_J48 09:57:40 - misc.inputMappedClassifier TP Rate FP Rate Precision Recall F-Measure MCC ROC Area PRC Area 09:59:23 - misc.inputMappedClassifier 0:856 0.180 0.823 0.895 0.857 0.715 0.913 0.857 09:59:23 - misc.inputMappedClassifier 0:856 0.142 0.859 0.856 0.815 0.715 0.913 0.894 === Confusion Matrix === a b < classified as	Otert		Oto		Root relative	squared er	ror	70.92	277 %					
Result list (right-click for options) 09:57:15 - trees.J48 09:57:40 - misc.InputMappedClassifier 09:58:59 - trees.J48 0.895 0.180 0.823 0.895 0.857 0.715 0.913 0.857 09:59:23 - misc.InputMappedClassifier 0.856 0.142 0.893 0.820 0.856 0.715 0.913 0.894 == Confusion Matrix === a b < classified as	Statt		310	up	Total Number	of Instance	s	118						
09:57:15 - trees_J48 09:57:40 - misc.InputMappedClassifier 09:59:23 - misc.InputMappedClassifier 09:59:23 - misc.InputMappedClassifier 09:59:23 - misc.InputMappedClassifier 09:59:23 - misc.InputMappedClassifier 0.820 0.105 0.893 0.820 0.855 0.715 0.913 0.894 === Confusion Matrix === a b < classified as 51 6 a = normal 11 50 b = Anomaly Status OK	Result list (rig)	ht-click for	options)											
09:57:40 - misc.InputMappedClassifier 09:59:23 - misc.InputMappedClassifier 00:55:00:00:00:00:00:00:00:00:00:00:00:0	09:57:15 - tr	AAS 1/18			=== Detailed	Accuracy By	Class ===							
09:59:23 - misc.inputMappedClassifier 09:59:23 - misc.inputMappedClassifier 09:59:23 - misc.inputMappedClassifier 08:55 00:59:23 - misc.inputMappedClassifier 0:80:0 0.80:0 0:80:0 0.80:0 0:80:0 0.80:0 0:80:0 0.80:0 0:80:0 0.80:0 0:80:0 0.80:0 0:80:0 0.80:0 0:80:0 0.80:0 0:80:0 0.80:0 0:80:0 0.80:0 0:80:0 0.80:0 0:80:0 0.80:0 0:80:0 0.80:0 0:80:0 0.80:0 0:80:0 0.80:0 0:80:0 0.80:0 0:80:0 0.80:0 0:80:0 0.80:0 0:80:0 0.80:0 <	09:57:40 - m	viec InputM	annodClas	cifior		TP Rate	FP Rate	Precision	Recall	F-Measure	MCC	ROC Area	PRC Area	
09:59:23 - misc.InputMappedClassifier 09:59:23 - misc.InputMappedClassifier Weighted Avg. 0.856 0.142 0.859 0.856 0.856 0.715 0.913 0.929 Weighted Avg. 0.856 0.142 0.859 0.856 0.856 0.715 0.913 0.894 === Confusion Matrix === a b < classified as 51 6 + a = normal 11 50 + b = Anomaly Status OK	00:50:50 +	nac. III	appedoias	Siller		0.895	0.180	0.823	0.895	0.857	0.715	0.913	0.857	
Weighted Avg. 0.856 0.142 0.859 0.856 0.715 0.913 0.894 === Confusion Matrix === a b < classified as	09.58.59 - 1	ees.J48	101			0.820	0.105	0.893	0.820	0.855	0.715	0.913	0.929	
<pre>e== Confusion Matrix === a b < classified as 51 6 a = normal 11 50 b = Anomaly Status OK</pre> Log x0	09:59:23 - n	nisc.InputM	appedClas	sitier	Weighted Avg.	0.856	0.142	0.859	0.856	0.856	0.715	0.913	0.894	
A b < classified as 51 6 + a = normal 11 50 + b = Anomaly Status OK					=== Confusion	Matrix ===								
Status Log x 0					a b <	classified								
II 50 + b = Anomaly Status OK					51 6 L a =	normal	45							
Status OK					11 50 b =	Anomaly								
Status OK						-								
Status OK														•
Status OK					•								,	•
ОК Log 200 X0	Status													
	ок											L	og 🔬	🕋 x 0

Figure 45: Step 21 – Test the model with the attributes selected from GR method only

Test the model using the same 6 attributes selected from GR method only that are in the testing file along with the class label. The testing accuracy is 85.5932% and it is higher than the testing accuracy generated by the whole dataset (83.0508%).

• Apply 4th method of feature selection: Forward Selection

💢 Jupyter	Quit	Logout
Files Running Clusters		
Select items to perform actions on them.	Upload	New 🗸 🖸
□ 0 - Desktop / Untitled Folder Last Mo	dified	File size
Compared and the second s	ids ago	
🔲 🖉 ahmedgad.ipynb	iys ago	669 B
a mo	nth ago	1.54 kB
a mo	nth ago	800 B
a mo	nth ago	6.01 kB
Image: Section Sectio	iys ago	46 kB
a mo	nth ago	8.27 kB
Image: State	iys ago	9.1 kB
2 mon	ths ago	3.92 kB
GA with mutation and crossover.ipynb	iys ago	8.26 kB
a mo	nth ago	2.18 kB
a da GAnew.ipynb	iys ago	56.4 kB
Image: Image: hello world.ipynb 2 mon	ths ago	5.41 kB
Image: Image: statement.ipynb 2 mon	ths ago	2.63 kB
Image: State of a last code 5 augest ipynb 23 data	iys ago	9.49 kB
a mo	nth ago	5.7 kB
a mo	nth ago	1.76 kB
a mo	nth ago	861 B

Figure 46: Step 1: Launching Jupyter Notebook

The start writing Python codes, the student opened Anaconda Navigator then chose the file location and finally the file name. After that, the file will be opened in a separated tab.

		Selec	LIOIT Last C	Checkpoint:	Last Friday	at 11:50 AM	(autosaved)					C Logo
، Edit	View Inse	ert	Cell Ke	rnel W	idgets H	lelp					Trusted	Python 3
+ % 4		• •	Run	C 🕨	Code	•						
In [9]:	<pre>import pan from sklea from sklea from sklea from sklea</pre>	idas a irn im irn.mo irn im irn.me	s pd port tree del_selec port metr trics imp	tion impo ics ort confu	ort train_ usion_matr	_test_spli `ix	t					
In [10]:	nslkdd = p nslkdd.dro	d.rea opna()	d_csv('/U	sers/user	/Desktop/	forward_s/	election_data	set.csv', ind	lex_col=0)			
Out[10]:	ser	flag	src_bytes	dst_bytes	logged_in	serror_rate	srv_serror_rate	same_srv_rate	diff_srv_rate	dst_host_srv_serror_rate	class	
Ī	ftp. data	er.	401	0	0	0.00	0.00	1.00	0.00	0.00		
	np_uata	OF	491	· · · · ·	-	0.00	0.00	1.00	0.00	0.00	normal	
	other	SF	146	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.08	0.00	0.00	normal	
	other private	SF SF S0	491 146 0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.08	0.00	0.00	normal normal anomaly	
	other private http	SF SF S0 SF	146 0 232	0 0 8153	0	0.00 1.00 0.20	0.00 1.00 0.20	0.08 0.05 1.00	0.00	0.00 0.00 1.00 0.01	normal normal anomaly normal	
	other private http	SF S0 SF SF	146 0 232 199	0 0 8153 420	0 0 1 1	0.00 1.00 0.20 0.00	0.00 1.00 0.20 0.00	0.08 0.05 1.00	0.00 0.15 0.07 0.00 0.00	0.00 0.00 1.00 0.01 0.00	normal normal anomaly normal normal	
	other private http private	SF S0 SF SF REJ	146 0 232 199 0	0 0 8153 420 0	0 0 1 1 0	0.00 0.00 1.00 0.20 0.00 0.00	0.00 1.00 0.20 0.00	1.00 0.08 0.05 1.00 1.00 0.16	0.00 0.15 0.07 0.00 0.00 0.00	0.00 0.00 0.01 0.00 0.00 0.00	normal normal anomaly normal anomaly	
	other private http private private	SF S0 SF SF REJ S0	491 146 0 232 199 0 0	0 0 8153 420 0 0	0 0 1 1 0 0	0.00 0.00 1.00 0.20 0.00 0.00 1.00	0.00 1.00 0.20 0.00 0.00 1.00	0.08 0.05 1.00 0.16 0.05	0.00 0.15 0.07 0.00 0.00 0.06	0.00 0.00 0.01 0.00 0.00 1.00	normal normal anomaly normal anomaly anomaly	
	http other private http private private private	SF S0 SF SF REJ S0 S0	491 146 0 232 199 0 0 0	0 0 8153 420 0 0 0	0 0 1 1 0 0 0	0.00 1.00 0.20 0.00 0.00 1.00	0.00 0.00 0.20 0.00 0.00 1.00 1.00	0.08 0.05 1.00 0.16 0.05 0.14	0.00 0.15 0.07 0.00 0.00 0.06 0.06	0.00 0.00 0.01 0.00 0.00 1.00 1.00	normal normal anomaly normal anomaly anomaly anomaly	
	rtp_uata other private http private private remote_job	SF S0 SF SF REJ S0 S0 S0	491 146 0 232 199 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 8153 420 0 0 0 0	0 0 1 1 0 0 0 0	0.00 1.00 0.20 0.00 0.00 1.00 1.00	0.00 1.00 0.20 0.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00	0.08 0.05 1.00 0.16 0.05 0.14 0.09	0.00 0.15 0.07 0.00 0.00 0.06 0.06 0.06 0.05	0.00 0.00 0.01 0.00 0.00 1.00 1.00 1.00	normal normal anomaly normal anomaly anomaly anomaly anomaly	
	rtp_uata other private http http private private remote_job private	SF S0 SF REJ S0 S0 S0 S0 S0	491 146 0 232 199 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 8153 420 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 1 1 0 0 0 0 0	0.00 0.00 0.20 0.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00	0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 1.00 1.00 1.00	1.00 0.08 0.05 1.00 0.16 0.05 0.14 0.09 0.06	0.00 0.15 0.07 0.00 0.00 0.06 0.06 0.05 0.06	0.00 0.00 0.01 0.00 0.00 1.00 1.00 1.00	normal normal anomaly normal anomaly anomaly anomaly anomaly anomaly	
	rtp_uata other private http private private remote_job private private	SF S0 SF REJ S0 S0 S0 S0 REJ	491 146 0 232 199 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 8153 420 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 1 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0.00 0.00 0.20 0.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00	0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 1.00 1.00 1.00	0.08 0.05 1.00 0.16 0.05 0.14 0.09 0.06 0.06	0.00 0.15 0.07 0.00 0.00 0.06 0.06 0.05 0.06	0.00 0.00 0.01 0.00 0.00 1.00 1.00 1.00	normal normal anomaly normal anomaly anomaly anomaly anomaly anomaly anomaly	
	rtp_uata other private http private private remote_job private private private	SF SG SF REJ S0 S0 S0 S0 REJ S0	491 146 0 232 199 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 8153 420 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 1 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0.00 0.00 1.00 0.20 0.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 0.00 1.00	0.00 0.00 1.00 0.20 0.00 1.00 1.00 1.00	1.00 0.08 0.05 1.00 0.16 0.05 0.14 0.09 0.06 0.06 0.02	0.00 0.15 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.06 0.06 0.05 0.06 0.06	0.00 0.00 1.00 0.01 0.00 0.00 1.00 1.00	normal normal anomaly normal normal anomaly anomaly anomaly anomaly anomaly anomaly	
	rtp_uata other private http private private private private private private private	SF SS SF REJ S0 S0 S0 S0 REJ S0 REJ S0 SF	148 146 0 232 199 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 287	0 0 8153 420 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 2251	0 0 1 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 1	0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 1.00 1.00 1.00	0.00 0.00 1.00 0.00 0.00 1.00 1.00 1.00	1.00 0.08 0.05 1.00 0.16 0.05 0.14 0.09 0.06 0.06 0.02 1.00	0.00 0.15 0.00 0.00 0.06 0.06 0.06 0.05 0.06 0.06	0.00 0.00 1.00 0.01 0.00 1.00 1.00 1.00	normal normal anomaly normal anomaly anomaly anomaly anomaly anomaly	

Figure 47: Importing libraries and upload dataset file

The code started by importing Pandas python library to load it in the software memory. This line is necessary to open and load the dataset file "forward_selection_dataset.csv". The next four lines were written to import Scikit-learn library that supports python programming. Line 2 is responsible about importing tree algorithms (for J48) while line 3 is responsible about importing and dividing the loaded file into training and testing files. Line 4 and 5 imports the confusion metrics so the user will be able to print the accuracy, false positive, false negative, etc. Line 6 is responsible about reading the CSV file from its location while line 7 concerns about viewing the file in the form of table.

, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,					-								
edit	View II	nsert	Cell K	lernel Wi	dgets	Help					Trusted	Py	ython 3
+ % 4	b 🗈 🛧	•	N Run	I C 🕨	Code	V							
In [11]:	nslkdd[' nslkdd['	class' flag']]=nslkdd[=nslkdd['	'class'].m flag'].map	ap({'nor ({'SH':0	mal':1,'anom ,'SF':1,'S3'	aly':0}) :2,' <mark>S2</mark> ':3,'	S1':4 ,' S0':	5,' <mark>RSTR'</mark> :6	,' <mark>RSTOS0</mark> ':7,'RSTO':8,	'REJ':9,'0	TH':10})	
In [12]:	nslkdd.i	nfo()											
	Index: 1 Data col flag src_byte dst_byte logged_i serror_r srv_serr same_srv diff_srv diff_srv diff_srv dst_host class dtypes: memory u	25973 e umns (1 s s n ate or_rate _rate _rate _srv_se float64 sage: :	<pre>21tries,</pre>	ftp_data t columns): 12597 12597 12597 12597 12597 12597 12597 12597 12597 64(5)	o ftp_da 3 non-nu 3 non-nu 3 non-nu 3 non-nu 3 non-nu 3 non-nu 3 non-nu 3 non-nu	ta 11 int64 11 int64 11 int64 11 float64 11 float64 11 float64 11 float64 11 float64 11 float64 11 int64							
In [13]:	nslkdd.h	ead(3)											
Out[13]:		flag sr	c_bytes d	st_bytes loc	ged_in se	error_rate srv	serror_rate sa	me_srv_rate	diff_srv_rate	dst_host_srv_serror rate	class		
	ser	-					-						
	ftp_data	1	491	0	0	0.0	0.0	1.00	0.00	0.0	1		
	other	1	146	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.08	0.15	0.0	1		

Figure 48: Convert data type of class and flag column

Line 8 and 9 are responsible about converting the data type of the Flag and Class columns from string to float because the algorithm can't calculate the accuracy when the file instances are string. Line 10 concerns about showing details and information about the file attributes. Line 11 shows the first 3 rows in the data file and the number of rows can be changed.

	iead (3)								
	flag	src_bytes	dst_bytes	logged_in	serror_rate	srv_serror_rate	same_srv_rate	diff_srv_rate	dst_host_srv_serror_rate	clas
ser										
ftp_data	1	491	0	0	0.0	0.0	1.00	0.00	0.0	
other	1	146	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.08	0.15	0.0	
private	5	0	0	0	1.0	1.0	0.05	0.07	1.0	
X_train,	X_te	st,y_trai	n,y_test	= train_t	est_split	(X,y,test_size	e=0.3,stratif	y=y)		
clf = tr clf = cl y_pred = tp,fn,fp	ee.D f.fi clf ,tn	ecisionTr t(X_train .predict(= confusi	eeClassif ,y_train, X_test) on_matrix	fier() sample_we (y_test,y	eight=None	<pre>, check_input= vel()</pre>	= True, X_idx_	sorted=None)	

Figure 49: Identifying attributes and functions

In line 12 and 13, X and Y variables are defined. X equals all the attributes that must be used to find the accuracy except the class column, while Y equals the class column only because the target is to classify the instances correctly. In line 14, the dataset file is divided into training and testing where the testing part equals 30% of the file size and 70% for training. In line 15, "clf" stands for classifier and Decision Tree family is called here. Line 16 and 17 shows that the classifier will use the testing and training file to find the confusion matrix in line 18. Line 19 is responsible about identifying accuracy function while line 20 identify the data type of the accuracy output (float with two integers after the decimal point).



Figure 50. Printing accuracy and confusion matrix parameters

As it was mentioned in chapter 2, the confusion matrix consists of 4 parameters which are False Positive (FP), False Negative (FN), True Positive (TP) and True Negative (TN). So to ensure that the accuracy printed in line 21 is correct, the student printed the above mentioned parameters as shows and calculated the accuracy using this formula:

 $Accuracy = \frac{TP + TN}{TP + TN + FP + FN}$ $Accuracy = \frac{17421 + 20144}{17421 + 20144 + 168 + 59}$ Accuracy = 0.9939

From the above formula, the student confirmed that the accuracy printed in line 21 is correct but rounded to two decimal points. Finally, the accuracy of J48 classifier when using forward selection method is around 99%.

Note: when run the code again the value of confusion matrix attributes will be different because the dataset file is divided into 70% for training and 30% for testing but the instances selected are not fixed. Which means that one fold might be chosen for training one time, but in another time it might be selected for testing. Although the values are different, but the accuracy will remain the same.

• <u>Apply 5th method of feature selection: Genetic Algorithm</u>



Figure 51. importing libraries and reading the dataset file

The code started by importing Pandas and Numpy libraries that are fundamental for Python programming. The difference between Pandas and Numpy library is that the first one is necessary for data manipulation and analysis and it offers data structures and functions to deal with tables and numbers while the second library is important for supporting multi-dimensional arrays and their own functions. Line 3, 4, 5 are responsible about calling Scikit-Learn library that provides the algorithms needed. Line 6 and 7 are creating variables one to identify logistic regression and the other to call the classifier.

Line 8 and 9 are responsible about reading, loading and showing details about the CSV dataset file.

E dit	View	Incort	Call Korr	ol 10/6	danto	Liele										Trusted	Duthon
Edit	view	Insen	Cell Kell		ugets	пер										Trusted	Python
+ % 2		↑ ↓	NRun	C 🕨	Code	•											
In [4]:	train,	test =	train_test_	split(ns	lkdd,	test_siz	e = 0.3)										
In [5]:	train.	head(3)															
Out[5]:																	
		duration	protocol_type	service	flag	Unnamed: 4	src_bytes	dst_byte	s land	d wro	ong_fragment	urge	nt	dst_ho	ost_srv_count d	st_host_same	_srv_rate
	36425	0	tcp	courier	S0	NaN	0.0	0.	0 0)	0		0		8		0.03
	39253	0	tcp	ftp_data	S0	NaN	0.0	0.	0 0)	0		0		16		0.06
	58816	755	udp	other	SF	NaN	147.0	105.	0 0)	0		0		2		0.0
	4	× 45 Colur	nns		_												
In [6]:	<pre> train_ train_ </pre>	feat = t targ = t	nns rain.iloc[: rain[" <mark>class</mark>	,:1] ']													1
In [6]: In [7]:	<pre> train_ train_ train. </pre>	feat = t targ = t iloc[:,:	nns rain.iloc[: rain["class 20].head(20)	;:1] '])													,
In [6]: In [7]: Out[7]:	<pre> train_ train_ train.</pre>	<pre>feat = t targ = t iloc[:,: duration</pre>	rain.iloc[: rain["class" 20].head(20] protocol_type	;:1] ']) serv	rice f	lag Unnam	ed: src_b;	ytes dst_	_bytes	land	wrong_fragr	nent	urgent	hot	num_failed_login	s logged_in	num_ce
In [6]: [In [7]: [Out[7]:	<pre>train_ train_ train. 36425</pre>	<pre>feat = t targ = t iloc[:,: duration 0</pre>	rain.iloc[: rain["class" 20].head(20 protocol_type	;:1] ']) serv 0 cou	rice f	lag Unnam S0 N	ed: src_b) 4 laN	ytes dst_ 0.0	_bytes 0.0	land	wrong_fragr	nent 0	urgent 0	hot 0	num_failed_login	s logged_in 0 0	num_c
In [6]:	<pre> train_ train_ train. 36425 39253</pre>	<pre>feat = t targ = t iloc[:,: duration 0 0 0</pre>	nns rain.iloc[: rain["class" 20].head(20) protocol_type tcp tcp	;:1]) serv cou	r ice f irier data	lag Unnam S0 N S0 N	<mark>ed: src_b</mark> ; 4 src_b; JaN JaN	ytes dst_ 0.0 0.0	_bytes 0.0 0.0	land 0	wrong_fragr	nent 0	urgent 0 0	hot 0	num_failed_login	s logged_in 0 0 0 0	num_c
In [6]: [In [7]: [Out[7]:	<pre>train_ train_ train. 36425 39253 58816</pre>	<pre>feat = t targ = t iloc[:,:</pre>	rain.iloc[: rain["class' 20].head(20) protocol_type tcp tcp udp	;:1]) serv cou ftp_d o tt	rice f irier data ther	lag Unnam S0 N S0 N SF N	ed: src_b; 4 src_b; laN laN laN 1;	ytes dst_ 0.0 0.0 47.0	_bytes 0.0 0.0 105.0	land 0 0 0 0	wrong_fragr	nent 0 0	urgent 0 0	hot 0 0	num_failed_login	s logged_in 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	num_c
In [6]: [In [7]: [Out[7]:	<pre> train_ train. train. 36425 39253 58816 74117 </pre>	<pre>feat = t targ = t iloc[:,: duration i 0 i 0 i 755 0</pre>	rain.iloc[: rain["class' 20].head(20) protocol_type tcp tcp tcp tcp	;:1]) serv () cou () ftp_d () iso_t:	ri ce f Irier data ther sap	lag Unnam S0 N S5 N SF N S5 N	<mark>ed: src_b:</mark> 4 src_b: IaN IaN 1aN 1aN	ytes dst_ 0.0 0.0 47.0 0.0	_bytes 0.0 105.0 0.0	land 0 0 0 0	wrong_fragr	nent 0 0 0	urgent 0 0 0 0	hot 0 0 0	num_failed_login	s logged_in 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	num_c
In [6]:	<pre> train_ train_ train. 36425 39253 58816 74117 82604 </pre>	<pre>feat = t targ = t iloc[:,: duration 0 755 0 0 </pre>	rain.iloc[: rain["class' 20].head(20) protocol_type tcp tcp tcp tcp tcp	;:1]) serv cou ftp_d o to iso_t:	rice f Irier Jata ther sap http	lag Unnam S0 N S5 N SF N S0 N SF N	<mark>ed:</mark> src_b: 4src_b: IaN IaN IaN IaN 2aN 2	ytes dst_ 0.0 0.0 47.0 0.0 54.0	_bytes 0.0 105.0 0.0 370.0	land 0 0 0 0 0	wrong_fragr	nent 0 0 0 0 0 0	urgent 0 0 0 0 0	hot 0 0 0 0 0	num_failed_login	s logged_in 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 1	num_co

Figure 52. divide the dataset file into training and testing parts

Line 10 divides the dataset file into training (70%) and testing (30%) while 13 identifies that the class column is the target and line 12 identifies that the other 41 attributes will be used for training that target (each attribute will be trained and tested separately)



Figure 53. calling TensorFlow library

Line 15 and 16 has the same purpose of identifying the training attributes and class target. The next part (from line 16 to 34) are responsible about calling TensorFlow library that support numerical computation and makes ML easier and faster. At the end, the number of generation is identified (epochs).

Note: in this method, the student could not identify all the 41 attributes in X, so she trained each attribute alone and separately. The above figure shows example on training "protocol_type" attribute.

File	Edit	View	Insert	Cell	Kernel	W	lidgets	Help)														Trust	ted	Pyt	hon 3
3	+ %	@ ₿	↑ ↓	N Run	C	₩	Code		۳																	
		WARNIN	G:tenso	orflow:F	rom C:\	Users	\user\A	nacond	da3\	\li	b\sit	te-pa	ackage	s\te	ensor	flow\	\pvt	hon\c	ps\res	ourc	e varia	able or	os.pv:4	35: c	olocat	e
		with (from te	ensorflo	w.pytho	n.fram	nework.	ops) i	is d	dep	recat	ited a	and wi	11 b	e re	moved	d in	a fu	ture \	ersi	on.	_ 1				_
		Instru	ctions	for upd	ating:																					
		Coloca	tions H	nandled	automat	ically	y by pl	acer.																		
		Epoch	1/5 60000					1	5	50	oous /	/comp		1000	• 0	2610	24	cc • 0	0245							
		Enoch	2/5]	-)	55	Jous	y samp.	JTE -	1033		2019	- av		.9245							
		60000/	60000	======]	- 6	6s	94us/	/samp	ole -	loss	: 0.	1057	- a	cc: 0	.9677							
		Epoch	3/5																							
		60000/	60000	======				=====]	- 6	6s	97us/	/samp	ole -	loss	: 0.	0721	- a	cc: 0	.9779							
		Epoch	4/5 60000						7	70	11400		mlo	100		0544		2001	0 002/							
		Enoch	5/5]	- /	/5	11405	is/ saili	ihte -	105	5.0	.0544	+ - (acc.	0.9024	F						
		60000/	60000					1	- 7	7s :	115us	ıs/sam	nple -	los	s: 0	.0400	0 - 6	acc:	0.9869)						
		Epoch	1/5					-					·													
		60000/	60000	======]	- 6	6s	97us/	/samp	ole -	loss	: 0.	0314	- a	cc: 0	.9896							
		Epoch	2/5						7	70	11200		mlo	100		0240		2001	0.001							
		Enoch	3/5]	- /	15	112uS	is/ Salli	ihte -	105	5.0	.0249	9 - 0	acc:	0.991	,						
		60000/	60000					1	- 7	7s :	110us	ıs/sam	nple -	los	s: 0	.0195	5 - 6	acc:	0.9933	3						
		Epoch	4/5					-					·													
		60000/	60000]	- 6	<u>6</u> 5	107us	is/sam	nple -	los	is: 0	.0172	2 - i	acc:	0.9942							
		Epoch	5/5					1	7	70	121.00			1.00		0140	<u> </u>		0.0040							

Figure 54. generating the results

After some time, the code will show the results which are 5 generations for X (selected attribute) and 5 generations for the class attribute. The target accuracy achieved when using training "protocol_type" is 99.49% and it will be different if the user used another attribute. The loss attribute illustrates the deviation between the result appeared and the actual result. It can be used to represent the False Positive value.

Chapter 6: Critical Appraisal

After implementing the five feature selection algorithms on NSL-KDD dataset, the student came up with the following results:

	Training	Testing	No. of	Execution	False
	Accuracy	Accuracy	Attributes	time	Positive
				(seconds)	Rate
Before	99.9095%	83.0508%	41	32.96	0.160%
applying					
feature					
selection					
CFS	97.6098%	86.4407%	5	2.00	0.130%
method				3.90	
IG method	99.7388%	92.3729%	6	4.95	0.075%
GR method	94.1932%	85.5932%	6	4.76	0.142%
Forward	-	99.00 %	10	5.40	Not fixed
Selection					(usually
					between
					40 and 60)
Genetic	-	Each attribute	Each	Around	Each
Algorithm		achieved	attribute	70 (for	attribute
		different	separately	every	has
		accuracy		attribute)	different
					FP

Table 9: Comparison between the main parameters

As it was illustrated in GA code, it is not possible to define all the attributes in one variable (X), so each attribute must be defined individually and separately, then test the accuracy of that attribute and its false positive rate. The following tables shows that for the whole 41 attributes:

Attribute	Attribute Name	Testing Accuracy	False Positive			
Number			Rate			
1	duration	99.50%	0.0141%			
2	Protocol_type	99.47%	0.0150%			
3	Service	99.49%	0.0170%			
4	flag	99.46%	0.0150%			
5	Src_bytes	99.52%	0.0156%			
6	Dst_bytes	99.51%	0.0153%			
7	Land	99.45%	0.0153%			
8	Wrong_fragment	99.51%	0.0145%			
9	Urgent	99.47%	0.0152%			
10	hot	99.51%	0.0144%			
11	Num_failed_logins	99.51%	0.0142%			
12	Logged_in	99.50%	0.0152%			
13	Num_compromised	99.55%	0.0137%			
14	Root_shell	99.51%	0.0149%			
15	Su_attempted	99.40%	0.0182%			
16	Num_root	99.44%	0.0159%			
17	Num_file_creations	99.51%	0.0148%			
18	Num_shells	99.39%	0.0180%			
19	Num_access_files	99.50%	0.0148%			
20	Num_outbound_cmds	99.47%	0.0146%			
21	Is_host_login	99.40%	0.154%			
22	Is_guest_login	99.37%	0.0173%			
23	Count	99.52%	0.0153%			
24	Srv_count	99.43%	0.0170%			
25	Serror_rate	99.50%	0.0143%			

26	Srv_serror_rate	99.43%	0.0162%
27	Rerror_rate	99.51%	0.0143%
28	Srv_rerror_rate	99.44%	0.0155%
29	Same_srv_rate	99.52%	0.0141%
30	Diff_srv_rate	99.47%	0.0151%
31	Srv_diff_host_rate	99.50%	0.0152%
32	Dst_host_count	99.51%	0.0150%
33	Dst_host_srv_count	99.45%	0.0161%
34	Dst_host_same_srv_rate	99.49%	0.0146%
35	Dst_host_diff_srv_rate	99.47%	0.0156%
36	Dst_host_same_src_port_rate	99.51%	0.0154%
37	Dst_host_srv_diff_host_rate	99.44%	0.0160%
38	Dst_host_serror_rate	99.52%	0.0146%
39	Dst_host_srv_serror_rate	99.47%	0.0153%
40	Dst_host_rerror_rate	99.47%	0.0157%
41	Dst_host_srv_rerror_rate	99.44%	0.0162%

Table 10: Comparing the accuracy result of each one of the 41 attribute in GA

The following figure shows how the accuracy, false positive and time needed to build the model parameters are related to each other:



Figure 55. Overall comparison between the 4 algorithms

From here, the student came up with the following findings:

- When the number of attributes trained by a classifier decreased, the accuracy will be increased (inverse proportion) because the redundant and irrelevant attributes will be removed which are affecting the classification accuracy negatively (Pede , et al., 2017). If the user aims to increase the accuracy and generate generic rules, he must reduce the number of attributes by applying one of the feature selection methods. In this project, the Genetic Algorithm achieved the highest accuracy because of its principle of working. It depends on gradient descent to allow searching and finding the minimum of functions when derivatives exists and it keeps only one optimum solution. This algorithm generates different solutions (generation) from the parents through different operations such as mutation and crossover, then it keeps enhancing each solution until getting the best one.
- When the accuracy of a classifier increased, the False Positive Rate will be decreased (inverse proportion) because FPR concerns about the instances classified wrongly as normal traffic. So, when the accuracy increased, the IDS must be more intelligent to classify the instances correctly. Genetic algorithm and CFS method achieved the lowest FP because and this is normal for GA because it achieved the highest accuracy.

• When the number of attributes trained by a classifier increased, the execution time (time needed to build the model by classifier will increase too) (direct proportion) because the classifier needs to train and test more columns and instances. The shortest execution time was achieved by CFS method because the number of attributes trained were only 5 attributes while other methods used 6 or more.

Chapter 8: Conclusion & Future Work

This research project digs into the role of machine learning in improving the intrusion detection system specifically anomaly-based IDS. The project's problem statement concerns about improving and increasing the accuracy of IDS and decrease the FPR by applying different feature selection methods on NSL-KDD dataset. The student formed a set of research questions that concerns about how to detect the abnormal network behavior, what is the relationship between number of dataset attributes, time needed to build the model, its accuracy and false positive rate. Furthermore, the project illustrates how false alarms can be minimized in IDS using machine learning and which attributes of NSL-KDD dataset are affecting the accuracy more. The student followed a suitable methodology to be able to answer the research questions because WEKA tool were used to apply the three feature selection methods which are CFS, IG and GR methods. Also, the student applied each of forward selection method and genetic algorithm on the same dataset using Python programming in Jupyter Notebook because these two methods are not supported in WEKA tool. After collecting the results, a comparison was conducted between the five methods regarding the following parameters (Number of attributes, time needed to build the model, model accuracy and false positive rate) in order to recommend the best method which was Genetic Algorithm. Finally, and after analyzing the results, the student explained the findings and how they achieve and fulfil the project aim and objectives that were identified in the beginning of the project.



Figure 56: Project progress

The student is planning to improve and expand the project in the future as the following:

- Apply the project idea on another dataset such as Kyoto 2006+ or real dataset collected from any organization to see how the methodology will perform. Knowing that the student will face new challenges specially when preprocessing that dataset.
- Apply other types of feature selection algorithms such as OneR attribute evaluator and Symmetrical Uncert attribute evaluator on the same dataset and compare between them regarding the same parameters (accuracy, FP, execution time and number of attributes).
- Add new parameters to the comparison such as the Sensitivity and Specificity and discuss how they are related and affecting the other parameters.

References

- 1- Behera, . R. N. & Das, K., 2017. A Survey on Machine Learning: Concept, Algorithms and Applications. International Journal of Innovative Research in Computer and Communication Engineering, 5(2), p. 1304.
- Dhamdhere, . V. & Solanki, M., 2014. Intrusion Detection System by using K-Means clustering, C 4.5, FNN, SVM classifier. *International Journal of Emerging Trends & Technology in Computer Science (IJETTCS)*, 3(6), pp. 19-22.
- Fadlullah, Z., Mao, B. & Inoue, T., 2017. State-of-the-Art Deep Learning: Evolving Machine Intelligence Toward Tomorrow's Intelligent Network Traffic Control Systems. *IEEE Communications Surveys & Tutorials*, 19(4), p. 2432.
- 4- Hameed, S. & Mahmood, D., 2016. A Feature Selection Model based on Genetic Algorithm for Intrusion. *Iraqi Journal of Science*, 1(Special), p. 170.
- 5- Hoque, M., Bikas, M. & Abdul Mukit , M., 2012. An Implementation of Intrusion Detection Algorithm Using Genetic Algorithm. *International Journal of Network Security & Its Applications*, 4(2), pp. 109 116.
- **6-** Jasti, N. & Kodali, R., 2014. A literature review of empirical research methodology in lean manufacturing. *International Journal of Operations & Production Management*, 34(8), p. 1080.
- 7- Jha , J. & Ragha, L., 2014. Intrusion Detection System using Support Vector Machine. *International Journal of Applied Information Systems (IJAIS)*, 1(1), pp. 25-27.
- 8- Jha , J. & Ragha, L., 2012. Intrusion Detection System using Support Vector Machine. *International Journal of Applied Information Systems*, ICWAC(3), pp. 28-29.
- **9-** Kadhum, L. E. & Ali, H. H., 2015. K- Means Clustering Algorithm Applications in Data Mining and Pattern Recognition. *International Journal of Science and Research (IJSR),* 6(2), pp. 1577-1578.
- **10-** Kaya , Ç., Yıldız , O. & Ay , S., 2016. *Performance analysis of machine learning techniques in intrusion detection.* Zonguldak, IEEE.
- 11- Pandey , M. & Pandey, P., 2015. *Research Methodology: Tools and Techniques*. 1st ed. Romania : Bridge Center.
- 12- Purwar, R. & Rani, N., 2017. Performance Analysis of various classifiers using Benchmark Datasets in Weka tools. *International Journal of Engineering Trends and Technology*, 47(5), pp. 290-291.
- **13-** Rajeswari , . S. & J., S., 2017. A Study on Software Development Methodologies. *International Journal of Innovative Research in Computer and Communication Engineering*, 5(4), pp. 7728-7734.
- **14** Roozbahani , F. & Azad , R., 2015. Security Solutions against Computer Networks Threats. *International Journal of Advanced Networking and Applications*, 7(1), pp. 2576-2577.
- **15-** S, G., M, T., V.T, M. & V, G., 2018. Classification Algorithms with Attribute Selection: an evaluation study using WEKA. *International Journal of Advanced Networking and Applications*, 9(6), p. 3640.
- **16-** Ugarte-Pedrero, . X., Brezo, F. & Santos, I., 2013. Opcode Sequences as Representation of Executables for Data-mining-based Unknown Malware Detection. *Information Sciences*, **1**(1), pp. 1-2.

- 17- Walliman , N., 2011. Research Methods: The Basics. 1st ed. New York: Routledge .
- **18-** Wu, Y., Jain, A. & Arafat, M., 2018. *Analysis of Intrusion Detection Dataset NSL-KDD Using KNIME Analytics*. Bowling Green, ProQuest.
- **19-** Xiao, . S., Wang, . X., Cui, . Y. & Wang, M., 2018. Machine Learning for Networking: Workflow, Advances and Opportunities. *IEEE Network*, 32(2), pp. 2-4.
- **20-** Yadav, A. & Singh, 2013. Study of K-Means and Enhanced K-Means Clustering Algorithm. *International Journal of Advanced Research in Computer Science*, 4(10), p. 103.
- **21** yadav, S. & Garg, S., 794. Project Planning and Management. *International Journal of Innovative Research in Technology*, 1(5), p. 2014.
- **22** Aggarwal, M., 2013. Performance Analysis Of Different Feature Selection Methods In Intrusion Detection. *International journal of scientific & technology research,* 2(6), p. 227.
- **23-** Ahmadinejad, S., Jalili, S. & Abadi, M., 2011. A hybrid model for correlating alerts of known and unknown attack scenarios and updating attack graphs. *The International Journal of Computer and Telecommunications Networking*, 55(9), pp. 1-2.
- 24- Anaconda Cloud, 2019. Anaconda Navigator. [Online]
 Available at: <u>https://anaconda.org/anaconda/anaconda-navigator</u>
 [Accessed 2 July 2019].
- **25** Anand, A. & Ahlawat, A., 2014. An Introduction to Computer Networking. *International Journal of Computer Science and Information Technology Research*, 2(2), p. 373.
- 26- Anon., 2018. Project Management Docs. [Online] Available at: <u>https://www.projectmanagementdocs.com/template/project-planning/communications-management-plan/#axzz5rVjQ2Tim</u> [Accessed 3 5 2019].
- **27-** Azhagiri , M., Rajesh , A. & Karthik, S., 2015. Intrusion detection and prevention system: technologies and challenges. *International Journal of Applied Engineering Research*, 10(87), pp. 2-3.
- **28-** Bhambhu, L. & Srivastava, D., 2010. Data classification using support vector machine. *Journal of Theoretical and Applied Information Technology*, 12(1), p. 1.
- **29-** Chapke, P. & Deshmukh, R., 2015. *Intrusion Detection System using Fuzzy Logic and Data Mining Technique*. Unnao, ACM New York, pp. 1-2.
- **30-** Crispima, . J. & Rodrigues-da-Silva, L., 2014. *The project risk management process, a preliminary study.* Braga, Elsevier Ltd.
- **31-** Das, K. & Behera, R. N., 2017. A Survey on Machine Learning: Concept, Algorithms and Applications. International Journal of Innovative Research in Computer and Communication Engineering, 5(2), p. 1301.
- **32** Despa, M., 2014. Comparative study on software development methodologies. *Database Systems Journal*, 5(3), pp. 37-38.

- **33-** Dey, A., 2016. Machine Learning Algorithms: A Review. *International Journal of Computer Science and Information Technologies (IJCSIT)*, 7(3), p. 1178.
- **34-** Ghasemian, A., Hosseinmardi, H. & Clauset, . A., 2018. Evaluating Overfit and Underfit in Models of Network Community Structure. *International Journal of Electrical Sciences & Engineering (IJESE)*, 2(2), p. 1.
- **35-** Gillikin , J. L., 2018. *Perspectives: History of Artificial Intelligence*. [Online] Available at: <u>https://www.viatech.com/en/2018/05/history-of-artificial-intelligence/</u> [Accessed 4 April 2019].
- **36-** Hans , R., 2013. Work breakdown structure: A tool for software project scope verification. *International Journal of Software Engineering & Applications*, 4(4), p. 19.
- **37-** Jamuna & Ewards , V., 2013. Efficient Flow based Network Traffic Classification using Machine Learning. International Journal of Engineering Research and Applications (IJERA), 3(2), pp. 1324-1325.
- 38- Jupyter Team, 2019. Home. [Online] Available at: <u>https://jupyter.org/</u> [Accessed 1 July 2019].
- **39-** Kumar, A. & Venugopalan, S. R., 2016. *A Novel Algorithm for Network Anomaly Detection Using Adaptive Machine Learning.* Bhubaneswar, International Conference on Advanced Computing and Intelligent Engineering.
- **40-** Kumar, R., 2011. *Research Methodology: a step-by-step guide for beginners*. 3rd ed. Singapor: SAGE Publications Ltd.
- 41- Limam, N., Salahuddin, M. & Ayoubi, S., 2018. A Comprehensive Survey on Machine Learning for Networking: Evolution, Applications and Research Opportunities. *Journal of Internet Services and Applications*, 2(1), pp. 37-38.
- **42-** ML Group, 2015. *WEKA: Software*. [Online] Available at: <u>https://www.cs.waikato.ac.nz/ml/weka/</u> [Accessed 1 7 2019].
- **43-** Munjal, R. & Mudga, A., 2014. Fuzzy K-Means Based Intrusion Detection System Using Support Vector Machine. *International Journal of Science and Research (IJSR)*, 3(7), pp. 1308-1310.
- 44- Observer, O., 2019. Al-aided breast cancer diagnosis in 5 hospitals. [Online] Available at: <u>http://www.omanobserver.om/ai-aided-breast-cancer-diagnosis-in-5-hospitals/</u> [Accessed 20 April 2019].
- 45- Observer, O., 2019. Five strategies for Omani organisations to harness AI in 2019. [Online] Available at: <u>http://www.omanobserver.om/five-strategies-for-omani-organisations-to-harness-ai-in-2019/</u> [Accessed 20 4 2019].
- **46-** Pede , S., Chandurkar, S. & Bansode, S., 2017. Attribute Selection to Improve Accuracy of Classification. *International Journal of Computer Applications*, 173(5), pp. 18-19.
- **47** Pharate , A., Bhat, H., Shilimkar, V. & Mhetre , N., 2015. Classification of Intrusion Detection System. *International Journal of Computer Applications*, 118(7), pp. 23-25.

- **48-** PMI Team, 2019. About Us: Learn About PMI. [Online] Available at: <u>https://www.pmi.org/about/learn-about-pmi/what-is-project-management</u> [Accessed 6 May 2019].
- 49- Quadri, . S. & Khan, M., 2013. Effects of Using Filter Based Feature Selection on the Performance of Machine Learners Using Different Datasets. BVICAM's International Journal of Information Technology, 1(1), pp. 597 599.
- **50-** Radzik, T., Overill, R. & Saied, A., 2016. Detection of known and unknown DDoS attacks using Artificial Neural Networks. *Neurocomputing*, 172(2), pp. 385-386.
- **51** Raut, P. & Borkar, N., 2017. Machine learning: trends, perspectives, and prospects. *International Journal of Engineering of Science and Computing (IJESC),* 7(3), pp. 4884-4888.
- 52- Satyanar, . S., Aluvalu, . R. & Jabbar, 2017. Cluster Based Ensemble Classification for Intrusion Detection System. Singapore, ICMLC 2017 Proceedings of the 9th International Conference on Machine Learning and Computing , pp. 3-5.
- 53- Shacklett, . M., 2019. How to differentiate between AI, machine learning, and deep learning. [Online] Available at: <u>https://www.techrepublic.com/article/how-to-differentiate-between-ai-machine-learning-and-deep-learning/</u> [Accessed 2 4 2019].
- **54-** Shalev-Shwartz, S. & Ben-David, S., 2014. *Understanding Machine Learning: From Theory to Algorithms*. 2nd ed. New York: Cambridge University Press.
- **55** Shan , J., 2016. Analysis and research of computer network security. *Journal of Chemical and Pharmaceutical Research*, 6(7), p. 875.
- **56-** Shantharajah, . S. & Dhanabal, L., 2015. A Study on NSL-KDD Dataset for Intrusion Detection System Based on Classification Algorithms. *International Journal of Advanced Research in Computer and Communication Engineering*, 4(6), p. 447.
- **57** Sharma, G., Bhargava, . N., Bhargava, R. & Mathuria, M., 2013. Decision Tree Analysis on J48 Algorithm for Data Mining. *International Journal of Advanced Research in Computer Science and Software Engineering*, 3(6), pp. 1114-1115.
- **58-** Shrivastava , A. & Ahirwal, R., 2014. A SVM and K-means Clustering based Fast and Efficient Intrusion Detection System. *International Journal of Computer Applications*, 72(6), pp. 27-28.
- **59-** Smola, A. & Vishwanathan, . S., 2008. *Introduction to Machine Learning.* 2nd ed. New York: Cambridge University Press
- **60-** Srinath , K., 2017. Python The Fastest Growing Programming Language. *International Research Journal of Engineering and Technology*, 4(12), pp. 354 355.
- **61** Subashini, S. & Velavan, P., 2014. Correlation Based Feature Selection with Irrelevant Feature Removal. International Journal of Computer Science and Mobile Computing, 3(4), p. 863.
- **62-** Synopsys Editorial Team, 2017. *Software Integrity Blog*. [Online] Available at: <u>https://www.synopsys.com/blogs/software-security/top-4-software-development-</u>

methodologies/ [Accessed 2 May 2019].

- **63-** Wu, H. & Li, Y., 2012. A Clustering Method Based on K-Means Algorithm. Xinyang, ELSEVIER.
- **64-** Zseby, T. & Iglesias, F., 2015. Analysis of network traffic features for anomaly detection. *Journal of Machine Learning*, 101(1), pp. 8-9.

Appendices

1. Copy of publication acceptance letter

International Journal of Innovative Technology and Exploring Engineering ISSN: 2278-3075 (online) Exploring Innovation A Key for Dedicated Services Published by Blue Eyes Intelligence Engineering and Sciences Publication 9# A 38-39, Tirupati Abhinav Homes, Ayodhya Bypass Road, Damkheda, Bhopal (M.P.)-462037, India Website: www.ijitee.org Email: submit2@ijitec.org, ijitecj@gmail.com 191-9669981618 9+91-9669981618 9+91-9669981618 9+91-9669981618
Acceptance Letter ELSEVIER Scopus
Dear Author(s): Eman Zakaria Qudah
Paper ID: K17630981119
Paper Title: Applying Feature Selection Methods on Intrusion Detection Dataset using WEKA Tool and Python
This is to enlighten you that above manuscript appraised by the proficients and it is accepted by the Board of Referees (BoR)
of 'Blue Eyes Intelligence Engineering and Sciences Publication' for the purpose of publication in the 'International
Journal of Innovative Technology and Exploring Engineering' at Volume-8 Issue-11, September 2019. This manuscript
will be published on 10 September 2019. That will be available at https://www.ijitee.org/download/volume-8-issue-11/
You have to send following documents at <u>submit2@ijitee.org</u> , <u>ijiteej@gmail.com</u> before 28 August 2019
1. Final Paper Ms Word.doc/.docx file
Cannot ready paper should be as per journal template which is available at <u>http://www.ijitee.org/dowinoad/</u>
2. Copyright Transfer Form Scanned http://www.ijitee.org/download/
3. Proof of Registration Scanned Online Received Email https://www.blueeyesintelligence.org/registration/
Note- Please read carefully:
1. Author (s) be sure that:
a. Each author profile along with photo (min 100 word) has been included in the final paper.
b. Final paper is prepared as per journal the template.
c. Contents of the paper are fine and satisfactory. Author (s) can make any rectification in the final paper but
d Paper should have minimum 03 pages and maximum 10 pages
e. Max 05 authors are allowed in a paper
 This manuscript will be published on 10-15 September 2019
3. This paper will be forwarded for the inclusion in the Scopus database that will take minimum 6 to 8 weeks after the
date of publication in the journal: https://www.scopus.com/sourceid/21100889409
4. Author(s) will receive publication certificate within 01 to 02 weeks after the publication of respective Volume/Issue.
5. You may see more about the journal at: <u>https://www.ijitee.org/</u>
Finally, the team of IJITEE and BEIESP would like to further extend congratulations to you
180)meering abou
BHOPAL BHOPAL
Litandro Kumar San
(Manager) Dr. Shiv Kumar (Editor-In-Chief)

2. Ethics form

Document Name & Type Research Ethics and Bio-Safety Author/Department			كلة الشرق الاوسط Middle East College
Document Name & Type	Research Ethics and Bio-Safety Approval Form	Author/Department	Centre for Research &
Approval Date	26/02/2018	Effective Date	27/02/2018
Review Date	24/02/2019	Next Review Date	23/02/2020

RESEARCH ETHICS AND BIO SAFETY APPROVAL FORM

You should use this checklist only if you are carrying out a research project through Middle East College. This normally applies to:

- Undergraduate students
- Postgraduate students
- All faculty members

Research Ethics and Biosafety Approval Checklist

Applicant Details

Name	Eman	Zakaria	Qudah	E-mail	PG17F1858@mec.edu.om
Departr	ment Gh	nputing		Date	25/4/2019
Course	Name M	Sc - IT		Title of	Project Detection System using
Project	Dotoile				Machine Leathin

Project Details

Summary of the project (Maximum 120 words):

- Research Objectives
- Research Design (e.g. Experimental, Desk-based, Theoretical etc.)
- Methods of data collection

⁽************************

 Document Name & Type
 Research Ethics and Bio-Safety Approval Form
 Author/Department
 Centre for Research & Consultancy

 Approval Date
 26/02/2018
 Effective Date
 27/02/2018

 Review Date
 24/02/2019
 Next Review Date
 23/02/2020

كية الشرق الاوسط Hiddle East College

Participants in your research

1. Will the project involve human participants?	West No
2. Will this project involve animals or plants?	Yes No

Risk to Participants

:	3.	Will the project involve human patients/clients, health professionals, and/or patient (client) data and/or health professional data?	Yes	No
	4.	Is there a risk of physical discomfort to those taking part?	Yes	No
ŧ	5.	Is there a risk of psychological or emotional distress to those taking part?	Yes	No
6	δ.	Is there a risk of challenging the deeply held beliefs of those taking part?	Yes	No
7	7.	Is there a risk that previous, current or proposed criminal or illegal acts will be revealed by those taking part?	Yes	Nð
8	3.	Will the project involve giving any form of professional, medical or legal advice, either directly or indirectly to those taking part?	Yes	No
ę).	Is there any possibility that this project put humans, animals and plants at risk of their health and survival?	Yes	No
1	0.	Is there any risk of toxic/infectious agents in conjunction with animals or plants that could harm participants and/or environment?	Yes	No

كلية الشرق الأوسط Middle East College

^{(దిజ}దిదిదిదిది)

Document Name & Type	Research Ethics and Bio-Safety Approval Form	Author/Department Centre for Research Consultancy	
Approval Date	26/02/2018	Effective Date	27/02/2018
Review Date	24/02/2019	Next Review Date	23/02/2020

Risk to Researcher

11. Will this project put you or others at risk of physical harm, injury or death?	Yes	No
12. Will this project put you or others at risk of abduction, physical, mental or sexual abuse?	Yes	No
13. Will this project involve participating in acts that may cause psychological or emotional distress to you or to others?	Yes	No
14. Will this project involve observing acts which may cause psychological or emotional distress to you or to others?	Yes	No
15. Will this project involve reading about, listening to or viewing materials that may cause psychological or emotional distress to you or to others?	Yes	No
16. Will this project involve you disclosing personal data to the participants other than your name and the University as your contact and e-mail address?	Yes	No
17. Will this project involve you in unsupervised private discussion with people who are not already known to you?	Yes	No
18. Will this project potentially place you in the situation where you may receive unwelcome media attention?	Yes	No
19. Could the topic or results of this project be seen as illegal or attract the attention of the security services or other agencies?	Yes	No
20. Could the topic or results of this project be viewed as controversial by anyone?	Yes	No

⁽~^{*}~^{*}~^{*}~^{*}~^{*}~^{*}~^{*}~

Document Name & TypeResearch Ethics and Bio-Safety
Approval FormAuthor/DepartmentCentre for Research &
ConsultancyApproval Date26/02/2018Effective Date27/02/2018Review Date24/02/2019Next Review Date23/02/2020

كلية الأحرق الأوسط Middle East College

Yes

No

1

21. Does your project involve the use of biohazardous material or produce biohazardous waste that may put you or others at risk of diseases?

Informed Consent of the Participant

22. Are any of the participants unable mentally or physically to give consent?	Yes	No
23. Do you intend to observe the activities of individuals or groups without their knowledge and/or informed consent from each participant (or from his or her parent or guardian)?	Yes	No

Participant Confidentiality and Data Protection

24. Will the project involve collecting data and information from human participants who will be identifiable in the final report?	Yes	No
25. Will information not already in the public domain about specific individuals or institutions be identifiable through data published or otherwise made available?	Yes	No
26. Do you intend to record, photograph or film individuals or groups without their knowledge or informed consent?	Yes	No
27. Do you intend to use the confidential information, knowledge or trade secrets gathered for any purpose other than this research project?	Yes	No

 Document Name & Type
 Research Ethics and Bio-Safety Approval Form
 Author/Department
 Centre for Research & Consultancy

 Approval Date
 26/02/2018
 Effective Date
 27/02/2018

 Review Date
 24/02/2019
 Next Review Date
 23/02/2020

Gatekeeper Risk

28. Will this project involve collecting data outside the buildings of MEC?	Yes	No
29. Do you intend to collect data in shopping centres or other public places?	Yes	No
30. Do you intend to gather data within nurseries, schools, colleges, any organization or ministries?	Yes	No

Other Ethical Issues

31. Is there any other risk like ethical, moral, legal or issue not covered above that may pose a risk to you or any of the participants?



كلية الشرق الاوسط ح Middle East College

** If you have answered **Yes** to any of these questions (18, 20, 25, 28, 29,30) it is mandatory to get an No Objection Certificate from the concerned organization or participants either to do the research in their premises or to use and publish the data pertaining to their organization or the participant.

In the absence of the No Objection Certificate the project will be treated as a high risk project and will have to be approved by the institutional Research Ethics and Biosafety Committee.

** If you have answered Yes to any other questions mentioned

above(1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9,10,11,12,13,14,15,16,17,19,21,22,23,24,26,27,31) it is mandatory to refer that project to the institutional Research Ethics and Biosafety Committee.

کیٹ الشرق الاوسط کی Middle East College Current Name & Type Research Ethics and Bio-Safety Centre for Research &

Document Name & Type	Approval Form	Author/Department	Centre for Research & Consultancy
Approval Date	26/02/2018	Effective Date	27/02/2018
Review Date	24/02/2019	Next Review Date	23/02/2020

Principal Investigator Certification

If you answered **No** to **all** of the above questions, then you have described a low risk project. Please complete the following declaration to certify your project.

Agreed restrictions to project to allow Principal Investigator Certification

Please identify any restrictions to the project, agreed with your Supervisor or any concerned stakeholder related to the project to allow you to sign the Principal Investigator Certification declaration.



Principal Investigator's Declaration

Please ensure that you:

- Tick all the boxes below and sign this checklist.
- Principal investigator must get their Supervisor and Department Research co-ordinator to countersign this declaration.

I believe that this project **does not require research ethics and biosafety approval**. I have completed the checklist and kept a copy for my own records. I realise I may be asked to provide a copy of this checklist at any time.

I confirm that I have answered all relevant questions in this checklist honestly.

 Document Name & Type
 Research Ethics and Bio-Safety Approval Form
 Author/Department
 Centre for Research & Consultancy

 Approval Date
 26/02/2018
 Effective Date
 27/02/2018

 Review Date
 24/02/2019
 Next Review Date
 23/02/2020

كلية الشرق الاوسط Middle East College

I confirm that I will carry out the project in the ways described in this checklist. I will immediately suspend research and request a new ethical and biosafety approval if the project subsequently changes the information I have given in this checklist.

Principal Investigator

Signed (Principal Investigator)

Date.....

Supervisor and Research Co-ordinator

I have read this checklist and confirm that it covers all the ethical and biosafety issues raised by this project. I also confirm that these issues have been discussed with the principal investigator and will continue to review in the course of supervision.

1.

Countersigned	(Supervisor)
Date	
Countersigned	(Department Research Co-ordinator)
Date	

3. Weekly diaries

``^`~`~`^`^`~`~`~``

Document Name & Type	MSc (EE/IT) Project Diary	Author/Department	Head, Centre for Postgraduate Studies
Approval Date	04/02/2019	Effective Date	04/02/2019
Review Date	17/01/2019	Next Review Date	16/01/2020

كلية الشرق الأوسط Middle East College

<MSC-IT>

Project Diary – Spring

Name of Student: Eman Zakaria Qudah	Week: 1
Name of Supervisor: Dr. Vishal Dattana	
Project Title:	
Improve Intrusion Detection System Using Machine Learning	Ig

Date/ Day: 25/3/2019 Time: 2:00 PM Venue: Meeting Room

Tasks as per project plan	Actual tasks taken up / completed
 Identify the project research area. 	 Discuss the project idea with the supervisor. Discuss the project objectives and aim.

Comments / observations / remarks by the Student

The objectives were modified according to the supervisor advice.

Remarks / Comments by the Supervisor

Project idea is clear. A few changes were suggested in the objectives.

Signature of Supervisor:
Date: Data

Action Plan based on Supervisor Comments

Signature of Student:	Signature of Supervisor:	
Date:	Date:	

MEC_PGC_FOR_007_02 Page 1 of 1 Controlled Copy. Printed copies of this document are uncontrolled. The controlled version of this document is available on the CMS.





Document Name & Type	MSc (EE/IT) Project Diary	Author/Department	Head, Centre for Postgraduate Studies
Approval Date	04/02/2019	Effective Date	04/02/2019
Review Date	17/01/2019	Next Review Date	16/01/2020

<MSC-IT>

Project Diary – Spring

Name of Student: Eman Zakaria Qudah	Week: 2
Name of Supervisor: Dr. Vishal Dattana	
Project Title:	
Improve Intrusion Detection System Using Machine Learning	

Date/ Day: 2/4/2019 Time: 2:30 PM Venue: Meeting Room

Tasks as per project plan	Actual tasks taken up / completed
 Discuss the project proposal. 	• The supervisor explained to the student the proposal questions.
Comments / observations / rer	narks by the Student

The student will start writing the proposal according to the supervisor instructions.

Remarks / Comments by the Supervisor

Proposal requirements were explained to the student.

Signature of Student:	Gran	Signature of Supervisor:	thattom
Date:	Engui	Date:	Thomas

Action Plan based on Supervisor Comments

Signature of Student:	Signature of Supervisor:	
Date:	Date:	

MEC_PGC_FOR_007_02 Page 1 of 1 Controlled Copy. Printed copies of this document are uncontrolled. The controlled version of this document is available on the CMS.


كلية الشـرق الأوسـط Middle East College

Document Name & Type	MSc (EE/IT) Project Diary	Author/Department	Head, Centre for Postgraduate Studies
Approval Date	04/02/2019	Effective Date	04/02/2019
Review Date	17/01/2019	Next Review Date	16/01/2020

<MSC-IT>

Project Diary – Spring

Name of Student: Eman Zakaria Qudah Week: 3		
l Dattana	•	
system Using Machine	Learning	
Time: 3:45 PM	Venue: Meeting Room	
A stur	l tacks taken un (somalated	
Actua	ai tasks taken up / completed	
 Submit the discusses the discus	first part of the literature review which ae problem.	
emarks by the Studen	t	
ting the next part of th	ne literature review.	
Supervisor		
cudent.		
Signatu Date:	re of Supervisor:	

Action Plan based on Supervisor Comments

Signature of Student:	Signature of Supervisor:
Date:	Date:





Document Name & Type	MSc (EE/IT) Project Diary	Author/Department	Head, Centre for Postgraduate Studies
Approval Date	04/02/2019	Effective Date	04/02/2019
Review Date	17/01/2019	Next Review Date	16/01/2020

Project Diary – Spring

Name of Student: Eman Zakaria Qudah	Week: 4
Name of Supervisor: Dr. Vishal Dattana	
Project Title:	
Improve Intrusion Detection System Using Machine Learning	

Date/ Day: 18/4/2019 Time: 1:30 PM Venue: Meeting Room

Tasks as per project plan	Actual tasks taken up / completed	_
 Complete the next part of the literature review. 	• Submit the full literature review chapter.	

Comments / observations / remarks by the Student

The student will make the requested changes and modifications on the literature review.

Remarks / Comments by the Supervisor

Feedback were given to the student.

Signature of Student:	Can	Signature of Supervisor:	
Date:	Emosi	Date:	

Action Plan based on Supervisor Comments

Signature of Student:	Signature of Supervisor:
Date:	Date:



كلية الشرق الاوسط Middle East College

Desument Name & Type	MSc (FE/IT) Project Diary	Author/Department	Head, Centre for Postgraduate Studies
Document Name & Type	04/02/2019	Effective Date	04/02/2019
Approval Date	17/01/2019	Next Review Date	16/01/2020
Review Date	17/01/2019	Heat Herren	

<MSC-IT>

Project Diary – Spring

Jame of Supervisor: Dr. Vishal Dattana			
ame of Supervisor: Dr. Vish	ai Dattana		
roject Title: mprove Intrusion Detection	System Using Machine	Learning	
Date/ Day: 1/5/2019	Time: 3:00 PM	Venue: Meeting Re	oom
Tasks as per project plan	Actua	l tasks taken up / comp	leted
 Select the suitable system and report methodology. 	 Review with comparison then choose 	n the supervisor the gap between the available a the best one.	analysis and the methodologies
control observations	remarks by the Studen	t	
Comments / observations /	remarks by the Studen	t risor about the research	methodology.
Comments / observations / The student obtained the a Remarks / Comments by th Research methodology utili	/ remarks by the Studen pproval from the superv e Supervisor ized is justified.	t risor about the research	methodology.
Comments / observations / The student obtained the a Remarks / Comments by th Research methodology utili Signature of Student: Date:	remarks by the Studen pproval from the superv e Supervisor ized is justified. Signatu Date:	t risor about the research ure of Supervisor:	methodology.
Comments / observations / The student obtained the a Remarks / Comments by th Research methodology utili Signature of Student: Date: Action Plan based on Super	rvisor Comments	t risor about the research ure of Supervisor:	methodology.
Comments / observations / The student obtained the a Remarks / Comments by th Research methodology utili Signature of Student: Date: Action Plan based on Super	rvisor Comments	t risor about the research ure of Supervisor:	methodology.





Document Name & Type	MSc (EE/IT) Project Diary	Author/Department	Head, Centre for Postgraduate Studies
Approval Date	04/02/2019	Effective Date	04/02/2019
Review Date	17/01/2019	Next Review Date	16/01/2020

Project Diary – Spring

Name of Student: Eman Zakaria Qudah	Week: 7
Name of Supervisor: Dr. Vishal Dattana	
Project Title:	
Improve Intrusion Detection System Using Machine Learning	ng

Date/ Day: 8/5/0019 Time: 1:30 PM Venue: Meeting Room

Tasks as per project plan Actual tasks tak		Actual tasks taken up / completed
•	Complete the methodology chapter.	• Submit the methodology chapter.
Comn	nents / observations / rem	arks by the Student
The st	tudent submitted the chapt	er successfully.
Rema	rks / Comments by the Sup	ervisor
Feedb	back were given to the stud	ent.

Signature of Student:	F	210	Signature of Supervisor:	+12 time
Date:	E	20013	Date:	Thoras
		>		

Action Plan based on Supervisor Comments

Signature of Student:	Signature of Supervisor:	
Date:	Date:	





Document Name & Type	MSc (EE/IT) Project Diary	Author/Department	Head, Centre for Postgraduate Studies
Approval Date	04/02/2019	Effective Date	04/02/2019
Review Date	17/01/2019	Next Review Date	16/01/2020

Project Diary – Spring

Name of Student: Eman Zakaria Qudah	Week: 8
Name of Supervisor: Dr. Vishal Dattana	
Project Title:	
Improve Intrusion Detection System Using Machine Learning	

Date/ Day: 15/5/2019 Time: 2:30 PM Venue: Meeting Room

Tasks as	s per project plan		Actual tasks taken up / completed
• Fina pos	alize the midterm ster.	۹	Review with the supervisor the content and the format of the midterm poster.

Comments / observations / remarks by the Student

The student obtained the approval from the supervisor on the midterm poster.

Remarks / Comments by the Supervisor

Midterm poster is finalized.

Signature of Student:	Cam	Signature of Supervisor: The Hora
Date:	ElSou.	Date:

Action Plan based on Supervisor Comments

Signature of Student:	Signature of Supervisor:
Date:	Date:





Document Name & Type	MSc (EE/IT) Project Diary	Author/Department	Head, Centre for Postgraduate Studies
Approval Date	04/02/2019	Effective Date	04/02/2019
Review Date	17/01/2019	Next Review Date	16/01/2020

Project Diary – Spring

Name of Supervisor: Dr. Vishal Dattana Project Title: Improve Intrusion Detection System Using Machine Learning Date/ Day: 26/5/2019 Time: 1:30 PM Venue: Meeting Room Tasks as per project plan Actual tasks taken up / completed • Suggest tools to be used in the project implementation. • Discuss the tools to be used in the project implementation. Comments / observations / remarks by the Student Obtain initial supervisor acceptance on the suggested tools.		ria Qudah	Week: 9
Project Title: Improve Intrusion Detection System Using Machine Learning Date/ Day: 26/5/2019 Time: 1:30 PM Venue: Meeting Room Tasks as per project plan Actual tasks taken up / completed • Suggest tools to be used in the project implementation. • Discuss the tools to be used in the project implementation. Comments / observations / remarks by the Student Obtain initial supervisor acceptance on the suggested tools.	Name of Supervisor: Dr. Visha	I Dattana	
Date/ Day: 26/5/3019 Time: 1:30 PM Venue: Meeting Room Tasks as per project plan Actual tasks taken up / completed • Suggest tools to be used in the project implementation. • Discuss the tools to be used in the project implementation and their efficiency. Comments / observations / remarks by the Student Obtain initial supervisor acceptance on the suggested tools.	Project Title: mprove Intrusion Detection S	System Using Machine	Learning
Tasks as per project plan Actual tasks taken up / completed • Suggest tools to be used in the project implementation. • Discuss the tools to be used in the project implementation and their efficiency. Comments / observations / remarks by the Student Obtain initial supervisor acceptance on the suggested tools.	Date/ Day: 26/5/3019	Time: 1:30 PM	Venue: Meeting Room
 Suggest tools to be used in the project implementation. Discuss the tools to be used in the project implementation and their efficiency. Comments / observations / remarks by the Student Obtain initial supervisor acceptance on the suggested tools. 	Tasks as per project plan	Actua	I tasks taken up / completed
Comments / observations / remarks by the Student Obtain initial supervisor acceptance on the suggested tools.	 Suggest tools to be used in the project implementation. 	e t • Discuss the implementa	tools to be used in the project ition and their efficiency.
Obtain initial supervisor acceptance on the suggested tools.	Comments / observations / re	emarks by the Student	
	Obtain initial supervisor accep	ptance on the suggeste	ed tools.
Remarks / Comments by the Supervisor	Remarks / Comments by the S	Supervisor	
Advised to continue.			

Action Plan based on Supervisor Comments

Date:

Signature of Student:	Signature of Supervisor:	
Date:	Date:	

Date:





Document Name & Type	MSc (EE/IT) Project Diary	Author/Department	Head, Centre for Postgraduate Studies
Approval Date	04/02/2019	Effective Date	04/02/2019
Review Date	17/01/2019	Next Review Date	16/01/2020

Project Diary – Spring

Week: 10

Date/ Day: 29/5/2019 Time: 3:30 PM Venue: Meeting Room

Tasks as per project plan	Actual tasks taken up / completed	
 Prepare project design chapter. 	 Discuss the project design and give feedback. 	

Comments / observations / remarks by the Student

Consider the supervisor feedback and complete the chapter.

Remarks / Comments by the Supervisor

Advised to continue.

Signature of Student:	(- n)	Signature of Supervisor: thank	
Date:	From	Date:	

Action Plan based on Supervisor Comments

Signature of Student:	Signature of Supervisor:	
Date:	Date:	





كلية الشـرق الأوسـط Middle East College

<MSC-IT>

Project Diary – Spring

Name of Student: Eman Zakaria Qudah	Week: 18
Name of Supervisor: Dr. Vishal Dattana	
Project Title:	
Improve Intrusion Detection System Using Machine Learning	

Date/ Day: 8/8/2019 Time: 11:30 AM Venue: Meeting Room

 Tasks as per project plan	Actual tasks taken up / completed
 Discuss the project implementation progress. 	 Project implementation progress is discussed and feedback is given on that part.

Comments / observations / remarks by the Student

Consider the supervisor feedback on the submitted part and advised to continue.

Remarks / Comments by the Supervisor

Advised to continue.

Signature of Student:	Eala	Signature of Supervisor:	
Date:	Emon	Date:	

Action Plan based on Supervisor Comments

Signature of Student: Signature of Supervisor:		
Date:	Date:	

ిం^{స్}లి లిగి లిగి లిగి లిగి

Document Name & Type	MSc (EE/IT) Project Diary	Author/Department	Head, Centre for Postgraduate Studies
Approval Date	04/02/2019	Effective Date	04/02/2019
Review Date	17/01/2019	Next Review Date	16/01/2020

كلية الشـرق الأوسـط Middle East College

<MSC-IT>

Project Diary – Spring

Name of Student: Eman Zakaria Qudah	Week: 20
Name of Supervisor: Dr. Vishal Dattana	
Project Title:	
Improve Intrusion Detection System Using Machine Learnin	ng

Date/ Day: 13/8/3019 Time: 1:30 PM Venue: Meeting Room

Tasks as per project plan	Actual tasks taken up / completed
 Discuss the errors existing in the practical part. 	 Errors in Python codes were discussed and student were gives some recommendations to fix them.

Comments / observations / remarks by the Student

Obtain supervisor suggestions to fix the codes' errors.

Remarks / Comments by the Supervisor

Advised to fix the errors.

Signature of Student:		Signature of Supervisor:	
Date:	Eman	Date:	

Action Plan based on Supervisor Comments

Signature of Student:	Signature of Supervisor:	
Date:	Date:	





Document Name & Type	MSc (EE/IT) Project Diary	Author/Department	Head, Centre for Postgraduate Studies
Approval Date	04/02/2019	Effective Date	04/02/2019
Review Date	17/01/2019	Next Review Date	16/01/2020

Project Diary – Spring

Name of Student: Eman Zakaria	a Qudah	Week: 21
Name of Supervisor: Dr. Vishal	Dattana	
Project Title: Improve Intrusion Detection Sy	stem Using Machine	Learning
Date/ Day: 18/8/8019	Time: 1:30 PM	Venue: Meeting Room
Tasks as per project plan	Actua	l tasks taken up / completed
 Submit the critical appraisal chapter. 	Discuss the	results collected by the student.
 Submit the critical appraisal chapter. 	Discuss the	results collected by the student.

Student were advised to process with the conclusion chapter.

Remarks / Comments by the Supervisor

Advised to continue.

	hano
Date: Date: Date:	Harris

Action Plan based on Supervisor Comments

Signature of Student:	Signature of Supervisor:	
Date:	Date:	



Document Name & Type	MSc (EE/IT) Project Diary	Author/Department	Head, Centre for Postgraduate Studies
Approval Date	04/02/2019	Effective Date	04/02/2019
Review Date	17/01/2019	Next Review Date	16/01/2020

كلية الشرق الأوسط Middle East College

<MSC-IT>

Project Diary – Spring

Name of Student: Eman Zakaria Qudah	Week: 21
Name of Supervisor: Dr. Vishal Dattana	
Project Title:	
Improve Intrusion Detection System Using Machine Learning	

Date/ Day: 25/8/2019 Time: 1:30 PM Venue: Meeting Room

Tasks as per project plan	Actual tasks taken up / completed
 Show the supervisor the final draft of the report. 	• Collect the supervisor's feedback on the final draft.

Comments / observations / remarks by the Student

Modify the final draft according to the supervisor feedback.

Remarks / Comments by the Supervisor

Advised to continue.

Signature of Student:	Signature of Supervisor:
Date:	Date:

Action Plan based on Supervisor Comments

Signature of Student:	Signature of Supervisor:	
Date:	Date:	





كـــلية الشــرق الاوسـط Middle East College

<MSC-IT>

Project Diary – Spring

Week: 23

Date/ Day: 1/9/2019 Time: 4:00 PM Venue: Meeting Room

Tasks as per project plan	Actual tasks taken up / completed
 Show the referencing chapter and the appendices. 	 Finalize the report with the referencing chapter and the appendices.

Comments / observations / remarks by the Student

Report is ready to be submitted.

Remarks / Comments by the Supervisor

Advised to submit the report.



Action Plan based on Supervisor Comments

Signature of Student:	Signature of Supervisor:	
Date:	Date:	